

Bregman Method from PEP Perspective

Zhenghao Xu

Zhejiang University

October 10, 2021

Table of Contents

1 BPG improved rate

2 Optimal transport

Finished:

- evidence of improvement (numerical)

In progress:

- optimal fixed step size (dual matrix)
- valid one-step analysis
- toolbox for explicit dual matrix (in Python)

Table of Contents

1 BPG improved rate

2 Optimal transport

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) \triangleq f(x) + \phi(x) \quad (\text{P})$$

Assumptions:

- f is *convex, proper, lsc* and continuously differentiable.
- ϕ is *convex, proper, lsc* (possibly nonsmooth).
- h is a Legendre kernel function.
- f is L -smooth relative to h , i.e., $Lh - f$ convex.[Bauschke et al., 2017]
- f, h more restricted.
- bounded initial distance and well-posedness of problem and method.

Problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} F(x) \triangleq f(x) + \phi(x) \quad (\text{P})$$

Method: Bregman Proximal Gradient

$$x_{k+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \phi(x) + LD_h(x, x_k)\} \quad (\text{BPG})$$

Case 1: h - SC & Smooth

Fix $\phi(x) \equiv 0$. Assume: h is σ_h -strongly convex and L_h -smooth.
Through PEP we obtain following result:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 - f_*) + \frac{L \left\| \nabla h(x_1) - \nabla h(x_*) - \sqrt{L_h \sigma_h} (x_1 - x_*) \right\|^2}{2(L_h - \sigma_h)} \\ \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_h/\sigma_h} + 1}\right) LD_h(x_*, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Upper bound can be achieved when $\nabla h(x_1) - \nabla h(x_*) = \sqrt{L_h \sigma_h} (x_1 - x_*)$.

$$f_1 - f_* \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_h/\sigma_h} + 1}\right) LD_h(x_*, x_0).$$

Numerically verified! Worst case (right hand side) can be reached.

Case 1: h - SC & Smooth

Further discussion:

- how to extend to N iterations? (restart schema? how?)
- or directly find dual solutions for N iterations? (tedious and difficult!)

Table of Contents

1 BPG improved rate

2 Optimal transport

$$f^{t+1} = f^t + \eta \log \left(\frac{a}{r(\sum_k \zeta^k(f^t, g^t, \lambda^t))} \right), \quad (63a)$$

$$g^{t+1} = g^t + \eta \log \left(\frac{b}{c(\sum_k \zeta^k(f^{t+1}, g^t, \lambda^t))} \right), \quad (63b)$$

$$y^{t+1} = \text{Proj}_{\Delta^N} (\lambda^t + (1 - \theta)(\lambda^t - \lambda^{t-1})), \quad (63c)$$

$$\lambda^{t+1} = \text{Proj}_{\Delta^N} (y^{t+1} + \tau \nabla_{\lambda} F(f^{t+1}, g^{t+1}, y^{t+1})). \quad (63d)$$

- flexible θ_t for each iteration?
- different anchoring point and approximation point?



Bauschke, H. H., Bolte, J., and Teboulle, M. (2017).

A descent lemma beyond lipschitz gradient continuity: First-order methods revisited and applications.

Math. Oper. Res., 42:330–348.