Matthias Riegler mriegler@htwsaar.de

Exercise 1

Note: I ran the experiments on an Apple M1 processor therefore I limited the number of threads to 4 in order to only schedule the workload on the performance cores

Test runs

Processor: M1, Memory: 16GB

N	T(4)	T(4)	S(4)
50000	$11174.59~\mathrm{ms}$	$2387.90~\mathrm{ms}$	4.68
40000	$5664.71~\mathrm{ms}$	$1488.86~\mathrm{ms}$	3.80
25000	$2198.10~\mathrm{ms}$	$576.74~\mathrm{ms}$	3.81
10000	$354.71~\mathrm{ms}$	$93.35~\mathrm{ms}$	3.80
5000	$88.31~\mathrm{ms}$	$23.23~\mathrm{ms}$	3.80
1000	$6.67~\mathrm{ms}$	$1.69~\mathrm{ms}$	3.95
500	2.11 ms	$0.64~\mathrm{ms}$	3.30
250	0.55 ms	$0.24~\mathrm{ms}$	2.29
100	$0.09~\mathrm{ms}$	$0.12~\mathrm{ms}$	
50	$0.02~\mathrm{ms}$	$0.11 \mathrm{\ ms}$	
4	$0.00~\mathrm{ms}$	$0.10~\mathrm{ms}$	

Conclusions

The speedup factor remains rather constant across when the workload is large. Starting with N < 500 we can see the speedup factor going down. Lower N (especially e.g. N=50 or N=4) show the overhead of the dataset split-up and initialisation of openmp. On larger subsets, a sublinear scaling can be denoted, most likely from a better cache hit performance with multiple processors or improved performance based on a dedicated control/main thread.

Simulate non-deterministic workloads

For this experiment, matrix/vector values between 0 and 1000 have been used, N=500 $\,$

The following table is based on 5 test runs of each scenario

Execution time	Scheduling	
7088.54 ms - 7313.71 ms	dynamic, 1	
7573.68 ms - 7631.67 ms	dynamic, 4	

Execution time	Scheduling	
8613.95 ms - 8652.27 ms	dynamic, 16	
8659.81 ms - 8703.49 ms	dynamic, 8	
10521.26 ms - 11045.54 ms	dynamic, 32	
11181.81 ms - 16384.07 ms	guided, 1	
14229.29 ms - 16424.86 ms	guided, 4	
14289.35 ms - 16362.35 ms	guided, 8	
16840.94 ms - 16879.96 ms	guided, 64	
32448.67 ms - 32714.45 ms	static	

Based on the results, the dynamic, 1 scheduling performes the best in the given workload, as it provides the optimal load balancing across available threads.

Example application output with optimal settings (N=1000)

xvzf@MBP14 ~/gh/xvzf/htw-mp-gpu-computing/ex1/build (git)-[main] % ./ex1 1000

- [+] estimated memory bytes for input/result storage: 3.82 MB
- [+] Aloocate memory and generate random matrix (1000x1000) and vector (1000)
- [+] Memory allocation successful
- [+] Starting normal execution
- [+] Normal execution time: 6.26 ms
- [+] Starting openmp accelerated execution
- [+] openmp execution time: 1.70 ms
- [+] Starting openmp nondeterministic execution
- [+] openmp nondeterministic execution time: 29318.12 ms
- ./ex1 1000 116.63s user 0.31s system 396% cpu 29.508 total