James-Stein estimation and empirical Bayes

## Math stats

Work the following exercises in Efron (2010): 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.5.

## Simulation

Produce your own version of Table 1.2 in Efron (2010) by repeating the simulation study described on pp. 7-9. Use the same  $\mu_i$ 's as Efron. Explain how many decimal places of agreement one would expect to see between your results and Efron's. How well did you meet this expectation?

Due: 5pm Wed 28 Feb 2024

## Shrinking radon

The file srrs2.dat contains 12,777 observed radon levels from households throughout the United States. This data file comes from Andrew Gelman's website,

http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/arm/software/. We will focus on the 766 measurements taken in the basements of the Minnesota homes. These homes are spread across 85 counties in Minnesota; the data set tells us which observations came from which counties.

- Load the data into R. Extract the subset of observations taken in Minnesota basements. Although there is a basement variable, you should instead use the floor variable—a zero value means a basement. (Don't ask.)
- Reduce the data set further: keep only the data for counties with at least 10 observations. You should find 17 such counties, with a total of 511 observations.
- Now split the data into two sets: a training set with five randomly chosen observations from each county, and a test set with the other observations.
- Compute  $\mu$ , the vector of mean radon levels by county in the test data. Radon levels are given in the variable activity. From now on we will treat  $\mu$  as a population-level parameter to be estimated.
- Make the standard James-Stein independent-normals assumption: the five observations in county i are iid draws from a  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \tau^2)$  distribution; these five draws are independent of the draws from every other county. Compute  $\hat{\mu}^{(\text{MLE})}$ , the maximum-likelihood estimate of  $\mu$  based on the training data.
- Now compute  $\hat{\mu}^{(JS)}$ , the James-Stein estimator, using the average value in  $\hat{\mu}^{(MLE)}$  as the shrinkage target. We are assuming that the components of  $\hat{\mu}^{(MLE)}$  share a common SE. Using the same number of observations in each county tends to aid this assumption. To estimate this shared SE, you must estimate  $\tau^2$ , using the pooled-variance technique: add up all the within-county squared residuals, and divide by the total degrees of freedom.
  - *Caution*: The SE of  $\hat{\mu}_i^{\text{(MLE)}}$  is not  $\tau$ . If you proceed as though it is, you will over-shrink.
- What is the total squared error of  $\hat{\mu}^{(MLE)}$ ? Of  $\hat{\mu}^{(JS)}$ ? What is the ratio of the larger to the smaller? What do you conclude about Stein shrinkage in this application?