
Group 18: Mars Rover Project Report

Authors

Aixin Zhang
CID: 01738988
az419@ic.ac.uk

Ebby Samson
CID: 01737449
es1219@ic.ac.uk

Igor Dmytrovich Silin
CID: 01756268
ids19@ic.ac.uk

Kaling Ng
CID: 01737644
kln19@ic.ac.uk

Nur Izzah Mohd Zafer
CID: 01738670
nim19@ic.ac.uk

Xin Wang
CID: 01735253
xw2519@ic.ac.uk

Contents

1	Project Management	2
1.1	Conception and Initiation	2
1.2	Definition and Planning	3
1.3	Performance and Control	4
1.3.1	Gnatt chart	4
1.3.2	Project timeline	4
1.3.3	Codebase clutter control	4
2	Structural design	5
3	Rover Submodules	6
3.1	Command	6
3.2	Control	6
3.3	Vision	6
3.4	Drive	6
3.5	Energy	6
3.6	Integration	6
4	Project Issues	7
5	References	8

1 Project Management

The project team utilised the Project Management Institute's 5 Phases of Project Management ¹ as a guide to ensure all aspects of project planning and management are captured in the team's project management approach.

The approach is split into 5 stages which combines to form a robust project management system.

1.1 Conception and Initiation

Project definition: Design and build a rover system that has autonomous capabilities to detect, avoid and transmit the locations of the obstacles i.e. coloured balls to a server that users can interact with.

Project requirement: The rover system is split into 5 modules, each with its own requirements:

- Command:
 - Enable bilateral communication between user and Control module
 - Enable users to navigate the rover
 - Plot a map of the locations of the obstacles encountered by the rover
- Control:
 - Enable bilateral communication channels between Command, Drive, Energy and Vision modules
- Drive:
 - Defines the operation of the two rover motors such as:
 - * Speed control
 - * Direction control
 - * Turning method
 - Using the optical flow sensor, measure the distance travelled by the rover
- Energy:
 - Battery charge operation: Profile design, status estimation and melt/explosion prevention
 - Battery voltage balancing and range estimation
 - Implementing PV MPPT calculation algorithm
 - Integrating and testing solar charging system
- Vision:
 - Using the on-board camera detect, avoid and record the location of obstacles encountered by the rover

¹PMI: <https://www.smartsheet.com/blog/demystifying-5-phases-project-management>

1.2 Definition and Planning

Due to lack of specific scope constraints aside from a deadline, the project team had a significant amount of freedom in designing and developing the rover system to meet the project requirements. The team had identified several design themes that guided the design and implementation choices made during the development of the rover system:

- **Modularity:**

Having taken into account that the project team spanned four countries with different time-zones and the time constraint of the project, the team felt it was important to incorporate modular design in the development of each rover modules.

The approach meant each subsystem only had to ensure the pre-agreed connection interfaces such as WebSocket was compatible with the required modules. This was very advantageous due to the following:

- Each module could independently develop sections of the rover system. This made the team much more dynamic and efficient.
- The testing strategy ² was more methodical and could occur early in stages, gradually leading up to a full rover system test.
- No unnecessary meetings. By reducing the number of meetings the team had, it meant less time was wasted on arranging a time suitable for three time-zones and team meetings were more productive.

- **Scalability:**

During the first meeting, the team was not certain as to the exact features that are desirable in a rover system. Due to this reason, scalability was a critical consideration factor and gave the team a very flexible approach to the rover system.

An example would be the MongoDB database implemented by Command. MongoDB is a type of "NoSQL" database that is not as restrictive as traditional SQL databases which allowed the team to store new types of data without having to redesign the database model.

- **Open-source:**

Where possible, the team opted to use well-supported open-source development packages such as the FastAPI framework. This complimented modularity and scalability themes by ensuring the interfaces are industry-standard and could be easily modified to expand its capabilities.

Being well-supported, there is ample documentation to support the development and the codebase is well-designed. This meant that the team could reduce the number of unknown bugs, decrease development time and ensure a high-quality codebase.

- **Minimalism:**

Due to the open-ended nature of the project, the team was worried of a bloated codebase and every additional feature and software library was thoroughly researched and discussed to ensure a seamless and efficient integration into the existing system.

A clear example of this would be the single page grid-layout design of the Rover Command website which allowed intuitive interaction and features could be seamlessly added.

²Testing was managed by the Integration module

1.3 Performance and Control

1.3.1 Gnatt chart

1.3.2 Project timeline

[Gnatt chart image]

1.3.3 Codebase clutter control

Due to the remote collaborative nature required, every member was encouraged to design and implement with a standardised minimalistic approach³ such as concise code documentation, avoiding too many dependencies and consistent code revisions.

³Minimalistic coding guidelines: <https://dev.to/paulasantamaria/6-ways-minimalism-can-help-you-write-clean-code-45kp>

2 Structural design

3 Rover Submodules

3.1 Command

3.2 Control

3.3 Vision

3.4 Drive

3.5 Energy

3.6 Integration

4 Project Issues

5 References