

## EE2 Mathematics – Probability & Statistics

### Solution 8

1. Remember that we can ignore the terms which do not depend on the unknown parameter(s).

(a) The likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda; \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \\ &\propto e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n\bar{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{recall } \bar{x} = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\ell(\lambda; \mathbf{x}) = -n\lambda + n\bar{x} \log \lambda + C,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Differentiate this with respect to  $\lambda$  and set it equal to zero to find

$$-n + n\bar{x} \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \bar{x},$$

so the MLE is  $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ . This is unbiased, as we know that the sample mean is an unbiased estimator of the population mean ( $\lambda$ ).

(b) The likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} L(p; \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; p) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{m-x_i} \\ &\propto p^{n\bar{x}} (1-p)^{nm-n\bar{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\ell(p; \mathbf{x}) = n\bar{x} \log p + n(m - \bar{x}) \log(1-p) + C,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Differentiate this with respect to  $p$  and set it equal to zero to find

$$n\bar{x} \frac{1}{\hat{p}} - n(m - \bar{x}) \frac{1}{1 - \hat{p}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{\bar{x}}{m},$$

so the MLE is  $\hat{p} = \bar{X}/m$ . This is unbiased, as  $E(\bar{X}) = E(X_1) = mp$  ( $X_1 \sim \text{Bin}(m, p)$ , hence  $E(X_1) = mp$ ), so  $E(\hat{p}) = mp/m = p$ .

(c) The likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} L(p; \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; p) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{x_i-1} p \\ &\propto (1-p)^{n\bar{x}-n} p^n. \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\ell(p; \mathbf{x}) = n(\bar{x} - 1) \log(1-p) + n \log p + C,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Differentiate this with respect to  $p$  and set it equal to zero to find

$$-n(\bar{x} - 1) \frac{1}{1-\hat{p}} + n \frac{1}{\hat{p}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{x}},$$

so the MLE is  $\hat{p} = 1/\bar{X}$ . This is biased, as  $E(1/\bar{X}) \neq 1/E(\bar{X}) = 1/p^{-1} = p$ .

(d) The likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta; \mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; \beta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x_i} \\ &\propto \beta^{n\alpha} e^{-\beta n\bar{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\ell(\beta; \mathbf{x}) = n\alpha \log \beta - \beta n\bar{x} + C,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Differentiate this with respect to  $\beta$  and set it equal to zero to find

$$n\alpha \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}} - n\bar{x} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x}},$$

so the MLE is  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\alpha}{\bar{X}}$ . This is biased, as  $E(1/\bar{X}) \neq 1/E(\bar{X}) = \alpha/\beta$ , which implies that  $E(\hat{\beta}) \neq \beta$ .

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
F_Y(y) &= P(Y \leq y) = 1 - P(Y > y) \\
&= 1 - P(X_1 > y, X_2 > y, \dots, X_n > y) \quad (\text{all must be } > y) \\
&= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > y) \quad (\text{independent}) \\
&= 1 - (P(X_1 > y))^n \quad (\text{identically distributed}) \\
&= 1 - (1 - F_{X_1}(y))^n .
\end{aligned}$$

The CDF of  $X_1$  is  $F_{X_1}(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ , so we have

$$F_Y(y) = 1 - e^{-n\lambda y} ,$$

from which we deduce that  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(n\lambda)$ .

(b) We have  $E(Y) = (n\lambda)^{-1} = \mu/n$ , so  $\hat{\mu}_u = nY$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ .

(c) The likelihood is

$$L(\lambda; \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \propto \lambda^n e^{-\lambda n\bar{x}} .$$

The likelihood with respect to  $\mu$  is

$$L(\mu; \mathbf{x}) \propto \mu^{-n} e^{-n\bar{x}/\mu} .$$

The log-likelihood is then

$$\ell(\mu; \mathbf{x}) = -n \log \mu - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\mu} + C ,$$

for some constant  $C$ . Differentiate this with respect to  $\mu$  and set it equal to zero to find

$$-\frac{n}{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\hat{\mu}^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \bar{x} ,$$

so the MLE is  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ . This is unbiased, as  $E(\bar{X}) = E(X_1) = \lambda^{-1} = \mu$ .

(d) Both estimators are unbiased, so we need to compare their variances. The first estimator has variance

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_u) = \text{Var}(nY) = n^2 \text{Var}(Y) = n^2 (n\lambda)^{-2} = \lambda^{-2} = \mu^2 ,$$

while the second has variance

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(X_k)}{n^2} = \frac{n\lambda^{-2}}{n^2} = \frac{\mu^2}{n} ,$$

which is smaller. Thus, the MLE has smaller MSE for  $n > 1$ , and is the better estimator.