where in the second step we used $C_{i}c_{i}=-c_{i}c_{i}$, $C_{b}vac)=0$, $C_{b}c_{i}=c_{b}c_{i}=0$, and in the third step we used $C_{b}c_{i}=c_{b}c_{i}vac)=c_{b}c_{b}vac)=vac)$ Similarly, we calculate $P_{i}V_{i}$?

= 14,)

 $P_{1}|\psi_{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{2I_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{C_{A}}C_{A}C_{B}C_{B} - C_{A}C_{B} + C_{A}C_{B}^{\dagger} + C_{A}C_{A}C_{B}C_{B}^{\dagger}\right)\left(\frac{1}{C_{A}C_{B}}C_{B}^{\dagger} - 1\right)|vac\rangle$ $= \frac{1}{2I_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{C_{A}C_{A}}C_{B}C_{B}C_{B}C_{B}C_{B}^{\dagger} - C_{A}C_{B}C_{A}C_{B}^{\dagger} - C_{A}C_{B}C_{B}C_{B}^{\dagger}\right)|vac\rangle$ $= \frac{1}{2I_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{C_{A}C_{B}}|vac\rangle + |vac\rangle - C_{A}C_{B}|vac\rangle - |vac\rangle$ $= \frac{1}{2I_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{C_{A}C_{B}}|vac\rangle + |vac\rangle - C_{A}C_{B}|vac\rangle - |vac\rangle$

For 143 and 144, we have all terms in P, identically zero, so $P_11437 = P_11447 = 0$.

The verification for Pi(i=2.3.4) is similar, we just apply the anticommutation relation for fermions to reduce results.

What we need to calculate with these projection operators are $(401 \, P_1^{\dagger} \, C_m^{\dagger} \, C_n \, P_1 \, 140)$ and $(401 \, P_1^{\dagger} \, C_m^{\dagger} \, C_n \, P_1 \, 140)$ and $(401 \, P_1^{\dagger} \, P_1 \, 140)$ (m,n \neq \$4,B), and using anti-commutation relation we find that $P_1^{\dagger} \, C_m^{\dagger} \, C_n \, P_1 = C_m^{\dagger} \, C_n \, P_1^{\dagger} \, P_1^{\dagger}$, thus a simplification of $P_1^{\dagger} \, P_1^{\dagger}$, is necessary.

Similarly PiPi = Pi for all i (as this is an orthonormal busis)

< CACACBCB) = (VacITT X: (Uin Yi) (Unj Yj) (Ukb Yk) (Uke Yz) IT Yi Vac)

Clearly for any term to be non-zero, we need {i.k}={j,l}

=) (CaCaCaCaCa)

= (vac| ITti(\(\geq \bu_{ja} \bu_{j} \tau_{ja} \bu_{j} \tau_{j} \bu_{j} \bu_{

To evaluate this, we need to underestand $\langle G|\Sigma \bigvee_{ij} \bigvee_{j=1}^{i} |G\rangle = \sum_{i \in ac} \langle G|J_{ij}|G\rangle$ and $\langle G|\Sigma \bigvee_{ij} \bigvee_{j=1}^{i} |G\rangle = \sum_{i \notin occ} \langle G|J_{ij}|G\rangle$, which is the difference between create annihilate and annihilate - create.

Define $W_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \in occ} U_{j\alpha}^{\dagger} U_{\beta j}$, $W_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \notin occ} U_{j\alpha}^{\dagger} U_{\beta j}$, then $W_{+}W' = U^{\dagger}U = I$

Similarly, for $C_{\overline{a}}^{\dagger}C_{\overline{a}}C_{\overline{b}}C$

so there will be 3!=6 terms. This would be hard to calculate by hand, but easy with any script.

(GIP, Cm CnP, IG) = \frac{1}{2} [(WAA WBBWmn + WABW'BA Wmn + WAA WBNW'MB + WAN WBB WMA + WAB WBNW'AA)

+ (WAA WBB Wmn + WAB WBA Wmn + WAA WBN WMB

+ WAN WBA WMB + WAN WBB WMA + WAB WBN WMB

+ WAN WBA WMB + WAN WBB WMA + WAB WBN WMA)]

If we prepare estables with $|G\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (c_1^{\dagger} - c_2^{\dagger}) (c_3^{\dagger} - c_4^{\dagger}) |Vac\rangle$

After measurement, correlation matrix is $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}$

The problem is that if we take submatrices C_{13} or C_{24} to be $C_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{13} \\ C_{21} & C_{23} \end{pmatrix}$ $C_{24} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{12} & C_{24} \\ C_{42} & C_{44} \end{pmatrix}$, it doesn't change at all.