## Proximal Methods

Ran Wang

January 30, 2021

## Chapter 1

# **Proximal Algorithms**

### 1.1 Motivation

In this chapter, we briefly outline the problem of minimizing functions that are not necessarily differentiable. A typical example is the  $l_1$ -regularized problem. For example, the object might look like

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - x_i^t \beta)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1.$$

Here,  $\beta$  is the parameter we want to find. Should we not have  $\lambda \|\beta\|_1$ , then everything is differentiable and can be solved use quasi-Newton methods, among other things. However, the absolute value function is not differentiable everywhere, which causes problems.

The first solution is by consider  $\mathit{sub-differentials}. \text{Sub-differentials}$  are defined as

$$\partial f(x) = \{ y \mid f(z) \ge f(x) + y^T(z - x) \text{ for all } z \in \text{dom } f \},$$

where dom f is the domain of the function. Note that if a function is differentiable then  $\partial f = \{ \nabla f \}$ . However, in general case, the sub-sdifferential is not a singleton.

For simplicity, we assume all the functions we discuss are subdifferentiable.

### 1.2 Proximal Algorithms

The proximal operator is defined as

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(v) = \operatorname*{argmin}_x \left( f(x) + (1/2) \|x - v\|_2^2 \right)$$

As simple as the definition might look like, it has quite some nice results. The first one is a fixed-point properties. That is, the point  $x^*$  minimizes f if and only if

$$x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*).$$

 $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$ .

Proof. First we show that if  $x^*$  is the minimizer, then  $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$ . Note that for any x,

$$f(x) + (1/2) \|x - x^*\|_2^2 \ge f(x^*) = f(x^*) + (1/2) \|x^* - x^*\|_2^2$$

and thus by definition,  $x^* = \operatorname{prox}_f(x^*)$ .

Now consider the reverse case, let  $\tilde{x} = \operatorname{prox}_f(v)$ . Take the subdifferential operator, we see that this is equivalent to

$$0\in\partial f(\tilde{x})+(\tilde{x}-v).$$
 Taking  $\tilde{x}=v=x^\star,$  it follows that  $0\in\partial f\left(x^\star\right),$  so  $x^\star$  mixes  $f.$ 

The second interesting, and rather surprising fact is that, the proximal operator is actually the resolvent of subdifferential operator. More specifically,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda f} = (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $z \in (I + \lambda \partial f)^{-1}(x)$ , then  $0 \in \partial f(z) + (1/\lambda)(z - x)$ . This implies  $0 \in I$  $\partial_z \left( f(z) + (1/2\lambda) \|z - x\|_2^2 \right)$ . Now, since we can prove that  $f(z) + (1/2\lambda) \|z - x\|_2^2$ is strongly convex, we can deduce that  $z = \operatorname{argmin} \left( f(u) + (1/2\lambda) \|u - x\|_2^2 \right)$ .  $\square$ 

Finally, let us look at the case of minimzing f + g where f is differentiable and g is not. A famous algorithms goes,

$$x^{k+1} := \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda^k g} (x^k - \lambda^k \nabla f(x^k)).$$

To see why, consider the fixed point version that is  $x^* = \text{prox}_{\lambda q} (x^* - \lambda \nabla f(x^*))$ , we show that if this is true then x is indeed the solution to

$$x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_x f(x) + g(x).$$

*Proof.* Note that  $x^*$  is the minimzer if and only if  $0 \in \nabla f(x^*) + \partial g(x^*)$ . Now with some straight forward computation,

$$0 \in \lambda \nabla f (x^{\star}) + \lambda \partial g (x^{\star})$$

$$0 \in \lambda \nabla f (x^{\star}) - x^{\star} + x^{\star} + \lambda \partial g (x^{\star})$$

$$(I + \lambda \partial g) (x^{\star}) \ni (I - \lambda \nabla f) (x^{\star})$$

$$x^{\star} = (I + \lambda \partial g)^{-1} (I - \lambda \nabla f) (x^{\star})$$

$$x^{\star} = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda g} (x^{\star} - \lambda \nabla f (x^{\star}))$$

### 5

#### **Applications** 1.3

Now let us return to the previous topics. Let us assume that the negative log-likelihood function is  $f_{\beta}(X)$ , here  $\beta$  is the parameter we want to estimate and X is the data, which include both the dependent and independent variables. The goal now is the minimize the following quantities.

$$f_{\beta}(X) + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a positive hyperparemeter,  $\|\cdot\|$  is the  $l_1$  norm, namely for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|$ . Since the latter term is not differentiable at 0, we are in position to workout the solution as is indicated in the previous section. Now let  $w := \beta^k - \lambda^k \nabla f_{\beta^k}(X)$ , where  $\lambda^k$  is the step-size, and  $\beta^k$  is the value

of  $\beta$  at step k, and let  $g: x \mapsto \lambda ||x||_1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \beta^{k+1} &:= \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda^k g}(w) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_x \left( \lambda^k g(x) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - w\|_2^2 \right) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_x \left( \lambda \|x\|_1 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \|x - w\|_2^2 \right) \end{split}$$

Now it suffices to calculate the for each i, since none of i depends on others. For that, note that (exercises!) we have

$$\underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\beta - z\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda t \|z\|_{1} = S_{\lambda t}(\beta)$$

where we have

$$[S_{\lambda}(\beta)]_{i} = \begin{cases} \beta_{i} - \lambda & \text{if } \beta_{i} > \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } -\lambda \leq \beta_{i} \leq \lambda \\ \beta_{i} + \lambda & \text{if } \beta_{i} < -\lambda \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left[\beta^{k+1}\right]_i = \begin{cases} w_i - \lambda \lambda_k & \text{if } w_i > \lambda \lambda_k \\ 0 & \text{if } -\lambda \lambda_k \le w_i \le \lambda \lambda_k \\ w_i + \lambda \lambda & \text{if } w_i < -\lambda \lambda_k \end{cases}$$

With that, one can easily implement the algorithm.