IT3030 Biostatistics Quiz#6 2019.05.28 ID: Name: In Lecture 14, slide#57 (FEV $_1$ example), we raised the null hypothesis (at α =0.1) that 3 group means are the same. We did the F-test and found a p-value smaller than 0.1 so we rejected the null hypothesis. Following this, we tested whether the difference could have existed between group 1 and 2. (1) (20%) What is the result of this test? That is, is the mean FEV $_1$ from group 1 the same as the mean from group 2? Based on what you made this conclusion? (2) (50%) Do the same thing to check if the mean from group 2 is the same as the mean from group 3, using the common S_w^2 previously obtained. Show your null hypothesis, level of significance used for the test, your t-value, the p-value, and your conclusion. (3) (30%) Do the same thing in (2) by computing the specific S_p^2 from the two groups. Do you have the same conclusion?
Answer: (1) In this test, we had p-value 0.0202 which is smaller than the prescribed α *=0.1/3=0.033. So we rejected the null hypothesis that the two means are equal. That is, there exists significant difference between group 1 mean and group 2 mean.
(2) Null hypothesis Ho: $\mu_2 = \mu_3$, $\alpha^* = 0.1/3 = 0.033$ >> x2=3.03;s2=0.523;n2=16;x3=2.88;s3=0.498;n3=23; >> sw2=0.254; [Previously obtained] >> t23=(x2-x3)/sqrt(sw2*(1/n2+1/n3)) t23 = 0.9143
The p-value I computed: >> 2*(1-tcdf(t23,57)) [DF=57 because of Sw² was used] ans = 0.3644 >>
This is greater than $lpha^*$ =0.033. We therefore don't reject the null hypothesis. That is, the two means are comparable .
(3) Computing the pooled estimated of the variance Sp ² between the two (group 2 and group 3): >> sp2=((n2-1)*s2^2+(n3-1)*s3^2)/(n2+n3-2) sp2 = 0.2584 This is approximately the same as sw2=0.254 obtained from all 3 groups earlier.
>> t23=(x2-x3)/sqrt(sp2*(1/n2+1/n3)) t23 = 0.9065
p-value is: >> 2*(1-tcdf(t23,n2+n3-2)) ans = 0.3705 >> The computed t-value and p-value are also comparable to the results using sw2. Therefore we did not
The complited t-value and n-value are also comparable to the results lising swith herefore we did not

The computed t-value and p-value are also comparable to the results using sw2. Therefore we did not change the conclusion that the two means are comparable.