CSCI 383 Fall 2011

Proof by Structural Induction

Structural induction is used to prove a property P of all the elements of some recursively-defined data type. The following are some examples of recursively defined data types:

Example 1: An even number e is either

- 1. 0.
- 2. 2 + k, where k is an even number, or
- 3. -k, where k is an even number.

Example 2: A non-empty binary tree T is either

- 1. a root node r, or
- 2. a root node r attached by an edge to either one or two of the nodes r_L and r_R , where r_L and r_R are the roots of non-empty binary trees T_L and T_R , respectively.

Example 3: A fully bracketed arithmetic expression in x is a string E over the alphabet $\{], [, +, -, *, x\}$ that is either

- 1. the symbols 0, 1, or x,
- 2. [e + e'], where e and e' are fully bracketed arithmetic expressions, or
- 3. [e * e'], where e and e' are fully bracketed arithmetic expressions.

A proof by structural induction consists of two main steps:

- 1. Prove P for the "base cases" of the definition.
- 2. Prove P for the result of any recursive combination rule, assuming that it is true for all the parts.

For example, structural induction on the non-empty binary tree of example 2 takes the form:

Proof. To prove P(T) holds \forall non-empty binary trees T, show:

Base T = single root node. Show P(single node) holds.

Inductive Step $[T = \text{root node attached to roots of one or two binary trees <math>T_L$ and T_R .] Assume $P(T_L)$ and $P(T_R)$ in order to prove P(T).

Here's an actual example:

Theorem 1. For any non-empty binary tree T = (V, E), |V| = |E| + 1.

Proof. We prove this by structural induction on T = (V, E). For any binary tree T = (V, E), let P(T) be the property that |V| = |E| + 1.

As a base case, consider when T is a single root node. Then |V| = 1 = 0 + 1 = |E| + 1.

For the inductive step, suppose T = (V, E) consists of a root node r that is connected by an edge to the root r_L of a non-empty tree $T_L = (V_L, E_L)$. It may also be connected by an edge to the

root r_R of a non-empty tree $T_R = (V_R, E_R)$. As our induction hypothesis, suppose that $P(T_L)$ and $P(T_R)$ hold; that is, suppose that $|V_L| = |E_L| + 1$ and $|V_R| = |E_R| + 1$. Then if T contains only T_L

$$|V| = |V_L| + 1$$
 V is r plus all nodes of T_L (recursive definition of T)
 $= |E_L| + 1 + 1$ by the induction hypothesis applied to T_L
 $= |E| + 1$. E is all edges of T_L plus the edge (r, r_L) (recursive definition of T)

If T contains both T_L and T_R then

$$|V| = |V_L| + |V_R| + 1$$
 V is r plus all nodes of T_L and T_R (recursive definition of T)
 $= |E_L| + 1 + |E_R| + 1 + 1$ by the induction hypothesis applied to both T_L and T_R
 $= |E| + 1$. E is all edges of T_L and T_R plus two (recursive definition of T)

In either case, P(T) holds; thus by induction, P(T) is true for every non-empty binary tree T. \square

Theorem 2. The set of even numbers defined in example 1 are elements of $E = \{2x \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

Proof. We prove this by structural induction on even number e. Let P(e) be the property that e = 2x for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As a base case, consider when e = 0. Then $e = 0 = 2 \cdot 0$, and $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For the inductive step, we have two cases, depending on whether e = e' + 2 or e = -e', for some even number e'. As our induction hypothesis, suppose that P(e') holds; that is, suppose that e' = 2x' for some $x' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then if e = e' + 2, we have

$$e=e'+2$$

$$=2x'+2, \qquad \text{for some } x'\in\mathbb{Z}, \text{ by the induction hypothesis}$$

$$=2(x'+1)$$

$$=2x \qquad \text{where } x=x'+1\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ since } x'\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Otherwise, if e = -e' then

$$e=-e'$$

$$=-(2x') \qquad \text{for some } x'\in\mathbb{Z}, \text{ by the induction hypothesis}$$

$$=2(-x')$$

$$=2x \qquad \text{where } x=-x'\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ since } x'\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we've shown by induction that for every even number e, the property P(e) holds. \square

Comments

- Although many proofs by structural induction have an equivalent proof by mathematical induction (for example, we could prove Theorem 1 by induction on the height of the tree T), there are structural induction proofs (namely, those on infinite data objects) that are actually strictly more powerful than ordinary induction.
- Structural induction will make your life easier in this course, so try to pick it up early on!