DSO560 – Text Analytics & Natural Language Processing Final Project Rubric

| Section | Criterion | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations | Score |
|--|--|---|--|--|-------|
| Presentation 20 points | Content is delivered in a logical, ordered manner that tells a coherent story | Content starts, transitions, and ends abruptly, with no apparent introduction or context, and not all members are present. (0) | Audience is confused by certain portions of presentation. (5) | Discussion points and recommendations are properly contextualized and build off prior concepts. (10) | 10 |
| | Content and delivery is suitable for business decision makers, but contains content | Presentation has multiple slides /occurrences of technical concepts / jargon introduced with no context and is meant more for a software engineer than a business manager. (0) | Certain slides / concepts presented may require reworking or rephrasing to generate managerial buy-in. (5) | Content presented is accessible, logical, and clear for business managers. (10) | 10 |
| Business Domain | Recommendations address a concrete business / operational problem | Recommendations do not address any real-life relevant issues for clients (0) | Recommendation addresses a concrete business problem, but implementation is unclear (7.5) | Recommendations provide a concrete solution to a business problem with implementation roadmap clearly articulated (15) | 15 |
| Solutions 30 points | The Return on Investment of recommendation is appropriately quantified and communicated | At least two of the following are missing / unclear: costs, risks, benefits, and implementation roadmap / timeline. (0) | Costs, risks, benefits, and implementation roadmap / timeline are provided but may not be logically sound or realistic. (7.5) | Quantified, logical projections for costs, risks, benefits, and roadmap / timeframe for implementation are provided. (15) | 15 |
| Technical Implementation 30 points | Algorithms are selected/implemented properly, and produce accurate results | Wrong algorithm is selected AND implemented with substantial issues that compromise accuracy. Instructor is unable to reproduce team results. (0) | Correct algorithm is selected, but implementation contains small mistakes / does not handle edge cases, or simply reuses a 3 rd party model w/ no modifications. (10) | Algorithm is correctly selected/implemented, and is modified to suit the particular use case. (20) | 20 |
| | Solution is scalable for 5MB, 50MB, and 50GB text data | No implementation is provided for handling larger-size data and is only performant on small flat file. (0) | Source code / guidelines are provided to handle larger text corpuses but contain flaws in implementation. (2.5) | Source code would be able to run for large-scale datasets, or explanation of what is needed to enable this scalability is provided (5) | 5 |
| | Solutions are well- documented, adhere to data science / engineering best practices | No comments or documentation is provided. A data scientist / analyst continuing the project 6 months from now must invest significant time understanding what is happening. (0) | Source code contains inconsistent comments / documentation. (2.5) | A data scientist / analyst would be able to jump right into the project and continue working. (5) | 5 |
| | | | | TOTAL | 90 |