The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) Motivation for SVD [vast]

Deta Compression and Low-rank Approximation Give a matrix le.g. an image), its SVD can be used to produce a low-rank approximation that captures the most important features of the data with reduced size.

In image processing, this can be visualized as capturing the main features of an imagusing tewer singular values and vectors.

Exprincipal Component Analysis (PCA): By performing SVD on a data matrix, we can obtain the principal components, which are directions of maximal variance. These Principal components are invaluable in dimensionality reduction. Visualization proise reduction.

[3] Numerical Stability: In solving systems of hinear equations or inverting mornices, direct methods can be numerically unstable or ill-posed. SVD provides a numerically stable was to pseudo-invert a matrix (Moore-Penrose invese) and solve linear systems, even for ill-conditioned matrices.

Determining Rank and Null space. The rank of a matrix can be quickly identified using it SVD by counting the number of non-zero singular value. The singular vectors corresponding to zero singular values form a basis for the null space of the matrix.

(3) Solving Pitterential Equations In computational Science, especially when dealing with boundary value problems or systems that can be linearized. SVD can be used to decompose the problem's fundamental modes and make it more tractable.

(B) Geometry and Computer Graphics In computer graphics, understanding the orientern'or and deformation of objects often relies on decomposing matrices describing these objects SVD is especially valuable for this because it can be decompose a matrix into rotation, scoling, and another rotation, providing insight into the structure and behavior of generical transformations.

Lecture 4. 4.1 Determine SVDs of the following matrices (a) [3 0] Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ $A \neq A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ V= [10] 10 (x-9) (x-4)=0 xi2=9 xi2=4 dot(>2 I - A*A)=0 1 xi= 9 A*A VI = Xi VI $\begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9V_1 \\ 9V_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad V_2 = 0 \quad \text{So we can take} \quad V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ AVI = NILLI $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3u_1 \\ 3u_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_2 \\ 2u_{22} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ O >12=4 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} \\ V_{21} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4V_{11} \\ 4V_{21} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow V_{11} = 0 \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ A 201=241 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2U_{1} \\ 2U_{21} \\ 2U_{31} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \\ V_{21} \\ U_{31} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ U_{31} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{22} \\ V_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^*$ 4. Z. Suppose A i's an mxn matrix and B is the nxm matrix and B is the obtained by rotating A ninety degree clockwise on paper (not exactly a standard mathematical transformation!) Do A and B have the same singular value? Prove that the answer is yes or give a counterexample. For $m \le n$ $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{mn} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ $B = A^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{m1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$ A= U, Z, Vi* AT= V2 Z2 V2* Because $A \neq A = max$

Note B is obtained from A by first taking a transpose and then doing Some column swaps lessentially a mirror image) Since diagonal remains B= ATIVI => Vis a unitary matrix \rightarrow A and AT have the same singular values since $\det(A-\lambda I) = \det(A^T-\lambda I)$ Let B = AU BB* = AUU*A* = AA* => singular values remain the same. 4.4: Two matrices A, B & C mxm are funitarily equivalent 17 A = QBQ* for some unitary Q E C mxm. Is it true or false that A and B are unitarily equivalent iff. they have the same singular values? ⇒ A and B are unitarily equivalent $A = QBQ^*$ $A = UAV^* = QBQ^*$ $IV^* = UQBQ^*$ I V*V = V*QBQ*V I = (Q*V)*B(Q*V). But SVD of a matrix is unique. $B = (Q*V) \Sigma_2 (Q*V)^*$. So they have the same values. $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$ $A = U_1 \sum V_1^* = U_2 \sum V_2^* = B$ Not true Courter example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{ they share the same singular values}$ $\begin{array}{c} but \text{ not unitarily equivalent} \\ \hline D m \times n \end{array}$ 4.5 Theorem 4.1 asserts that every $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ has a SVD $A = U \Sigma V^*$. Show that if A is real, then it has a real SVD (UEIR mxm, VEIR nxn) When AEIR mxn A*A = ATA = IR nxn i.e. real and symmetric (A*= AT since A is real) $A^*A = VDV^T \rightarrow real diagonal matrix$

If m>n, we can add m-n zero rows to V to get another real