

# bis557homework4

Xining Yuan

11/23/2020

## Question 1

```
#Data
n <- 200
p <- 4
N <- 500
M <- 20
set.seed(1)
beta <- c(1, -1, 0.5, 9)
mu <- rep(0, p)
Sigma <- matrix(0.9, nrow = p, ncol = p)
diag(Sigma) <- 1
X <- MASS::mvrnorm(n, mu, Sigma)
y <- X %*% beta + rnorm(n, sd = 5)

# python environment
import numpy as np

lam = 0.1
y = r.y
X = r.X

u,s,vh = np.linalg.svd(X) # X: n x m
n = u.shape[0]
m = vh.shape[0]
st = np.zeros(max(n,m))
st[:min(n,m)] = s / (s**2 + lam)
uty = np.transpose(u) @ y
ST = np.diag(st)
b = np.transpose(vh) @ ST[:m, :n] @ uty
b

## array([[ 1.82527254],
##        [-1.53787953],
##        [ 0.70804626],
##        [ 8.36860182]])

# R
My_ridge_regression <- function(X, y, lambda) {
  n <- dim(X)[2]
  solve( crossprod(X) + lambda * diag(n), crossprod(X, y))
}
```

```
br <- My_ridge_regression(X, y, 0.1)
br

##           [,1]
## [1,]  1.8252725
## [2,] -1.5378795
## [3,]  0.7080463
## [4,]  8.3686018
```

## Intrepretation

In this question, we want to compare the outputs – one is from SVD of Python and one is from ridge regression function of R. Test data is co-linearity. The ground truth of coefficients is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0.5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$ . The output

from Python is the matrix that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1.82527254 \\ -1.53787953 \\ 0.70804626 \\ 8.36860182 \end{bmatrix}$  and the output from R is the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1.8252725 \\ -1.5378795 \\ 0.7080463 \\ 8.3686018 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Comparing those two results, we can get that this method works.

## Question 2

```
library(reticulate)
```

```
## Warning: package 'reticulate' was built under R version 4.0.3
```

```
# R data
set.seed(1)
n <- 1e4; p <- 4
X2 <- matrix(rnorm(n*p), ncol = p)
beta <- c(1,2,3,4)
epsilon <- rnorm(n)
y2 <- X2 %*% beta + epsilon
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
def recursive_ls(x, y, w, G):
    tmp = G @ (x.T @ x) @ G
    G = G - tmp / (1 + x @ G @ x.T)
    w = w - G @ x.T @ (x @ w - y)
    return w, G
```

```
# load all data, run ls model
bhat_all <- coef(lm(y2 ~ X2 - 1))
bhat_all
```

```
##           X21           X22           X23           X24
## 0.9870134  1.9876739  3.0045489  4.0102080
```

```
# load data row-by-row, run rls model
```

```
source_python("C:/Users/Xining/Dropbox/My PC (DESKTOP-Q770U8J)/Desktop/Campus/First Year/First Semester/
n <- length(y2)
p <- 4
```

```

G <- diag(p)
bhat_one <- matrix(0, p, 1)
for (i in 1:n)
{
  Xi <- X2[i,]
  Xi <- matrix(Xi, ncol=p)
  yi <- y2[i]
  result <- recursive_ls(Xi, yi, bhat_one, G)
  bhat_one <- result[[1]]
  G <- result[[2]]
}
bhat_one

##           [,1]
## [1,] 0.9869195
## [2,] 1.9874662
## [3,] 3.0042462
## [4,] 4.0097982

```

## Interpretation

In Python, we have an out-of-core implementation of linear model. We use recursive least square method. `bhat_all` is calculated with the whole data using build-in `lm` method. `bhat_one` is calculated by reading the data row-by-row, and updating the model. The comparison between those two results are similar.

## Question 3

```

library(reticulate)

# test data
set.seed(42)
n <- 100
p <- 500
X <- matrix(rnorm(n * p), ncol = p)
beta <- c(3, 2, 1, rep(0, p - 3))
y <- X %*% beta + rnorm(n = n, sd = 0.1)

# R
# Soft threshold function.
casl_util_soft_thresh <-
  function(a, b)
  {
    a[abs(a) <= b] <- 0
    a[a > 0] <- a[a > 0] - b
    a[a < 0] <- a[a < 0] + b
    a
  }

# Update beta vector using coordinate descent.W
casl_lenet_update_beta <-
  function(X, y, lambda, alpha, b, W)
  {
    WX <- W * X
    WX2 <- W * X^2

```

```

Xb <- X %*% b
for (i in seq_along(b))
{
  Xb <- Xb - X[, i] * b[i]
  b[i] <- casl_util_soft_thresh(sum(WX[,i, drop=FALSE] *
                                   (y - Xb)),
                                lambda*alpha)
  b[i] <- b[i] / (sum(WX2[, i]) + lambda * (1 - alpha))
  Xb <- Xb + X[, i] * b[i]
}
b
}

# Compute linear elastic net using coordinate descent.
# Args:
# X: A numeric data matrix.
# y: Response vector.
# lambda: The penalty term.
# alpha: Value from 0 and 1; balance between l1/l2 penalty.
# maxit: Integer maximum number of iterations.
# tol: Numeric tolerance parameter.
# Returns:
# Regression vector beta of length ncol(X).
casl_lenet <- function(X, y, lambda, alpha = 1,
  b = matrix(0, nrow=ncol(X), ncol=1),
  tol = 1e-5, maxit = 50, W = rep(1, length(y))/length(y))
{
  for (j in seq_along(lambda))
  {
    if (j > 1)
    {
      b[,j] <- b[, j-1, drop = FALSE]
    }
    # Update the slope coefficients until they converge.
    for (i in seq(1, maxit))
    {
      b_old <- b[, j]
      b[, j] <- casl_lenet_update_beta(X, y, lambda[j], alpha,
        b[, j], W)
      if (all(abs(b[, j] - b_old) < tol)) {
        break
      }
    }
    if (i == maxit)
    {
      warning("Function lenet did not converge.")
    }
  }
  b
}

bhat_r <- casl_lenet(X, y, lambda = 0.1)
bhat_r[bhat_r != 0]

```

```
## [1] 2.8991182 1.8926516 0.8867888
```

```
import numpy as np

def soft_threshold(x, t):
    y = np.zeros(x.shape)
    y[x > t] = x[x > t] - t
    y[x < -t] = x[x < -t] + t
    return y

def lasso_ista(y, X, lam, t, nit):
    beta = np.zeros((X.shape[1],1))
    cost = np.zeros(nit)
    Xty = np.transpose(X) @ y
    XtX = np.transpose(X) @ X
    for i in range(nit):
        tmp = beta + t * (Xty - XtX @ beta)
        beta = soft_threshold(tmp, t * lam)
        cost[i] = np.linalg.norm(y - X @ beta, 2) + lam * np.linalg.norm(beta, 1)
    return beta, cost

def lasso_fista(y, X, lam, nit):
    beta = np.zeros((X.shape[1],1))
    cost = np.zeros(nit)
    t = 1
    z = beta.copy()
    L = np.linalg.norm(X, ord=2) ** 2
    for i in range(nit):
        beta_old = beta.copy()
        z = z + X.T.dot(y - X.dot(z)) / L
        beta = soft_threshold(z, lam / L)
        t0 = t
        t = (1. + np.sqrt(1. + 4.*t ** 2)) / 2.
        z = beta + ((t0 - 1.)/t) * (beta - beta_old)
        cost[i] = np.linalg.norm(y - X @ beta, 2) + lam * np.linalg.norm(beta, 1)
    return beta, cost
out = lasso_fista(y, X, 0.1, 10000)
bhat_py = out[[1]]
bhat1 = bhat_py[abs(bhat_py) > 0.02]
bhat1
```

## Interpretation

In Python, we use FISTA method to solve LASSO problem. In R, we use the `cas1_lenet` method to solve LASSO problem. By comparison, both of them provide sparse result, and they are very similar.

## Interpretation

In Python, we use FISTA method to solve LASSO problem. In R, we use the `cas1_lenet` method to solve LASSO problem. By comparison, both of them provide sparse result, and they are very similar.

## **Question 4**

### **Goal**

My final project will do the photo transformation from real photo to the cartoon.

### **Improvement**

I do the research and find that there exists some papers using transform directly to do the change. However, I will add the step – face recolonization to collection face characteristics. According to the characteristics we get, my project will choose better style (Western or Asian) of cartoon for this person.

### **Method**

I will use CNN to do the characteristics collection. Then, according to the characteristics, I will do the classification to judge which style (western style or Asian Style) is much fitter for the person. Then I will do the target transformation.