

Influence Maximization Problem

Ziqiang LI 李子强(11510352)

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,
Southern University of Science and Technology
Email: 11510352@mail.sustc.edu.cn

1. Preliminaries

Influence Maximization Problem is the problem of finding a small subset of nodes(seed nodes) in a social network, that could maximize the spread of influence. The IMP is NP-hard and the influence spread computation is $\#P$ -hard under the definitions shown in the introduction. I improve Degree Discount IC Algorithm [1], which can pick up the parent of high impact node. Besides, I also implement influence spread estimator with independent cascade (IC) and linear threshold (LT) models.

2. Methodology

2.1. Data structures

- **Network:** nested dictionary, storing both adjacent matrix and inverse adjacent matrix.
- dd_v : priority queue

2.2. Main functions

TABLE 1. IMPORTANT VARIABLES USED IN THE PAPER

Variable	Descriptions
AS	Activity Set
W_{sn}	weight between s and n
d_v	degree of vertex v in G
p	propagation probability in the IC model
t_v	number of neighbors of vertex v already selected as seeds
$argmax_v$	maximum value for vertex v

Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 show how to take one sample by the respective model [2]. In general, I take 10 thousand samples to finally estimate a seed's influence. The process of ISE is really time-consuming, so, when I evaluate the seeds of the output of Algorithm 3, I will take just hundreds or thousands samples, which the error for smaller sample is admissible, and I will re-evaluate the seeds seems performing well with more iteration.

A way to make Algorithm 2 more faster is that initialize vertex's threshold when it is used instead of initialize them all at the beginning.

Algorithm 1 IC(G, S)

Input: network G , seed set S

Output: the number of nodes influenced

```

1: initialize  $AS \leftarrow S$ 
2:  $count \leftarrow |AS|$ 
3: while  $AS \neq \emptyset$  do
4:   initialize  $newAS \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
5:   for each seed  $s \in AS$  do
6:     for each inactive neighbour  $n$  do
7:        $s$  tries to activate  $n$  by using  $W_{sn}$ 
8:       if  $n$  is activated then
9:          $newAS \leftarrow newAS \cup \{n\}$ 
10:      end if
11:    end for
12:  end for
13:   $count \leftarrow count + |newAS|$ 
14:   $AS \leftarrow newAS$ 
15: end while
16: return  $count$ 
```

Because computing w_{total} is time-consuming, LT model is slower than IC about 3 times.

Algorithm 2 LT(G, S)

Input: network G , seed set S

Output: the number of nodes influenced

```

1: initialize  $v.thresh \leftarrow rand()$  for all  $v \in V$ 
2: initialize  $AS \leftarrow S$ 
3:  $count \leftarrow |AS|$ 
4: while  $AS \neq \emptyset$  do
5:   initialize  $newAS \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
6:   for each seed  $s \in AS$  do
7:     for each inactive neighbour  $n$  do
8:       compute  $w_{total}$  for  $n$ 
9:       if  $n.w_{total} \geq n.thresh$  then
10:        set  $n$  is activated
11:         $newAS \leftarrow newAS \cup \{n\}$ 
12:      end if
13:    end for
14:  end for
15:   $count \leftarrow count + |newAS|$ 
16:   $AS \leftarrow newAS$ 
17: end while
18: return  $count$ 
```

Algorithm 3 Degree_Discount(G, k)

Input: network G , selecting size k **Output:** S

```
1: initialize  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2: for each vertex  $v$  do
3:    $d_v \leftarrow \sum W_{vu}$  for each neighbour  $u$  of  $v$ 
4:    $dd_v \leftarrow d_v$ 
5:   initialize  $t_v \leftarrow 0$ 
6: end for
7: for  $i = 1$  to  $k$  do
8:   select  $u \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_v \{dd_v \mid v \in V \setminus s\}$ 
9:   while  $u$  has a big parent  $m$  do
10:     $u \leftarrow a$ 
11:   end while
12:    $S \leftarrow S \cup \{u\}$ 
13:   for each neighbour  $v$  of  $u$  and  $v \in V \setminus s$  do
14:     $t_v \leftarrow t_v + W_{uv}$ 
15:     $dd_v \leftarrow d_v - 2t_v - (d_v - t_v)t_v p$ 
16:   end for
17: end for
18: return  $S$ 
```

Algorithm 3 always choose vertex v with the greatest degree discount into seeds S (Line 8) [1], but there is a case that v has a big parent m that can completely activate v , if m is activated, but m is not chose as seeds. *big parent* (Lines 9-11) can fix this case. *big parent* means that a node has a edge to its neighbour with the weight of 1, and what should be noticed is that whether it traps into infinite loop to find *big parent*.

3. Empirical Verification

In practical, Algorithm 3 also can add some stochastic process in select u (Line 8) to get a better seed, for example randomly choosing u by the distribution of weight dd_v .

I test Algorithm 3 with given network file. Without multiprocessing optimization, It can get a great seed with 4 vertex in 2 seconds which just run hundreds of iteration.

3.1. Performance

In below shows the performance. Table 2 gives the time for each ISE model run ten thousands times to give a estimated influence for a seed. Table 3 gives the time for generate ten thousands seeds, evaluate them and return the best seeds.

TABLE 3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF IMP

Seed size	4	10
IC	4.66 s	12.20 s
LT	8.54 s	15.726 s

References

- [1] W. Chen, Y. Wang, and S. Yang, "Efficient influence maximization in social networks," *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining - KDD 09*, 2009.
- [2] P. Shakarian, A. Bhatnagar, A. Aleali, E. Shaabani, and R. Guo, *Diffusion in social networks*. Cham: Springer, 2015.

TABLE 2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF ISE

Seed size	4	10
IC	0.33 s	0.479 s
LT	0.84 s	1.05 s