

100

	Exceptional 1st	1st	2.1	2.2	3rd	Marginal Fail	Fail
Weight	Exceptional and exemplary work : •Has carefully followed the lab document and module knowledge from the lectures and has gone above that level •Showing thorough and systematic understanding of module content.	Outstanding answer: •Has carefully followed the lab document and module knowledge from the lectures •Showing thorough and •Methodological rigour. •Originality. •Critical Judgement.	Very good, comprehensive answer showing: •Has met the requirements of the lab document and module knowledge from the lectures. •Very good knowledge and understanding of module content. •Well-argued answer. •Some evidence of originality and critical judgement. •Sound methodology. •Critical judgement and some grasp of complex issues.	Good answer showing: •Good knowledge and understanding of the module content. •Reasonably well argued. •Largely descriptive or narrative in focus. •Methodological application is not consistent or thorough.	Adequate answer: •Lacking methodological application. •Adequately argued. •Basic understanding and knowledge. •Gaps or inaccuracies but not damaging.	Failing answer: •Little relevant material and/or inaccurate answer or incomplete. •Disorganised. •Largely irrelevant material and misunderstanding. •No evidence of methodology. •Minimal or no relevant material	Nil Submission; or, answer meeting none of the necessary requirements with: •No material of value to the question asked. •No recognition of the question.
	(100% – 80%)	(79% – 70%)	(69% – 60%)	(59% – 50%)	(49% – 40%)	(39% – 35%)	(34% – 0%)
5	Introduction section						
2	Reporting defect statistics in the report-- Defects found in each test approach, and reported by students in Zoho						
3	Screenshots from defects filed in Zoho. They should be readable, not too small, etc.						
15	Number of defects found: Note that not all (the injected) defects need to be found. Recall: we have intentionally injected a number of defects in the system, and their number obviously cannot be disclosed. If it appears that not enough effort was made in finding defects and substantially less defects are found, marks will be deducted.						
	Almost the same as the total number of injected defects				Very few bugs found		No bugs found
25	Precision and quality of bug reports: Do the defect reports contain the detailed defect information? Does the report contain all the defects in the same level of detail? Does it contain the input, the steps to reproduce the defect/bug, the expected output, and the faulty output for each defect?						
	Clarity and adherence to defect reporting guidelines: Is it obvious where to start (what state to bring the program to) to replicate the defect? Is it obvious what inputs one has to provide to duplicate the defect? Is it obvious what files to use (if any)?						
5	Exploratory testing plan						
5	Overall experience						
5	Peer reviews of defect reports						
5	Discussion of duplicate bug reports						
5	Manual scripted testing						
10	Comparison of exploratory testing and manual scripted testing						
	Exceptional comparison, using explicit examples from lab work				Explicit examples from lab work are missing		
5	How the pair testing was managed and team work/effort was divided						
5	Difficulties encountered, challenges overcome, and technical lessons learned						
5	Using the provided template Word file, writing quality, and general formatting of the report						