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# Introduction

Credit-risk evaluation is a very challenging and important management science problem in the domain of financial analysis. Many techniques have been proposed, but neural networks have been receiving the high praise in default classification.

In this project I will attempt to classify credit card clients into defaulting on their next monthly payments or not defaulting. In order to do this, explanatory variables (including both demographic and past payment information) will be used in a neural network algorithm to predict whether or not a client will default.

# Literature Review

The following are summaries of the related papers I have reviewed to solve the problem of default detection of credit card clients.

## A Proposed Classification of Data Mining Techniques in Credit Scoring [1]

This article explores different types of data mining techniques that are employed for credit scoring. Methods include neural networks, Bayesian classifier, discriminant analysis, logistic regression, k-nearest neighbor, decision tree, survival analysis, fuzzy rule-based system, support vector machine, and hybrid models (integrating two or more existing models).

## Benchmarking state-of-the-art classification algorithms for credit scoring [2]

This article explores the effectiveness of different types of classification algorithms for credit scoring. It is an update 10 years after the original article was published since there has been numerous statistical advancements in predictive modeling. The results of this study consists of the performance estimates of 41 classifiers across 7 credit scoring data sets. Artificial neural networks still continues to be a very powerful individual classifier. There was a tendency that homogeneous ensemble classifiers outperform individual classifiers. Of these two groups the five best performing methods belong to the homogeneous ensemble family.

## Using Neural Network Rule Extraction and Decision Tables for Credit-Risk Evaluation [3]

This paper has evaluated and contrasted three neural network rule extraction techniques – Neurorule, Trepan, and Nefclass, for credit-risk evaluation. This is relevant to my project as I will be utilizing neural networks to classify defaults for credit card clients. Experiments were conducted on three real-life financial credit-risk evaluation data sets. Both Neurorule and Trepan yielded a very good classification accuracy compared to the popular C4.5 algorithm and the logistic regression classifier.

# Dataset

The data used for this project has been provided by I-Cheng Yeh of Chung Hua University and Che-hui Lien of Tamkang University in Taiwan and consists of demographic information and past payment information of clients.

The following are the columns present in the dataset:   
X1: Amount of the given credit (NT dollar): it includes both the individual consumer credit and his/her family (supplementary) credit.   
X2: Gender (1 = male; 2 = female).   
X3: Education (1 = graduate school; 2 = university; 3 = high school; 4 = others).   
X4: Marital status (1 = married; 2 = single; 3 = others).   
X5: Age (year).   
X6 - X11: History of past payment. We tracked the past monthly payment records (from April to September, 2005) as follows: X6 = the repayment status in September, 2005; X7 = the repayment status in August, 2005; . . .;X11 = the repayment status in April, 2005. The measurement scale for the repayment status is: -1 = pay duly; 1 = payment delay for one month; 2 = payment delay for two months; . . .; 8 = payment delay for eight months; 9 = payment delay for nine months and above.   
X12-X17: Amount of bill statement (NT dollar). X12 = amount of bill statement in September, 2005; X13 = amount of bill statement in August, 2005; . . .; X17 = amount of bill statement in April, 2005.   
X18-X23: Amount of previous payment (NT dollar). X18 = amount paid in September, 2005; X19 = amount paid in August, 2005; . . .;X23 = amount paid in April, 2005.

The dataset also contains a column “Y” where a value of 1 means that the client defaulted in the next month and 0 if they didn’t. I will predict this column in the evaluation step and use the value present to train the model in the training step.

The data can be obtained from the following URL: <http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/default+of+credit+card+clients>.

# Approach

I have not yet started any of the work in regards to the code needed to perform the task at hand. I have currently only completed research as to what is necessary to attempt to solve the problem. The following is the approach I will take to attempt to solve the problem of default detection of credit card clients.

## Step 1: Prepare Data

The Data will be prepared in this step into training and testing sets in a 70/30 split.

## Step 2: Train Models

The models (both the neural network model and any models used for accuracy comparison) will be trained using the training set prepared in step 1.

## Step 3: Evaluate Models

The models will be evaluated in this step using the testing set prepared in step 1. And conclusions on the results will be made.
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