

Math Logic Assignment #5 100

tags: Math Logic 作业

— raoxiangyun 520030910366

— Wed, Nov 30, 2022 8:18 PM

1.

(1) Solution:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{[A] \quad [B]}{A \wedge B} \wedge\text{-I} \quad \frac{\frac{[B]}{\neg A \vee \neg B} \vee\text{-I}}{(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)} \vee\text{-I}}{\frac{[B \vee \neg B] (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)}{(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)} \vee\text{-E}} \quad \frac{\frac{[A]}{\neg A \vee \neg B} \vee\text{-I}}{(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)} \vee\text{-I} \\
 \frac{[A \vee \neg A] \quad (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)}{(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)} \vee\text{-E}
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\vdash (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \vee \neg B)$, $(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ is provable.

(2) Solution:

First let's make a truth value table:

A	B	$(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

From the truth value table we know that exists truth assignment V that $\bar{V}((A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)) = \text{False}$. Therefore, $(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ is not a tautology. According to the soundness and completeness theorems, we can know that if $\models \alpha$ then $\vdash \alpha$

and if $\vdash \alpha$ then $\models \alpha$. That is, $\models \alpha \iff \vdash \alpha$, and $\not\models \alpha \iff \not\vdash \alpha$. From our conclusion we know that $\not\models (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ is True. Therefore, $\not\vdash (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ is True. Therefore, $(A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$ is not provable

2.

(1) Solution:

There is no such a set that every set is its member.
 $\rightarrow (\neg [\text{There is such a set that every set is its member}])$
 $\rightarrow (\neg \exists v_1 [\text{every set is } v_1 \text{'s member}])$
 $\rightarrow (\neg \exists v_1 \forall v_2 [v_2 \text{ is } v_1 \text{'s member}])$
 $\rightarrow (\neg \exists v_1 \forall v_2, v_2 \in v_1)$

(2) Solution:

Every farmer who owns a donkey needs hay, and every farmer who owns a donkey beats it.
 $\rightarrow [\text{Every farmer who owns a donkey needs hay}] \wedge [\text{every farmer who owns a donkey beats it}]$
 $\rightarrow \forall x [\text{if } x \text{ is a farmer and owns a donkey, } x \text{ needs hay}] \wedge \forall x [\text{if } x \text{ is a farmer and owns a donkey, } x \text{ beats it}]$
 $\rightarrow \forall x Fx [\text{if } x \text{ owns a donkey, } x \text{ needs hay}] \wedge \forall x Fx [\text{if } x \text{ owns a donkey, } x \text{ beats it}]$
 $\rightarrow \forall x \exists y Fx \vee Dy \vee Oxy \rightarrow [x \text{ needs hay}] \wedge \forall x \exists y Fx \vee Dy \vee Oxy \rightarrow [x \text{ beats it}]$
 $\rightarrow \forall x \exists y Fx Dy Oxy \rightarrow Hxy \wedge \forall x \exists y Fx Dy Oxy \rightarrow Bxy$

3.

(1) Solution:

$[x]$.

(2) Solution:

$[y, z]$.

(3) Solution:

$[z, x]$.

4.

(1). Solution:

$$\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \exists v_0, v_0 + v_0 = v_1 [s]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 + v_0 = v_1 [s(v_0|c)] (\text{mark } s(v_0|c) \text{ as } s_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 + v_0 = v_1 [s_1]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, s_1(v_0) + s_1(v_0) = s_1(v_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, c + c = 2c = s(v_1)$$

From the start we can know that $s(v_1) = 2 * 1 = 2$. Therefore, $\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \exists v_0, v_0 + v_0 = v_1 [s]$ holds.

(2). Solution:

$$\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \exists v_0, v_0 \times v_0 = v_1 [s]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 \times v_0 = v_1 [s(v_0|c)] (\text{mark } s(v_0|c) \text{ as } s_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 \times v_0 = v_1 [s_1]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, s_1(v_0) \times s_1(v_0) = s_1(v_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{There is } c \in \mathbb{N}, c \times c = c^2 = s(v_1)$$

We can easily know that $s(v_1) = 2 * 1 = 2$. It can not be reached by square of natural number. Therefore, $\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \exists v_0, v_0 \times v_0 = v_1 [s]$ doesn't hold.

(3) Solution:

$$\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \forall v_0 \exists v_1, v_0 = v_1 [s]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is } b \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 = v_1 [s(v_0|a)(v_1|b)] (\text{mark } s(v_0|a)(v_1|b) \text{ as } s_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is } b \in \mathbb{N}, s_2(v_0) = s_2(v_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ there is } b \in \mathbb{N}, a = b, \text{ and it obviously holds.}$$

(4) Solution:

$$\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \forall v_0 \forall v_1 \quad v_0 + 1 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2 v_0 < v_2 \wedge v_2 < v_1 [s]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 + 1 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2 v_0 < v_2 \wedge v_2 < v_1 [s(v_0|a)(v_1|b)]$$

$$(\text{mark } s(v_0|a)(v_1|b) \text{ as } s_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 + 1 < v_1 [s_2] \Rightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{N}} \exists v_2 v_0 < v_2 \wedge v_2 < v_1 [s_2]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 + 1 < v_1 [s_2] \Rightarrow \text{there is } c \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 < v_2 \wedge v_2 < v_1 [s_2(v_2|c)]$$

$$(\text{mark } s_2(v_2|c) \text{ as } s_3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, s_2(v_0) + 1 < s_2(v_1) \Rightarrow \text{there is } c \in \mathbb{N}, s_3(v_0) < s_3(v_2) \wedge s_3(v_2) < s_3(v_1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a + 1 < b \Rightarrow \text{there is } c \in \mathbb{N}, a < c \wedge c < b$$

First since $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, there is not element $\in \mathbb{N}$ that $\in [a, a + 1]$. But if $a + 1 < b$, then there is at least $a + 1 \in [a, b]$ and $a + 1 \neq a, a + 1 \neq b$. Therefore,

$$\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \forall v_0 \forall v_1 \quad v_0 + 1 < v_1 \rightarrow \exists v_2 v_0 < v_2 \wedge v_2 < v_1 [s] \text{ holds.}$$

(5). Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \models_{\mathfrak{N}} \forall v_0 \forall v_1 v_0 \dot{<} v_2 \wedge v_2 \dot{<} v_1 [s] \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \models_{\mathfrak{N}} v_0 \dot{<} v_2 \wedge v_2 \dot{<} v_1 [s(v_0|a)(v_1|b)] \text{ (mark } s(v_0|a)(v_1|b) \text{ as } s_1) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, s_1(v_0) < s_1(v_2) \text{ and } s_1(v_2) < s_1(v_1) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a < 4 \text{ and } 4 < b. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, if $a=4$ or $b=4$, $a < 4$ and $4 < b$ doesn't hold. Therefore, $\models_{\mathfrak{N}} \forall v_0 \forall v_1 v_0 \dot{<} v_2 \wedge v_2 \dot{<} v_1 [s]$ doesn't hold.

5. Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha \rightarrow \forall x \alpha) [s] \\ \Leftrightarrow & \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s] \Rightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall x \alpha [s] \end{aligned}$$

If $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha [s]$, that is, for any assignment, it can't be satisfied. Because x occurs not free in α , so x doesn't affect satisfaction of α . That is $s(y) = s(x|\alpha)(y)$. And obviously, x occurs no free in $\forall x \alpha$ either, and other variable in α that occurs free wasn't been affected by it and they will occur free in $\forall x \alpha$. If s can satisfy α , it can s.t $\forall x \alpha$ according to theorem in slices page 58/77.

In the same way, If s cannot satisfy α , it cannot s.t $\forall x \alpha$ according to theorem in slices page 58/77. Therefore, $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha \rightarrow \forall x \alpha) [s]$ holds.

6. Solution:

$$\forall x (x \dot{=} \dot{=} x \wedge \dot{=} x \dot{=} x \wedge \forall a \forall b \forall c (a \dot{=} b) \dot{=} c \dot{=} a \dot{=} (b \dot{=} c))$$