## Heuristic Analysis

## My experiment settings:

Custom\_score : 2\*#my\_move - #opp\_move

Custom\_score\_2: - #opp\_move

Custom\_score\_3: score(#my\_move) – score(#opp\_move)

Score function of Custom\_score\_3 is {0:-inf, 1:0, 2:0.5, 3: 1, 4:2, 5:2, 6:2, 7:2, 8:2}

Because of the randomness in game initiation, I set the number of matches to 50 in order to observe a more significant result.

## **Experiment Results:**

| Win Percentage | AB_Improved | AB_Custom | AB_Custom_2 | AB_Custom_3 |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Random         | 78          | 85        | 78          | 79          |
| MM_open        | 64          | 71        | 66          | 72          |
| MM_Center      | 80          | 77        | 71          | 75          |
| MM_Improved    | 61          | 62        | 56          | 53          |
| AB_Open        | 49          | 55        | 49          | 57          |
| AB_Center      | 54          | 52        | 54          | 64          |
| AB_Improved    | 48          | 53        | 42          | 53          |

The results show AB strategy have clear advantage over MM, however, the different heuristics don't differ too much compare to each other.

## Discussion:

Compare to standard isolation that one can move like a queen, in this game one can only move L-shape. Therefore, the situation of separation will never happen. In addition, the next move is at most 8, so one player can be easier to forfeit at early stage. In addition, it's also difficult say which position, for example middle, corner, or edge, is better. Therefore, a weighted strategy is also difficult to develop.

I'll pick up the AB\_Custom as final evaluation function. First, it has slightly better winning rate compare to others. Second, its win percentage is more stable compare to others, which means it might be more robust to random initiation. Third, compared last Custom\_score\_3, it's slightly faster.