

Introduction to Item-Item Collaborative Filtering

Motivation

- User-User CF was great, except ...
- Issues of Sparsity
 - With large item sets, small numbers of ratings, too often there are points where no recommendation can be made (for a user, for an item to a set of users, etc.)
 - Many solutions proposed here, including “filterbots”, item-item, and dimensionality reduction

Motivation (2)

- Computational performance
 - With millions of users (or more), computing all-pairs correlations is expensive
 - Even incremental approaches were expensive
 - And user profiles could change quickly – needed to compute in real time to keep users happy

Item-Item: An Alternative

- Badrul Sarwar, George Karypis, Joseph Konstan, and John Riedl. 2001. Item-based collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on World Wide Web (WWW '01)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 285-295.

Winner of the 2016 Seoul Test of Time Award

The Item-Item Insight

- Item-Item similarity is fairly stable ...
 - This is dependent on having many more users than items
 - Average item has many more ratings than an average user
 - Intuitively, items don't generally change rapidly – at least not in ratings space (special case for time-bound items)
- Item similarity is a route to computing a prediction of a user's item preference

A little more detail ...

- Two step process:
 - Compute similarity between pairs of items
 - Correlation between rating vectors
 - co-rated cases only (only useful for multi-level ratings)
 - Cosine of item rating vectors
 - can be used with multi-level or unary ratings
 - or adjusted ratings (normalize before computing cosine)
 - Some use conditional probability (unary)
 - Predict user-item rating
 - Weighted sum of rated "item-neighbors"
 - Linear regression to estimate rating

Item-Item Top-N

- Item-Item similarity model can be used to compute top-N directly:
 - Simplify model by limiting items to small “neighborhoods” of k most-similar items (e.g., 20)
 - For a profile set of items, compute/merge/sort the k -most similar items for each profile item
 - Straightforward matrix operation from Deshpande and Karypis (Mukund Deshpande and George Karypis. 2004. Item-based top- N recommendation algorithms. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.* 22, 1 (January 2004), 143-177.)

Benefits of Item-Item

- It actually works quite well
 - Good prediction accuracy
 - Good performance on top-N predictions
- Efficient implementation
 - At least in cases where $|U| \gg |I|$
 - Benefits of precomputability
- Broad applicability and flexibility
 - As easy to apply to a shopping cart as to a user profile

Core Assumptions/Limitations

- Item-item relationships need to be stable ...
 - Mostly a corollary of stable user preferences
 - Could have special cases that are difficult (e.g., calendars, short-lived books, etc.)
 - Many of these issues are general temporal issues
- Main limitation/complaint: lower serendipity
 - This is a user/researcher complaint, not fully studied; intuition is clear

Moving Forward

- Next Lectures
 - Breaking down the core item-item algorithm
 - Looking at the special cases of implicit feedback (unary ratings)
 - Extending item-item to incorporate other sources of information
 - Item-item in practice – retracing the industry history
 - Programming item-item (honors track)

Learning Objectives

After completing this module, you should be able to:

- Explain the concept and algorithm for item-item collaborative filtering, including the key tuning parameters and its strengths and weaknesses.
- Implement item-item collaborative filtering, both manually on small datasets (all learners) and by programming it (honors track).
- Identify the relative strengths and weaknesses of user-based and item-based algorithms, and identify which algorithm is a better fit for a particular use case.

Assignments and Assessments

- Spreadsheet IICF Assignment
- Module Quiz
- LensKit IICF Programming Assignment (Honors Track)

Introduction to Item-Item Collaborative Filtering