

## Abstract

### L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3: Programming in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X with Ease

Ziyue “Alan” Xiang

## Contents

1	Introduction	?1
1.1	Why L <sup>A</sup> T <sub>E</sub> X3?	?1
2	L <sup>A</sup> T <sub>E</sub> X3 Naming Conventions (I-1)	?2
2.1	Category Code & Command Name	?2

## List of Examples

1	Doing 123	?3
---	-----------	----

## 1 Introduction

Many people view L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X as a typesetting language and overlook the importance of programming in document generation process. In reality, many large and structural documents can benefit from a programming backend, which enhances layout standardization, symbol coherence, editing speed and many other aspects. Despite the fact the standard L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X (L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub>) is already Turing complete, which means it is capable of solving any programming task, the design of numerous programming interfaces is highly inconsistent due to the long history of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X. This makes programming with L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub> extremely daunting, even for seasoned computer programmers.

To make programming in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X easier, the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 programming interface is introduced, which aims to provide modern-programming-language-like syntax and library for L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X programmers. Unfortunately, learning materials for this wonderful language is scarce. One of the few resource available for new users is *The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 Interfaces* [5], which is essentially an API documentation that is not designed for introductory purposes. This situation may have barred many L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X users from utilizing the generic programming capabilities of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X. Therefore, this article intends to provide an easy-to-understand tutorial for L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X users with computer programming knowledge. Hopefully, readers can improve their L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X editing efficiency and document quality after understanding L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3.

This article is largely based on *examples*, which demonstrate different aspects of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 programming. The minimum preamble for all examples is

```
1 \documentclass{article}
2 \usepackage{tikz} % load TikZ for some TikZ examples
3 \usepackage{expl3} % load latex3 packages
```

Examples should be placed between `\begin{document}` and `\end{document}`. All examples are tested with T<sub>E</sub>XLive 2020 on Ubuntu 20.04.

Since the scale of L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 is huge, it would be infeasible to cover all modules and functionalities in one tutorial. As a result, this article only focuses on most frequently used components in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3. The complete API documentation can be found in *The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 Interfaces* [5]. The numbers surrounded by parentheses in some section titles of this tutorial indicate the corresponding documentation location in *The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 Interfaces*.

### 1.1 Why L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3?

As mentioned above, L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X 2<sub>ε</sub> is already Turing complete and serves as the building block of many important packages. What are the reasons for switching to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3?

**Better expansion control** Fundamentally, L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X works by doing *substitution*: commands are substituted by their definition, which is subsequently replaced by definition’s definition, until something irreplaceable is reached (e.g. text or T<sub>E</sub>X primitives). This process is called *expansion*. The mechanism of expansion may sound simple and straightforward. However, it usually requires a lot of manual fine-tuning in practice.

Consider the example below. We know that the `\uppercase` macro capitalize English letters, which renders the first output line in all caps. But if we store some text in `\myname` and then apply `\uppercase` to the command, we can see that the output is *not* turned into uppercase letters.

```
1 \par\uppercase{Alan Xiang}
2 \newcommand*{\myname}{Alan Xiang}
3 \par\uppercase{\myname}
```

ALAN XIANG  
Alan Xiang

Why would this happen? Let us dig into how `\uppercase` works. The `\uppercase` macro scans each token<sup>1</sup> inside its argument group one by one. If an English letter is encountered, its uppercase form is left in the output stream. If a command is encountered, it will not try to apply `\uppercase` to the content of the command. Instead, the command itself will be placed into the output stream. In this case, `\myname` will be left untouched in the output, which is subsequently expanded to its original definition.

<sup>1</sup> Tokens are smallest units that T<sub>E</sub>X compilers work with. For now, we can consider a token to be either a character or command. For more about T<sub>E</sub>X tokens, see [3].

What if we also want to capitalize the content of `\myname` as well? To achieve this, we need to fine-tune the expansion process by changing the *order* of expansion. That is, to expand `\myname` before `\uppercase`. In this way, the `\uppercase` command will receive the content of `\myname` in the form of English letters, which allows capitalization to function correctly.

In  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , the classic way of controlling the order of expansion is via the `\expandafter` macro, which it is notoriously difficult to use. According to *A Tutorial on \expandafter* [1], to reverse the expansion of a series of  $n$  tokens, the  $i$ th token has to be preceded by  $2^{n-i} - 1$  `\expandafters`. The exponential growth of the number of `\expandafters` greatly reduces the readability of source code and increases the chances of mistakes. For example, in Joseph Wright’s answer to an expansion-related question on  $\text{\TeX}$  StackExchange [4], a total of 26 `\expandafters` are used to reorder the expansion of merely 4 arguments. To avoid this annoyance, one of the key features of  $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 is to provide simple and reliable expansion control.

**Standardized interface** Just like any other generic programming languages,  $\text{\LaTeX}$  provides integer, floating point, string and container variables. However, tradition  $\text{\LaTeX}$  interface for these types is very messy. For example, to compare the equality of two strings, we can use `\ifthenelse` and `\equal` from `ifthen` package; we can use `\pdfstrcmp` from `pdftexcmds` package; we can also use `\IfStrEq` from `xstring` package. The fact that so many heterogeneous packages provide similar functionalities induces redundancy and potential compatibility issues. Therefore,  $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 is to provide a set of unified and standardized interfaces for all possible  $\text{\LaTeX}$  variable types.

**Modernized experience**  $\text{\TeX}$  was first designed in the late 1970s, when computer hardware and programming languages were prototypes compared to their contemporary counterparts. As a result,  $\text{\TeX}$  and  $\text{\LaTeX}$  contain quirky usages that may seem odd for programmers today. For example, to multiply a counter variable by 3, one writes `\multiply\counter by 3`; to invoke the `date` command via the terminal, one writes `\immediate\write18{date}`. It can be seen that these syntaxes are either outdated or perplexing. In a fairly popular language nowadays (e.g. Python), these two tasks can be done by `counter*=3` and `os.system('date')`, whose code possesses superior simplicity and interpretability.  $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 attempts to modernize the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  language by adapting to modern-language-like syntaxes and introducing a

naming system that makes  $\text{\LaTeX}$  code more readable.

## 2 $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 Naming Conventions (I-1)

In Python or C++, if we see `a(b)`, we can tell `a` is a function and `b` is its argument. However, in  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , if we see `\a\b`, there are two possibilities:

- `\a` is a function and `\b` is its argument
- Both `\a` and `\b` are variables

The syntactic design of  $\text{\LaTeX}$  makes it difficult to distinguish between functions and variables, for each control sequence can either be a function that receives arguments or a variable that absorbs nothing. It can lead to confusion when one is trying to understand others’ source code. Therefore,  $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 introduces a set of naming rules that encode important information into the name of control sequences as a way to improve readability.

Before discussing  $\text{\LaTeX}$ 3 naming conventions, let us take a diversion to look at the low-level design of  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and find out how we can use non-English characters in command names.

### 2.1 Category Code & Command Name

When the  $\text{\LaTeX}$  compiler reads a source file, it will read and process each character one by one. For each character in the file, in addition to its character code,  $\text{\LaTeX}$  compiler will also assign a *category code* based on current category code table. The default  $\text{\LaTeX}$  category code table is shown in Table 1.

Category Code	Description	Character(s)
0	Escape character: tells $\text{\LaTeX}$ to start looking for a command	<code>\</code>
1	Start of group	<code>{</code>
2	End of group	<code>}</code>
3	Toggle math mode	<code>\$</code>
4	Alignment tab	<code>&amp;</code>
5	End of line	<code>'\r'</code>
6	Macro parameter	<code>#</code>
7	Superscript	<code>^</code>
8	Subscript	<code>_</code>
9	Ignored character	<code>'\0'</code>
10	Spacer	<code>'\32', '\t'</code>
11	Letter	<code>A-Z, a-z, ...</code>
12	Other	<code>0-9, +, @...</code>
13	Active character: used for single character commands	<code>~...</code>

Category Code	Description	Character(s)
14	Comment character: ignore everything that follows until end of line	%
15	Invalid character: not allowed in .tex files	'\127'...

**Table 1:** Default L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X category code table [2]. Characters surround by single quotes indicate their C-style representation.

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X reacts to each character according to its category code instead of character code. If we change the category code associated with a character, we can completely change the *meaning* of that character. For example, if we assign category code 7 to `_` and category code 8 to `^`, we can use `_` to denote superscript and `^` to denote subscript.

#### Example 1: Doing 123

```

1 \ExplSyntaxOn
2 \tl_set:Nn \l_tmpa_tl {A}
3 \group_begin:
4 \tl_set:Nn \l_tmpa_tl {B}
5 \par value-inside-group:-\tl_use:N \l_tmpa_tl
6 \group_end:
7 \par value-outside-group:-\tl_use:N \l_tmpa_tl
8
9 \tl_set:Nn \l_tmpb_tl {A}
10 \group_begin:
11 \tl_gset:Nn \l_tmpb_tl {B}
12 \par value-inside-group:-\tl_use:N \l_tmpb_tl
13 \group_end:
14 \par value-outside-group:-\tl_use:N \l_tmpb_tl
15 \ExplSyntaxOff

```

value inside group: B  
value outside group: A  
value inside group: B  
value outside group: B

edu/tex-archive/macros/latex/contrib/  
l3kernel/interface3.pdf, October 2020.

◇ Ziyue “Alan” Xiang  
Purdue University  
ziyue.alan.xiang (at) gmail  
(dot) com  
<https://www.alanshawn.com>  
ORCID 0000-0001-6054-5801

## References

- [1] S. V. Bechtolsheim. A tutorial on `\expandafter`. *TUGboat* 9(1):57–61, 1988.
- [2] Overleaf. Table of T<sub>E</sub>X category codes. [https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Table\\_of\\_TeX\\_category\\_codes](https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Table_of_TeX_category_codes).
- [3] Overleaf. What is a “T<sub>E</sub>X token”? [https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Articles/What\\_is\\_a\\_%22TeX\\_token%22%3F](https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Articles/What_is_a_%22TeX_token%22%3F).
- [4] PLK. Expanding arguments before macro call. <https://tex.stackexchange.com/questions/104506/expanding-arguments-before-macro-call>, March 2013.
- [5] The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 Project. The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X3 Interfaces. <http://ctan.math.washington>.