

# MH3500 Summary for Chapter 1 and 2

MH3500

April 7, 2024

## 1 Discrete Random Variables

- (a)  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ .
  - $P(X = x) = (1 - p)^{1-x}p^x$ , for  $x = 0, 1$ .
  - $E(X) = p$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = p(1 - p)$ ,  $M_X(t) = pe^t + 1 - p$
- (b)  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .
  - $P(X = x) = \binom{n}{x}(1 - p)^{1-x}p^x$ , for  $x = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .
  - $E(X) = np$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = np(1 - p)$ ,  $M_X(t) = (pe^t + 1 - p)^n$
- (c)  $X \sim \text{Geometric}(p)$ .
  - $P(X = x) = p(1 - p)^{x-1}$ , for  $x = 1, 2, \dots$
  - $E(X) = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$ ,  $M_X(t) = \frac{pe^t}{1-(1-p)e^t}$ , for  $t < -\ln(1 - p)$
- (d)  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .
  - $P(X = x) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$ , for  $x = 0, 1, \dots$
  - $E(X) = \lambda$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \lambda$ ,  $M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$

## 2 Continuous Random Variables

- (a)  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(a, b)$ .
  - $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}$ , for  $x \in [a, b]$ .
  - $E(X) = \frac{a+b}{2}$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$ ,  $M_X(t) = \frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$ , for  $t \neq 0$
- (b)  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
  - $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - $E(X) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ ,  $M_X(t) = \exp\left(t\mu + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}\right)$
- (c)  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .
  - $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ , for  $x > 0$ .
  - $E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ ,  $M_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$ , for  $t < \lambda$
- (d)  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ .
  - $f_X(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\frac{x}{\beta}}$ , for  $x > 0$ .
  - $E(X) = \alpha\beta$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \alpha\beta^2$ ,  $M_X(t) = (1 - \beta t)^{-\alpha}$ , for  $t < \frac{1}{\beta}$
- (e) Chi-squared distribution: If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, 1)$ , then  $X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \sim \chi_n^2 \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{n}{2}, 2\right)$ .
- (f) t-distribution: If  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y \sim \chi_k^2$ , then  $\frac{X}{\sqrt{Y/k}} \sim t_k$ .
- (g) F-distribution: If  $U \sim \chi_m^2$  and  $V \sim \chi_n^2$ , then  $\frac{U/m}{V/n} \sim F(m, n)$ .

### 3 Moment Generating Functions

Let  $X$  be a random variable. The moment generating function (MGF) of  $X$  is  $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$ .

- If  $M_X(t) = M_Y(t)$  for all  $t \in [-a, a]$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  has the same distribution.
- If  $Y = aX + b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M_Y(t) = e^{tb}M_X(at)$ .
- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent random variables, then  $M_{X+Y}(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$ .

If  $M_X(t)$  exists in an open interval containing zero, then we can generate the moments by differentiating the MGF. The  $n$ -th moment of  $X$  is

$$E(X^n) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t)|_{t=0}.$$

The  $n$ -th central moment is defined as  $E((X - E(X))^n)$ .

### 4 Sample Mean and Sample Variance

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables with population mean  $E(X_1) = \mu$  and population variance  $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2$ . We define the following statistics:

$$\text{Sample mean, } \bar{X} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sample variance, } s^2 := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

In general,  $E(\bar{X}) = \mu$ ,  $Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$  and  $E(s^2) = \sigma^2$ .

Next, we restrict  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,

$$\left[ \bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \implies \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

Suppose we want to approximate  $\mu$  with a given set of  $n$  samples.

- If  $\sigma^2$  is known, use  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$ .
- If  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, use  $s^2$  to approximate  $\sigma^2$  and for  $n > 30$ , use  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} \sim N(0, 1)$ .
- If  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, use  $s^2$  to approximate  $\sigma^2$  and for  $n \leq 30$ , use  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} \sim t_{n-1}$ .

### 5 Important Definitions and Theorems

**Definition 1** (Convergence in Probability). A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n\}$  is said to converge in probability towards a random variable  $X$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

**Definition 2** (Convergence in Distribution). A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n\}$  is said to converge in distribution towards a random variable  $X$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P(X_n \leq x) - P(X \leq x)| = 0,$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  at which  $P(X \leq x)$  is continuous.

**Theorem 1** (Lévy's Continuity Theorem). Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of random variables with corresponding MGF  $M_n(t)$  and CDF  $F_n$ . Suppose there is a random variable  $X$  with MGF  $M(t)$  and CDF  $F$ .

If for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(t) = M(t),$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x),$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $F$  is continuous. This means that  $\{X_n\}$  converges in distribution to  $X$ .

**Theorem 2** (Central Limit Theorem). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. r.v. with  $E(X_1) = \mu$  and  $Var(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  and write

$$Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma},$$

then  $Z_n$  converges in distribution to  $Z$ . Furthermore, the convergence is uniform, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(Z_n \leq x) - P(Z \leq x)| = 0.$$

**Theorem 3** (Weak Law of Large Numbers). Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. r.v. with  $E(X_1) = \mu$ , then  $\bar{X}$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability, i.e., for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X} - \mu| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

**Theorem 4** (Chebyshev's Inequality). Let  $X$  be a random variable with mean  $\mu$  and finite non-zero variance  $\sigma^2$ . For any real number  $k > 0$ ,

$$P(|X - \mu| > k) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

This is a special case of Markov's Inequality involving the second central moment of  $X$ .

## 6 Method of Moments Estimators

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is unknown. The  $m$ -th sample moment is denoted as

$$s_m = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m.$$

The  $m$ -th moment is denoted as  $\mu_m = E(X_1^m)$ . It can be shown using the Weak LLN, that  $S_m$  converges in probability to  $\mu_m$ . We aim to write  $\theta = h(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  for some function  $h$ . Then, the MME for  $\theta$  is  $\hat{\theta}_{MME} = h(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ .

## 7 Maximum Likelihood Estimators

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is unknown. Let  $\mathbb{S} = (a, b)$  be the range of parameters of  $\theta$ . Let  $f(X_i|\theta)$  be the mass/density function for  $X_i$ . The likelihood function for  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_n|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i|\theta).$$

The MLE for  $\theta$  is defined as

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}} L(X_1, \dots, X_n|\theta).$$

To ensure  $\hat{\theta}$  exists, we need to verify the standard conditions for  $L(\theta)$ , for  $\theta \in (a, b)$ , i.e.,

- $L(\theta) > 0$ , for all  $\theta \in (a, b)$ .
- $L'(\theta)$  exists for all  $\theta \in (a, b)$ .
- $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow a^+} L(\theta) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow b^-} L(\theta) = 0$ .

If the maximizer exists, we solve  $\frac{d}{d\theta} L(\theta) = 0$  for  $\theta$ . However, if this is complicated to solve, we can resolve to the log-likelihood function, that is to solve  $\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(\theta) = 0$  for  $\theta$  to obtain  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ .

## 8 Bias, Variance, Consistency

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is unknown. Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$  based on the  $n$  samples. We have the following quantities:

- Bias( $\hat{\theta}$ ) =  $E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$ .
- Var( $\hat{\theta}$ ) =  $E((\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta}))^2)$ .
- Standard Error: SE( $\hat{\theta}$ ) =  $\sqrt{Var(\hat{\theta})}$ .

- Mean Squared Error:  $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = E((\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2) = \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta})^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$ .

$\hat{\theta}$  can be computed explicitly based on a given set of observations. For this set of observations, the performance of  $\hat{\theta}$  can be measured via the estimated standard error,  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\theta})$ , the standard error evaluated based on observations.

**Definition 3** (Consistency).  $\hat{\theta}$  is said to be a consistent estimator for  $\theta$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

**Theorem 5** (Sufficient Condition for Consistency). Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an estimator for  $\theta$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = 0,$$

then  $\hat{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator.

**Theorem 6** (MME Consistency). Let  $\hat{\theta} = h(s_1, \dots, s_m)$  be the method of moments estimator for  $\theta$ . If  $h$  is continuous in all  $s_1, \dots, s_m$ , then  $\hat{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator.

**Theorem 7** (MLE Consistency). Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$ . Under appropriate regularity assumptions on  $L(\theta)$ ,  $\hat{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator.

## 9 Fisher Information

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ . The likelihood function for  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i | \theta).$$

The Fisher information of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  at  $\theta_0$  is

$$I_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(\theta_0) = E \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right)^2 \right].$$

If condition (\*) is satisfied, i.e.,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x | \theta) dx = 0 \quad (\text{for PDF}) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_x \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x | \theta) = 0 \quad (\text{for PMF}),$$

then  $I_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(\theta) = n I_{X_1}(\theta)$ .

Furthermore, if we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(x | \theta) dx = 0 \quad (\text{for PDF}) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} f(x | \theta) = 0 \quad (\text{for PMF}),$$

then we have the alternative formula for  $I_{X_1}(\theta)$ ,

$$I_{X_1}(\theta) = E \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(X_1 | \theta) \right)^2 \right] = -E \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln L(X_1 | \theta) \right]$$

## 10 Cramer-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

**Theorem 8.** Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be any random sample with a joint PDF  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta)$ . Let  $T = T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an estimator of  $\theta$  and  $E(T)$  is differentiable with respect to  $\theta$ . Suppose  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

for any function  $h$  with  $E(|h(x)|) < \infty$ . Then

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(T) \right)^2}{E \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta) \right)^2 \right]} =: \text{CRLB}.$$

**Corollary 1.** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , with PDF  $f(x|\theta)$ . Suppose  $T$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , then condition (\*) holds by choosing  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$  in the theorem above and we have

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{nI_{X_1}(\theta)}.$$

**Definition 4.** An estimator  $T$  for  $\theta$  is efficient if  $T$  is unbiased and  $\text{Var}(T) = (nI_{X_1}(\theta))^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 9** (Asymptotic Normality of MLE). Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , with PDF or PMF  $f(x|\theta)$ , where the true parameter  $\theta$  is unknown. Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE for  $\theta$ , under some regularity conditions,  $\sqrt{nI_{X_1}(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, 1)$ .

The theorem above describes the asymptotic behaviour of MLE and  $\frac{1}{nI_{X_1}(\theta)}$  is the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ .

To conclude, under some regularity conditions, MLE is

**consistent, asymptotically unbiased, asymptotically normal and asymptotically efficient.**

The last point is justified since the asymptotic variance is the CRLB.

## 11 Confidence Interval

**Definition 5** (Confidence Interval). Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables of distribution  $\mathcal{D}_\theta$ , where the true parameter  $\theta$  is unknown. Suppose  $L(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $U(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  are statistics such that

$$P(L \leq \theta \leq U) = 1 - \alpha,$$

then  $(L(X_1, \dots, X_n), U(X_1, \dots, X_n))$  is an exact  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

**Definition 6** (Pivotal Quantity). A random variable  $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n, \theta)$  is a pivotal quantity if the distribution of  $Q$  does not depend on the unknown parameter  $\theta$ .

To verify that a random variable  $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n, \theta)$  is a pivotal quantity, check that the PDF/CDF/MGF of  $Q$  does not involve any unknown parameter  $\theta$ .

**Example 1.** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  are i.i.d. Let  $\bar{X}$  and  $s^2$  denote the sample mean and sample variance respectively.

- $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$  is a pivotal quantity to construct the C.I. for  $\sigma$ .
- $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$  is a pivotal quantity to construct the C.I. for  $\mu$ , if  $\sigma$  is known.
- $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{s} \sim t_{n-1}$  is a pivotal quantity to construct the C.I. for  $\mu$ , if  $\sigma$  is unknown.

Due to the asymptotical normality of MLE, i.e.  $\sqrt{nI_{X_1}(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, 1)$ , this means that  $\sqrt{nI_{X_1}(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  serves as an asymptotical pivotal quantity to construct a confidence interval for  $\theta$ . The behaviour is only significant for large  $n$ . If we define  $z_\alpha$  as the number such that  $P(Z > z_\alpha) = \alpha$ , where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ , then the approximate  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  C.I. for  $\theta$  is

$$\left[ \hat{\theta} - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{nI_{X_1}(\hat{\theta})}}, \hat{\theta} + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{nI_{X_1}(\hat{\theta})}} \right].$$

## 12 Other Useful Properties

- Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with a CDF  $F$ . Let  $Y_1 = \min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $Y_2 = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , then

$$P(Y_1 \leq y) = 1 - (1 - F(y))^n \quad P(Y_2 \leq y) = (F(y))^n.$$

- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent random variables, then  $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$ . The converse is **TRUE** if  $X, Y$  are normally distributed. Generally, the converse is **FALSE**.
- Bernoulli distribution is a special case of binomial distribution with  $n = 1$ .

- Suppose  $X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n_i, p)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  are pairwise independent, then

$$X_1 + X_2 \dots + X_k \sim \text{Binomial}(n_1 + \dots + n_k, p).$$

- Suppose  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  are pairwise independent, then

$$X_1 + X_2 \dots + X_k \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k).$$

- Suppose  $X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  are pairwise independent, then

$$X_1 + X_2 \dots + X_k \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k, \beta).$$

- Suppose  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  are pairwise independent, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i \sim N\left(\sum a_i \mu_i, \sum a_i^2 \sigma_i^2\right).$$

- Suppose  $X \sim \chi_m^2$  and  $Y \sim \chi_n^2$  are independent random variables, then

$$X + Y \sim \chi_{m+n}^2$$

- Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z) = z!, \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

- Beta function:

$$B(m, n) = \int_0^1 x^{m-1} (1-x)^{n-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m+n)}.$$

- Relationship between Exp, Gamma and  $\chi^2$ :

$$\text{Exp}(\lambda) \sim \text{Gamma}\left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \chi_n^2 \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{n}{2}, 2\right).$$