## **HOMEWORK 2**

## COMPUTATIONAL METHODS FOR DATA SCIENCE FALL SEMESTER 2023

- 1. Generating Random Variables for Common Distributions. (24 points) Assume we can generate a random variable U that follows Uniform(0,1). Suggest a method of generating random variables that follow each of the following distributions:
  - (a) Normal( $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$ ).
  - (b) Exponential( $\lambda$ ).
  - (c) Poisson( $\lambda$ ).
  - (d) Chi-Square(df=k).
  - (e)  $F_{k,m}$ .
  - (f) Binomial(n,p).
  - (g) Negative Binomial(r, p).
  - (h) Dirichlet( $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ ).
- 2. **Sampling Problem.** (10 points) Consider finding  $\sigma^2 = E(X^2)$  where X has the density that is proportional to  $q(x) = e^{-|x|^3/3}$ .
  - (a) Estimate  $\sigma^2$  using importance sampling with standardized weights.
  - (b) Repeat the estimation using rejection sampling.
- 3.  $\pi$  Estimation (26 points) Consider a disk D of radius 1 inscribed within a square of perimeter 8 centered at the origin. Then the ratio of the area of the disk to that of the square is  $\pi/4$ . Let f represent the uniform distribution on the square. Then for a sample of points  $(X_i, Y_i) \sim f(x, y)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ ,  $\hat{\pi} = (4/n)\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{(X_i, Y_i) \in D}$  is an estimator of  $\pi$ , where  $1_A$  is 1 if A is true, and 0 otherwise.
  - Consider the strategy for estimating  $\pi$ . We start with  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) = (0, 0)$ . Thereafter, generate candidates as follows: First, generate both  $\epsilon_x^{(0)}$  and  $\epsilon_y^{(0)}$  follow Uniform(-h, h). If  $(x^{(i)} + \epsilon_x^{(i)}, y^{(i)} + \epsilon_y^{(i)})$  falls outside the square, regenerate  $\epsilon_x^{(0)}$  and  $\epsilon_y^{(0)}$  until the step taken remains within the square. Let  $(X^{i+1}, Y^{i+1}) = (x^{(i)} + \epsilon_x^{(i)}, y^{(i)} + \epsilon_y^{(i)})$ . Increment t. This generates a sample of points over the square. When t = n, stop and calculate  $\hat{\pi}$  as given above.
  - (a) Implement this method for h = 1 and n = 20000. Compute  $\hat{\pi}$ . What is the effect of increasing n? What is the effect of increasing and decreasing h?
  - (b) Explain why this method is flawed. Using the same method to generate candidates, develop the correct approach by referring to the Metropolis-Hastings ratio. Prove that your sampling appraach has a stationary distribution that is uniform on the square.
  - (c) Implement your approach from Part (b) and caluclate  $\hat{\pi}$ . Experiment again with  $\pi$  and h. Comment on your result.
- 4. Coal-Mining Disaster Analysis (40 points) In this problem, we consider a famous coalmining disaster annual data between 1851 and 1962. The rate of accidents per year appears to decrease around 1900, so we consider a change-point model for these data. Let j = 1 in 1851,

and index each year therafter, so j = 112 in 1962. Let  $X_j$  be the number of accidents in year j, with  $X_1, \ldots, X_{\theta}$  follows i.i.d. Poisson $(\lambda_1)$  and  $X_{\theta+1}, \ldots, X_{112}$  follows i.i.d. Poisson $(\lambda_2)$ . Thus the change-point occurs after the  $\theta$ th year in the series, where  $\theta \in \{1, \ldots, 111\}$ . This model has parameters  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda_1$ , and  $\lambda_2$ . For the standard setup, we assume a discrete uniform prior for  $\theta$  on  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 111\}$ , and priors  $\lambda_i | a_i \sim \text{Gamma}(3, a_i)$ , and  $a_i \sim \text{Gamma}(10, 10)$  independently for i = 1, 2.

- (a) Estimate the posterior mean and provide a histogram each for  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .
- (b) Consider a modification that  $\lambda_2 = \alpha \lambda_1$ . Assume the same discrete uniform prior for  $\theta$  and the same prior  $\lambda_1|a \sim \text{Gamma}(3,a)$ ,  $a \sim \text{Gamma}(10,10)$ . In addition, assume  $log\alpha \sim \text{Uniform}(log1/8, log2)$ . Estimate the posterior mean and provide a histogram each for  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .
- (c) Consider a modification that priors  $\lambda_i|a_i \sim \text{Gamma}(3, a_i)$ , and  $a_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 100)$  independently for i = 1, 2 instead. Assume the same discrete uniform prior for  $\theta$ . Estimate the posterior mean and provide a histogram each for  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .
- (d) Using the standard setup, derive the conditional distributions necessary to carry out Gibbs sampling for the change-point model.
- (e) Implement the Gibbs sampler. Use a suite of convergence diagnostics to evaluate the convergence and mixing of your sampler.
- (f) Construct density histogram and a table of summary statistics for the approximate posterior distributions of  $\theta$ ,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ .
- B. Bootstrapping Practice (Bonus 10 points) Suppose  $\theta = g(\mu)$ , where g is a smooth function and  $\mu$  is the mean of the distribution from which the data arise. Consider bootstrapping  $R(X,F) = g(\bar{X}) g(\mu)$ .
  - (a) Show that  $E^*(X^*) = \bar{x}$  and  $var^*(X^*) = \hat{\mu}^2/n$ , where  $\hat{m}u_k$ , where  $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \bar{x})^k$ .
  - (b) By Taylor Series Expansion, find  $E^*(R(X^*, \hat{F}))$  and  $var^*(R(X^*, \hat{F}))$ . You can show the first two terms only of the expansion only.