STAG HUNT IN ROBOT FARMING

Game Context:
Two farming robots (a Picker Robot and a Drone Robot) need to decide whether to cooperate or act independently in a task:

- Stag Task: Cooperate to pick a large batch of crops (requires both robots working together).
- Hare Task: Work independently to pick small, easily accessible crops.

Scenario Dynamics:
•The robots are operating in a shared farming grid.
•Stag tasks involve navigating to dense crop areas requiring precise timing.
•Hare tasks involve picking scattered crops with minimal effort.

•**Game Setup:**
•**Players:** Picker Robot and Drone Robot.
•**Actions:**
    •Cooperate on the Stag Task.
    •Work independently on the Hare Task.
•**Payoffs:**
    •**Stag Task:** High reward if both cooperate; failure if one defects.
    •**Hare Task:** Lower but guaranteed reward for individual effort.
•**Key Focus:** Exploring robot interaction dynamics in cooperative vs. competitive setups.

Key Elements of the Game

- **Players:** Picker Robot and Drone Robot.
- **Strategies:** Cooperate (focus on Stag Task) or Defect (focus on Hare Task).
- **Payoff Matrix:**

Picker/Drone	Cooperate (Stag)	Defect (Hare)
Cooperate	(8, 8)	(0, 5)
Defect	(5, 0)	(3, 3)

- **Nash Equilibria:**

1. (Cooperate, Cooperate): Mutual trust and high reward.
2. (Defect, Defect): Mutual defection and minimal reward.

Game Characteristics:

- Trust and Coordination: Stag Hunt emphasizes the need for coordination between agents to achieve high rewards.
- Risk and Reward: Cooperation requires trust, as unilateral defection leads to failure.
- Symmetry in Payoffs: Rewards and penalties are structured to incentivize mutual cooperation while penalizing defection

Agricultural Context:

- Real-World Application: Balancing tasks in farming to optimize yields and minimize wasted resources.
- Relatable Metrics: Energy consumption, crop yield, and operational time.

Game Analysis

- **Game Analysis**
- **1. Cooperative Behavior:**
- **Benefits:**
  - Higher efficiency: Large-scale crop collection.
  - Reduced energy consumption as tasks are shared.
  - Demonstrates synergy between robots with distinct capabilities.
- **Risks:**
  - If one robot defects, the effort of the other is wasted.
  - Dependency on mutual trust can lead to operational delays.
- **2. Independent Behavior:**
- **Benefits:**
  - Guaranteed smaller reward without reliance on the other robot.
  - Flexibility in task execution, accommodating unforeseen challenges.
- **Risks:**
  - Lower efficiency and less overall productivity.
  - Potential redundancy in robot paths and tasks.
- **3. Application of Stag Hunt to Robot Farming:**
- **Cooperation:** Encourages trust and optimal resource allocation.
- **Defection:** Reflects real-world challenges where robots might prioritize individual goals over collective ones.
- **Balanced Incentives:** Ensures that cooperation is desirable but not forced, aligning with farming dynamics.

Practical Farming Scenario

- Reflection and Justification

1. **Practical Utility:**
    1. ***Scenarios:***
        1. Drone Robot marks areas of high crop density, and Picker Robot handles harvesting.
        2. Both robots cooperate to complete large-scale harvesting efficiently.
        3. Independent tasks allow fallback operations, ensuring no downtime.
    2. ***Justification:***
        1. Reflects real-world situations where machines need to cooperate for maximum productivity.
        2. Encourages adaptation to dynamic field conditions, promoting resilience.
2. **Game Elements and Fit:**
    1. ***Coordination:*** *Stag Task reflects the need for synchronized operations.*
    2. ***Risk Mitigation:*** *Hare Task ensures minimal productivity even if cooperation fails.*
    3. ***Resource Optimization:*** *Promotes efficient use of energy and operational resources.*
3. **Potential Weaknesses:**
    1. *Overemphasis on cooperation may lead to inefficiency if trust fails.*
    2. *Simplified payoff matrix may not capture complex interactions in real farming.*
    3. *External factors (e.g., weather conditions) can disrupt planned strategies.*

REFERENCES

- Pacheco, J.O.R.G.E.M., Santos, F.R.A.N.C.I.S.C.O.C., Souza, M.A.X.O. and Skyrms, B.R.I.A.N. (2009) Evolutionary Dynamics of Collective Action in N-person Stag Hunt Dilemmas. *Evolutionary Dynamics of Collective Action in N-person Stag Hunt Dilemmas* [online]. Vol.276 (1655), pp. 315-321. [Accessed 17 Dec 2024].

- Harrison, ,R.O.B.E.R.T.W. and , (1945) . *The Theory of Games and Economic Behavior* [online]. Vol.27 (3), pp. p.725-726. [Accessed 17 Dec 2024]..

- Dong, Y.U.K.U.N., Xu, H.E.D.O.N.G. and Fan, S.U.O.H.A.I. (2019) Memory-based Stag Hunt Game on Regular Lattices. [online]. Volume 519, pp. Pages247-255. [Accessed 17/12/2024].