Functional abstraction

Readings: HtDP, sections 19-24.

Language level: Intermediate Student With Lambda

- different order used in lecture
- section 24 material introduced much earlier
- sections 22, 23 not covered in lecture

What is abstraction?

Abstraction is the process of finding similarities or common aspects, and forgetting unimportant differences.

Example: writing a function.

The differences in parameter values are forgotten, and the similarity is captured in the function body.

We have seen many similarities between functions, and captured them in design recipes.

But some similarities still elude us.

Eating apples

```
(define (eat-apples lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
       [(not (symbol=? (first lst) 'apple))
       (cons (first lst) (eat-apples (rest lst)))]
       [else (eat-apples (rest lst))]))
```

Keeping odd numbers

```
(define (keep-odds lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
        [(odd? (first lst))
        (cons (first lst) (keep-odds (rest lst)))]
        [else (keep-odds (rest lst))]))
```

Abstracting from these examples

What these two functions have in common is their general structure.

Where they differ is in the specific predicate used to decide whether an item is removed from the answer or not.

We could write one function to do both these tasks if we could supply, as an argument to that function, the predicate to be used.

The Intermediate language permits this.

In the Intermediate language, functions are values. In fact, they are *first-class* values.

Functions have the same status as the other values we've seen. They can be:

- 1. consumed as function arguments
- 2. produced as function results
- 3. bound to identifiers
- 4. put in structures and lists

This is a feature that many mainstream programming languages lack but is central to functional programming languages. More rapidly-evolving or recently-defined languages that are not primarily functional (e.g. Python, Ruby, Perl 6, C#) do implement this feature to some extent.

Java and C++ provide other abstraction mechanisms that provide some of the benefits.

Functions-as-values provides a clean way to think about the concepts and issues involved in abstraction.

You can then worry about how to implement a high-level design in a given programming language.

Consuming functions

```
(define (foo f x y) (f x y))
```

$$(foo + 23) \Rightarrow 5$$

$$(foo * 2 3) \Rightarrow 6$$

my-filter

```
(define (my-filter pred? lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
        [(pred? (first lst))
        (cons (first lst) (my-filter pred? (rest lst)))]
        [else (my-filter pred? (rest lst))]))
```

Tracing my-filter

```
(my-filter odd? (list 5 6 7))
\Rightarrow (cons 5 (my-filter odd? (list 6 7)))
\Rightarrow (cons 5 (my-filter odd? (list 7)))
\Rightarrow (cons 5 (cons 7 (my-filter odd? empty)))
\Rightarrow (cons 5 (cons 7 empty))
```

my-filter is an abstract list function which handles the general operation of removing items from lists.

Using my-filter

```
(define (keep-odds lst) (my-filter odd? lst))
(define (not-symbol-apple? item) (not (symbol=? item 'apple)))
(define (eat-apples lst) (my-filter not-symbol-apple? lst))
```

The function filter, which behaves identically to our my-filter, is built into Intermediate Student and full Racket.

filter and other abstract list functions provided in Racket are used to apply common patterns of structural recursion.

We'll discuss how to write contracts for them shortly.

Advantages of functional abstraction

Functional abstraction is the process of creating abstract functions such as filter.

It reduces code size.

It avoids cut-and-paste.

Bugs can be fixed in one place instead of many.

Improving one functional abstraction improves many applications.

Producing Functions

We saw in lecture module 09 how local could be used to create functions during a computation, to be used in evaluating the body of the local.

But now, because functions are values, the body of the local can produce such a function as a value.

Though it is not apparent at first, this is enormously useful.

We illustrate with a very small example.

```
(define (make-adder n)
  (local
      [(define (f m) (+ n m))]
      f))
```

What is (make-adder 3)?

We can answer this question with a trace.

```
(make-adder 3) \Rightarrow
(local [(define (f m) (+ 3 m))] f) \Rightarrow
(define (f_42 m) (+ 3 m)) f_42
```

(make-adder 3) is the renamed function f₄₂, which is a function that adds 3 to its argument.

We can apply this function immediately, or we can use it in another expression, or we can put it in a data structure.

Here's what happens if we apply it immediately.

```
((make-adder 3) 4) \Rightarrow

((local [(define (f m) (+ 3 m))] f) 4) \Rightarrow

(define (f_42 m) (+ 3 m)) (f_42 4) \Rightarrow

(+ 3 4) \Rightarrow 7
```

Binding Functions to Identifiers

The result of make-adder can be bound to an identifier and then used repeatedly.

```
(define my-add1 (make-adder 1)); add1 is a built-in function (define add3 (make-adder 3))
```

```
(my-add1 \ 3) \Rightarrow 4
(my-add1 \ 10) \Rightarrow 11
(add3 \ 13) \Rightarrow 16
```

How does this work?

```
(define my-add1 (make-adder 1)) \Rightarrow
(define my-add1 (local [(define (f m) (+ 1 m))] f)) \Rightarrow
(define (f_43 \text{ m}) (+ 1 \text{ m})); rename and lift out f
(define my-add1 f<sub>43</sub>)
(my-add1 3) \Rightarrow
(f_43 3) \Rightarrow
(+ 1 3) \Rightarrow
```

Putting functions in lists

Recall our code in lecture module 08 for evaluating alternate arithmetic expressions such as (+ (* 3 4) 2).

```
;; eval: AltAExp → Num
(define (eval aax)
   (cond [(number? aax) aax]
        [else (my-apply (first aax) (rest aax))]))
```

```
;; my-apply: Sym AltAExpList \rightarrow Num
(define (my-apply f aaxl)
  (cond [(and (empty? aaxl) (symbol=? f '*)) 1]
        [(and (empty? aaxl) (symbol=? f'+)) 0]
        [(symbol=? f '*)]
         (* (eval (first aaxl)) (my-apply f (rest aaxl)))]
        [(symbol=? f '+)]
         (+ (eval (first aaxl)) (my-apply f (rest aaxl)))]))
```

Note the similar-looking code.

Much of the code is concerned with translating the symbol '+ into the function +, and the same for '* and *.

If we want to add more functions to the evaluator, we have to write more code which is very similar to what we've already written.

We can use an association list to store the above correspondence, and use the function lookup-al we saw in lecture module 08 to look up symbols.

```
(define trans-table (list (list '++) (list '* *)))
```

Now (lookup-al '+ trans-table) produces the function +.

((lookup-al '+ trans-table) 3 4 5) \Rightarrow 12

We can simplify this even further, because in Intermediate Student, + and * allow zero arguments.

$$(+) \Rightarrow 0$$
 and $(*) \Rightarrow 1$

Now, to add a new binary function (that is also defined for 0 arguments), we need only add one line to trans-table.

Contracts and types

Our contracts describe the type of data consumed by and produced by a function.

Until now, the type of data was either a basic (built-in) type, a defined (struct) type, an anyof type, or a list type, such as List-of-Symbols, which we then called (listof Sym).

Now we need to talk about the type of a function consumed or produced by a function.

We can use the contract for a function as its type.

For example, the type of > is (Num Num \rightarrow Bool), because that's the contract of that function.

We can then use type descriptions of this sort in contracts for functions which consume or produce other functions.

Simulating Structures

We can use the ideas of producing and binding functions to simulate structures.

A trace demonstrates how this function works.

Notice how the parameters have been substituted into the local definition.

We now rename symbol-to-value and lift it out.

This yields:

```
(define (symbol-to-value_38 s)
  (cond [(symbol=? s 'x) 3]
        [(symbol=? s 'y) 4]))
(define p1 symbol-to-value_38)
```

p1 is now a function with the x and y values we supplied to my-make-posn coded in.

To get out the x value, we can use (p1 'x):

$$(p1 'x) \Rightarrow 3$$

We can define a few convenience functions to simulate posn-x and posn-y:

```
(define (my-posn-x p) (p 'x))
(define (my-posn-y p) (p 'y))
```

If we apply my-make-posn again with different values, it will produce a different rewritten and lifted version of symbol-to-value, say symbol-to-value_39.

We have just seen how to implement structures without using lists.

Scope revisited

Consider the use of x inside symbol-to-value.

Its binding occurrence is outside the definition of symbol-to-value.

Our trace made it clear that the result of a particular application, say (my-make-posn 3 4), is a "copy" of symbol-to-value with 3 and 4 substituted for x and y, respectively.

That "copy" can be used much later, to retrieve the value of x or y that was supplied to my-make-posn.

This is possible because the "copy" of symbol-to-value, even though it was defined in a local definition, survives after the evaluation of the local is finished.

Anonymous functions

```
(local
  [(define (symbol-to-value s)
        (cond [(symbol=? s 'x) x]
              [(symbol=? s 'y) y]))]
  symbol-to-value)
```

The result of evaluating this expression is a function.

What is its name? It is anonymous (has no name).

This is sufficiently valuable that there is a special mechanism for it.

Producing anonymous functions

```
(define (not-symbol-apple? item) (not (symbol=? item 'apple)))
(define (eat-apples lst) (filter not-symbol-apple? lst))
```

This is a little unsatisfying, because not-symbol-apple? is such a small and relatively useless function.

It is unlikely to be needed elsewhere.

CS 135 Winter 2017

We can avoid cluttering the top level with such definitions by putting them in local expressions.

10: Functional abstraction 34

This is as far as we would go based on our experience with local.

But now that we can use functions as values, the value produced by the local expression can be the function not-symbol-apple?.

We can then take that value and deliver it as an argument to filter.

But this is still unsatisfying. Why should we have to name not-symbol-apple? at all? In the expression (* (+ 2 3) 4), we didn't have to name the intermediate value 5.

Racket provides a mechanism for constructing a nameless function which can then be used as an argument.

Introducing lambda

```
(local [(define (name-used-once x1 ... xn) exp)] name-used-once)
```

can also be written

```
(lambda (x1 ... xn) exp)
```

lambda can be thought of as "make-function".

It can be used to create a function which we can then use as a value

for example, as the value of the first argument of filter.

We can then replace

with the following:

```
(define (eat-apples lst)

(filter (lambda (item) (not (symbol=? item 'apple))) lst))
```

38

lambda is available in Intermediate Student with Lambda, and discussed in section 24 of the textbook.

We're jumping ahead to it because of its central importance in Racket, Lisp, and the history of computation in general.

The designers of the teaching languages could have renamed it as they did with other constructs, but chose not to out of respect.

The word lambda comes from the Greek letter, used as notation in the first formal model of computation.

We can use lambda to simplify our implementation of posn structures.

```
(define (my-make-posn x y)
  (lambda (s)
      (cond [(symbol=? s 'x) x]
            [(symbol=? s 'y) y])))
```

lambda also underlies the definition of functions.

Until now, we have had two different types of definitions.

```
;; a definition of a numerical constant
(define interest-rate 3/100)
;; a definition of a function to compute interest
(define (interest-earned amount)
    (* interest-rate amount))
```

There is really only one kind of define, which binds a name to a value.

```
Internally,
(define (interest-earned amount)
  (* interest-rate amount))
is translated to
(define interest-earned
  (lambda (amount) (* interest-rate amount)))
which binds the name interest-earned to the value
(lambda (amount) (* interest-rate amount)).
```

10: Functional abstraction 42

We should change our semantics for function definition to represent this rewriting.

But doing so would make traces much harder to understand.

As long as the value of defined constants (now including functions) cannot be changed, we can leave their names unsubstituted in our traces for clarity.

But in CS 136, when we introduce mutation, we will have to make this change.

Syntax and semantics of Intermediate Student with Lambda

We don't have to make many changes to our earlier syntax and semantics.

The first position in an application can now be an expression (computing the function to be applied).

If this is the case, it must be evaluated along with the other arguments.

Before, the next thing after the open parenthesis in a function application had to be a defined or primitive function name.

Now a function application can have two or more open parentheses in a row, as in ((make-adder 3) 4).

We need a rule for evaluating applications where the function being applied is anonymous (a lambda expression.)

$$((lambda (x1 ... xn) exp) v1 ... vn) \Rightarrow exp'$$

where exp' is exp with all occurrences of x1 replaced by v1, all occurrences of x2 replaced by v2, and so on.

As an example:

$$((lambda (x y) (* (+ y 4) x)) 5 6) \Rightarrow (* (+ 6 4) 5)$$

Here's make-adder rewritten using lambda.

We see the same scope phenomenon we discussed earlier: the binding occurrence of x lies outside (lambda (y) (+ x y)).

What is (make-adder 3)?

```
(make-adder 3) \Rightarrow
((lambda (x) (lambda (y) (+ x y))) 3) \Rightarrow
(lambda (y) (+ 3 y))
```

This shorthand is very useful, which is why lambda is being added to mainstream programming languages.

Suppose during a computation, we want to specify some action to be performed one or more times in the future.

Before knowing about lambda, we might build a data structure to hold a description of that action, and a helper function to consume that data structure and perform the action.

Now, we can just describe the computation clearly using lambda.

Example: character translation in strings

Recall that Racket provides the function string→list to convert a string to a list of characters.

This is the most effective way to work with strings, though typically structural recursion on these lists is not natural, and generative recursion (as discussed in module 11) is used.

In the example we are about to discuss, structural recursion works.

We'll develop a general method of performing character translations on strings.

For example, we might want to convert every 'a' in a string to a 'b'. The string "abracadabra" becomes "bbrbcbdbbrb".

This doesn't require functional abstraction. You could have written a function that does this in lecture module 05.

```
:: a \rightarrow b: Str \rightarrow Str
(define (a\rightarrowb str)
   (\text{list} \rightarrow \text{string } (a \rightarrow b/\text{loc } (\text{string} \rightarrow \text{list } \text{str}))))
;; a \rightarrow b/loc: (listof Char) \rightarrow (listof Char)
(define (a→b/loc loc)
   (cond [(empty? loc) empty]
             [(char=? (first loc) \#a) (cons \#b (a\tob/loc (rest loc)))]
             [else (cons (first loc) (a \rightarrow b/loc (rest loc)))]))
```

Functional abstraction is useful in generalizing this example.

Here, we went through a string applying a predicate ("equals a?") to each character, and applied an action ("make it b") to characters that satisfied the predicate.

A translation is a pair (a list of length two) consisting of a predicate and an action.

We might want to apply several translations to a string.

We can describe the translation in our example like this:

```
(list (lambda (c) (char=? \# \a c)) (lambda (c) \# \b))
```

Since these are likely to be common sorts of functions, we can write helper functions to create them.

Our translate function will consume a list of translations and a string to be translated.

For each character c in the string, it will create a result character by applying the action of the first translation on the list whose predicate is satisfied by c.

If no predicate is satisfied by c, the result character is c.

An example of its use: suppose we have a string s, and we want a version of it where all letters are capitalized, and all numbers are "redacted" by replacing them with asterisks.

char-alphabetic?, char-upcase, and char-numeric? are primitive functions in the teaching languages.

```
(define (translate lot str) (list\rightarrowstring (trans-loc lot (string\rightarrowlist str))))
(define (trans-loc lot loc)
  (cond [(empty? loc) empty]
          [else (cons (trans-char lot (first loc))
                (trans-loc lot (rest loc)))]))
(define (trans-char lot c)
  (cond [(empty? lot) c]
          [((first (first lot)) c) ((second (first lot)) c)]
          [else (trans-char (rest lot) c)]))
```

What is the contract for trans-loc?

Contracts for abstract list functions

filter consumes a function and a list, and produces a list.

We might be tempted to conclude that its contract is $(Any \rightarrow Bool)$ (listof Any) \rightarrow (listof Any).

But this is not specific enough.

The application (filter odd? (list 'a 'b 'c)) does not violate the above contract, but will clearly cause an error.

There is a relationship among the two arguments to filter and the result of filter that we need to capture in the contract.

10: Functional abstraction 58

Parametric types

An application (filter pred? lst), can work on any type of list, but the predicate provided should consume elements of that type of list.

In other words, we have a dependency between the type of the predicate (which is the contract of the predicate) and the type of list.

To express this, we use a type variable, such as X, and use it in different places to indicate where the same type is needed.

The contract for filter

filter consumes a predicate with contract $X \rightarrow Bool$, where X is the base type of the list that it also consumes.

It produces a list of the same type it consumes.

The contract for filter is thus:

```
;; filter: (X \rightarrow Bool) (listof X) \rightarrow (listof X)
```

Here X stands for the unknown data type of the list.

We say filter is *polymorphic* or *generic*; it works on many different types of data.

The contract for filter has three occurrences of a type variable X.

Since a type variable is used to indicate a relationship, it needs to be used at least twice in any given contract.

A type variable used only once can probably be replaced with Any.

We will soon see examples where more than one type variable is needed in a contract.

Understanding contracts

Many of the difficulties one encounters in using abstract list functions can be overcome by careful attention to contracts.

For example, the contract for the function provided as an argument to filter says that it consumes one argument and produces a Boolean value.

This means we must take care to never use filter with an argument that is a function that consumes two variables, or that produces a number.

Abstracting from examples

Here are two early list functions we wrote.

```
(define (negate-list lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
         [else (cons (— (first lst)) (negate-list (rest lst)))]))
(define (compute-taxes lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) empty]
         [else (cons (sr→tr (first lst))
                      (compute-taxes (rest lst)))]))
```

We look for a difference that can't be explained by renaming (it being what is applied to the first item of a list) and make that a parameter.

10: Functional abstraction 64

Tracing my-map

CS 135 Winter 2017

```
(my\text{-map sqr (list 3 6 5)})
\Rightarrow (cons 9 (my\text{-map sqr (list 6 5)}))
\Rightarrow (cons 9 (cons 36 (my\text{-map sqr (list 5)})))
\Rightarrow (cons 9 (cons 36 (cons 25 (my\text{-map sqr empty}))))
\Rightarrow (cons 9 (cons 36 (cons 25 empty)))
```

my-map performs the general operation of transforming a list element-by-element into another list of the same length.

10: Functional abstraction 65

The application

```
(my-map f (list x1 x2 ... xn)) has the same effect as evaluating (list (f x1) (f x2) ... (f xn)).
```

We can use my-map to give short definitions of a number of functions we have written to consume lists:

```
(define (negate-list lst) (my-map — lst))
(define (compute-taxes lst) (my-map sr→tr lst))
```

How can we use my-map to rewrite trans-loc?

The contract for my-map

my-map consumes a function and a list, and produces a list.

How can we be more precise about its contract, using parametric type variables?

Built-in abstract list functions

Intermediate Student also provides map as a built-in function, as well as many other abstract list functions.

There is a useful table on page 313 of the text (Figure 57 in section 21.2).

The abstract list functions map and filter allow us to quickly describe functions to do something to all elements of a list, and to pick out selected elements of a list, respectively.

Building an abstract list function

The functions we have worked with so far consume and produce lists.

What about abstracting from functions such as count-symbols and sum-of-numbers, which consume lists and produce values?

Let's look at these, find common aspects, and then try to generalize from the template.

```
(define (sum-of-numbers lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (+ (first lst) (sum-of-numbers (rest lst)))]))
(define (prod-of-numbers lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 1]
         [else (* (first lst) (prod-of-numbers (rest lst)))]))
(define (count-symbols lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (+ 1 (count-symbols (rest lst)))]))
```

Note that each of these examples has a base case which is a value to be returned when the argument list is empty.

Each example is applying some function to combine (first lst) and the result of a recursive function application with argument (rest lst).

This continues to be true when we look at the list template and generalize from that.

We replace the first ellipsis by a base value.

We replace the rest of the ellipses by some function which combines (first lst) and the result of a recursive function application on (rest lst).

This suggests passing the base value and the combining function as parameters to an abstract list function.

The abstract list function foldr

foldr is also a built-in function in Intermediate Student With Lambda.

Tracing my-foldr

```
(my-foldr f 0 (list 3 6 5)) \Rightarrow

(f 3 (my-foldr f 0 (list 6 5))) \Rightarrow

(f 3 (f 6 (my-foldr f 0 (list 5))) \Rightarrow

(f 3 (f 6 (f 5 (my-foldr f 0 empty))) \Rightarrow

(f 3 (f 6 (f 5 0))) \Rightarrow ...
```

Intuitively, the effect of the application

```
(foldr f b (list x1 x2 ... xn)) is to compute the value of the expression (f x1 (f x2 (... (f xn b) ...))).
```

foldr is short for "fold right".

The reason for the name is that it can be viewed as "folding" a list using the provided combine function, starting from the right-hand end of the list.

foldr can be used to implement map, filter, and other abstract list functions.

The contract for foldr

foldr consumes three arguments:

- a function which combines the first list item with the result of reducing the rest of the list;
- a base value;
- a list on which to operate.

What is the contract for foldr?

Using foldr

```
(define (sum-of-numbers lst) (foldr + 0 lst))
```

If lst is (list x1 x2 ... xn), then by our intuitive explanation of foldr, the expression (foldr + 0 lst) reduces to

$$(+ x1 (+ x2 (+ ... (+ xn 0) ...)))$$

Thus foldr does all the work of the template for processing lists, in the case of sum-of-numbers.

The function provided to foldr consumes two parameters: one is an element on the list which is an argument to foldr, and one is the result of reducing the rest of the list.

Sometimes one of those arguments should be ignored, as in the case of using foldr to compute count-symbols.

The important thing about the first argument to the function provided to foldr is that it contributes 1 to the count; its actual value is irrelevant.

Thus the function provided to foldr in this case can ignore the value of the first parameter, and just add 1 to the reduction of the rest of the list.

(define (count-symbols lst) (foldr (lambda (x y) (add1 y)) 0 lst))

The function provided to foldr, namely (lambda (x y) (add1 y)), ignores its first argument.

Its second argument represents the reduction of the rest of the list (in this case the length of the rest of the list, to which 1 must be added).

Using foldr to produce lists

So far, the functions we have been providing to foldr have produced numerical results, but they can also produce cons expressions.

foldr is an abstraction of structural recursion on lists, so we should be able to use it to implement negate-list from module 05.

We need to define a function (lambda (x y)) where x is the first element of the list and y is the result of the recursive function application.

negate-list takes this element, negates it, and conses it onto the result of the recursive function application.

The function we need is

```
(lambda (x y) (cons (-x) y))
```

Thus we can give a nonrecursive version of negate-list (that is, foldr does all the recursion).

```
(define (negate-list lst)

(foldr (lambda (x y) (cons (- x) y)) empty lst))
```

Because we generalized negate-list to map, we should be able to use foldr to define map.

Let's look at the code for my-map.

Clearly empty is the base value, and the function provided to foldr is something involving cons and f.

In particular, the function provided to foldr must apply f to its first argument, then cons the result onto its second argument (the reduced rest of the list).

```
(define (my-map f lst)
(foldr (lambda (x y) (cons (f x) y)) empty lst))
```

We can also implement my-filter using foldr.

CS 135 Winter 2017

10: Functional abstraction 84

Imperative languages, which tend to provide inadequate support for recursion, usually provide looping constructs such as "while" and "for" to perform repetitive actions on data.

Abstract list functions cover many of the common uses of such looping constructs.

Our implementation of these functions is not difficult to understand, and we can write more if needed, but the set of looping constructs in a conventional language is fixed.

Anything that can be done with the list template can be done using foldr, without explicit recursion (unless it ends the recursion early, like insert).

Does that mean that the list template is obsolete?

No. Experienced Racket programmers still use the list template, for reasons of readability and maintainability.

Abstract list functions should be used judiciously, to replace relatively simple uses of recursion.

Higher-order functions

Functions that consume or produce functions like filter, map, and foldr are sometimes called higher-order functions.

Another example is the built-in build-list. This consumes a natural number n and a function f, and produces the list

```
(list (f 0) (f 1) ... (f (sub1 n)))
```

(build-list 4 add1) \Rightarrow (list 1 2 3 4).

Clearly build-list abstracts the "count up" pattern, and it is easy to write our own version.

We can now simplify mult-table even further.

Goals of this module

You should understand the idea of functions as first-class values: how they can be supplied as arguments, produced as values using lambda, bound to identifiers, and placed in lists.

You should be familiar with the built-in list functions provided by Racket, understand how they abstract common recursive patterns, and be able to use them to write code.

You should be able to write your own abstract list functions that implement other recursive patterns.

You should understand how to do step-by-step evaluation of programs written in the Intermediate language that make use of functions as values.