

CS 245

When  $A \in \text{Form}(L^p)$  then  
A has a top-level connective.

A is either an atom, a  
 $\neg$ -formula, an  $\wedge$ -formula,  
an  $\vee$ -formula, an  $\rightarrow$ -formula  
or an  $\leftrightarrow$ -formula.

A similar characterization of formulae in  $\text{Form}(L)$  is possible, but we add the possibility that a formula is a universal formula or an existential formula.

If  $B \in \text{Form}(L)$  is of  
the form  $B = \forall x A(x)$   
then  $B$  is a universal formula.

If  $B = \exists x A(x)$   
then  $B$  is an existential  
formula.

Let  $\exists x A(x)$  and  $\forall x B(x)$   
be formulae in  $\text{Form}(L)$ .

If  $\exists x A(x)$  appears as a  
sub-formula in  $C \in \text{Form}(L)$ ,  
then  $A(x)$  is the scope in  $C$   
of the ' $\exists x$ ' quantifier  
to the left of  $A(x)$ .

If  $\forall x B(x)$  appears as a subformula in C then  $B(x)$  is the scope in C of the  $\forall x$  quantifier that appears to the left of  $B(x)$ .

For formulae in form ( $L^p$ )  
interpretations were constructed  
from interpretations of the  
atoms.

The atoms of form ( $L$ ), however,  
are relatively complex, so  
interpretations for form ( $L$ )  
must take into account  
the meaning of terms in Term ( $L$ )  
and the meaning of n-ary  
relational symbols.

In studying the meaning of formulae in Form(L) we may have a particular structure in mind. That structure comes with a domain, designated individuals, relations and functions.

When  $L$  is not associated with a specific structure we assume there is an arbitrary, but non-empty, set  $D$  for the domain.

Then individual symbols,  $n$ -ary relation symbols,  $m$ -ary function symbols are interpreted as, respectively, individuals in  $D$ ,  $n$ -ary truth functions on  $D$ , and  $m$ -ary total functions  $D^m \rightarrow D$ .

Again, it is stipulated that  
 $\approx$  is always interpreted as  $=$ .

In addition to interpretations for individual symbols, function symbols and relational symbols, we must also assign meaning to free variable symbols.

We use the descriptor assignment to attach a value of the domain to a free variable.

A valuation combines an interpretation with an assignment to the free variables of (a set) of formulae.

## Def. (Valuation)

Let  $\text{Form}(L)$  be the formulae of a first-order logic. Given domain,  $D$ , a valuation,  $v$ , to elements of  $L$  is defined as follows:

$$a^v \in D$$

where  $a$  is an individual symbol.

$$u^v \in D$$

where  $u$  is a free variable symbol.

$$[2] \quad F^{\sim} \subseteq D^n$$

Where  $F$  is an  $n$ -ary relation symbol.

$$\sim^{\sim} = \{ \langle d, d \rangle \mid d \in D \}$$

$$[3] \quad f^{\sim}: D^m \rightarrow D$$

Where  $f$  is an  $m$ -ary function symbol.

If it is required that  $f^{\sim}$  be a total function on  $D^m$ .

Notice that there is an intended distinction between:

$$a \quad \text{and} \quad a^{\sim}$$

$$u \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\sim}$$

$$F \quad \text{and} \quad F^{\sim}$$

$$f \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\sim}$$

$$\approx \quad \text{and} \quad =$$

On the left are symbols from the logic, on the right their intended meaning.

In particular, if  $v$  and  $v'$  are two distinct valuations then, for example,

$a^v$

may differ from

$a^{v'}$

and

$F^v$

may differ from

$F^{v'}$

Although, by convention, for  
any particular domain,  $D$ ,

$$\approx u$$

is the same as

$$\approx u'$$

Now that we have,  $v_j$  a valuation to the elements of the logic we can give valuations to the terms and the formulae.

Def. (Value of a term)

Given domain  $D$  and valuation,  $v$ ,

$$[1] \quad a^v \in D$$

$$u^v \in D$$

$$\begin{aligned}[2] \quad f(t_1, \dots, t_n)^v \\ = f^v(t_1^v, \dots, t_n^v) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term in  $L$ .

Then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)^v$  is the valuation,  
 $v$ , applied to  $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ .

Fact: Let  $v$  be a valuation  
over domain  $D$  and  $t \in \text{Term}(L)$ .  
Then  $t^v \in D$ .

Suppose  $v$  is a valuation over  $D$  to the elements of  $L$ .

And let  $d \in D$ .

Then  $v(u/d)$

is the valuation exactly the same as  $v$

except that  $u^{v(u/d)} = d$ .

(Since  $v$  is a valuation over  $D$ ,  $u^v \in D$ . It may be that  $u^v = d$ , but this is not required.)

This difference between

v

and

$v(u/d)$

appears only in the valuations  
to free variables.

$$a^{v(u/d)} = a^v$$

$$F^{v(u/d)} = F^v$$

$$f^{v(u/d)} = f^{v(u/d)}$$

$$w^{v(u/d)} = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } w=u \\ w^v & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Def. For formulae in  $\text{Form}(L)$   
 and valuation,  $v$ , over domain  
 $D$ , the truth value is defined  
 as follows:

$$[1] F(t_1, \dots, t_n)^v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \langle t_1^v, \dots, t_n^v \rangle \in F^v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(t_1 \approx t_2)^v = \begin{cases} 1 & t_1^v = t_2^v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[2] (\neg A)^\sim = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A^\sim = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[3] (A \wedge B)^\sim = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A^\sim = 1 \text{ and } B^\sim = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[4] (A \vee B)^\sim = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A^\sim = 1 \text{ or } B^\sim = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

[5]  $(A \rightarrow B)^\sim = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A^\sim = 0 \text{ or } B^\sim = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

[6]  $(A \leftrightarrow B)^\sim = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A^\sim = B^\sim \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$[7] (\forall x A(x))^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \text{ does not} \\ & \text{appear in } A(x) \text{ and} \\ & A(u) \text{ is constructed} \\ & \text{from } A(x) \text{ then,} \\ & \text{for all } d \in D : \\ & A(u)^{\sigma(u/d)} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[8] \exists x A(x)^v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \text{ does not appear} \\ & \text{in } A(x) \text{ and } A(u) \text{ is} \\ & \text{constructed from } A(x) \\ & \text{then,} \\ & \text{there exists } d \in D: \\ & A(u)^{v(u/d)} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notes:  $\langle t_1^{\sim}, \dots, t_n^{\sim} \rangle \in F^{\sim}$  means

that the n-tuple of elements  $i \in [1..n]$ ,  
 $t_i^{\sim} \in D$ , is in the relation

$F^{\sim}$ .

Fact: If  $v$  is a valuation  
over domain  $D$  and  $A \in \text{Form}(L)$   
then  $A^v \in \{\top, \perp\}$ .

Let  $D$  be a given domain.

Two valuations,  $v$  and  $v'$ ,  
agree on non-logical symbols  
 $a$ , or  $F$ , or  $f$  or free variable  
symbol  $u$  if:

$$a^v = a^{v'}$$

or

$$F^v = F^{v'}$$

or

$$f^v = f^{v'}$$

or

$$u^v = u^{v'}$$

Fact: Let  $v$  and  $v'$  be valuations over domain  $D$ .

If  $v$  and  $v'$  agree on the individual symbols, relation symbols, function symbols, and free variable symbols contained in term  $t$  and formula  $A$  then:

$$t^v = t^{v'}$$

and

$$A^t = A^{t'}.$$

Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Form}(L)$  and let  
 $v$  be a valuation over domain  
 $D$ .

Then  $\Sigma^v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if for all } A \in \Sigma, \\ & A^v = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Def. Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Form}(L)$ . Then

$\Sigma$  is satisfiable if there is some valuation,  $v$ , (over some domain  $D$ ) such that  $\Sigma^v = \perp$ .

Def. Let  $A \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L})$ , then  
 $A$  is valid : if for all valuations,  $v$ ,  
 $A^v = 1$ .

Def. Logical consequence.

Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Form}(L)$  and  $A \in \text{Form}(L)$ .

$A$  is a logical consequence

of  $\Sigma$ , written  $\Sigma \models A$ , if

for all valuations,  $v$ ,

if  $\Sigma^v = \perp$  then  $A^v = \perp$ .

When we write:  $\emptyset \models A$

or just:  $\models A$

then  $A$  is valid.

Writing  $\Sigma \not\models A$  means that  
it is not the case that

$A$  is a logical consequence of  $\Sigma$ .

Two formulae are equivalent  
if  $A \Vdash B$  holds.