

Tutorial 4: Greedy algorithms

1 Buying items from the SuperCheapStore

Suppose we would like to buy n items from the SuperCheapStore (SCS) where all items are currently priced at 1\$. Unfortunately, there is no delivery and we have to transport the items home. And to make matters worse:

- we can fit only one item in our truck; and
- it takes one day to drive home and back.

Sort in descending order, assume $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_n$.

Let S' be an alternate solution which picks item j before item i , but $j > i$,
 $\Rightarrow c_j < c_i$

Cost includes terms, item j is picked on day d , item i is picked at day $d+k$
 $c_i^{d+k} + c_j^d > c_i^d + c_j^{d+k} \dots$

Worst of all, SCS charges us for the storage of undelivered items and the charge for storage of item i grows exponentially as the original price times a factor $c_i > 1$ each day. This means that if item i is picked up d days from now, the charge will be c_i^d dollars. In which order should we pick up our items from SCS so that total amount of charges is as small as possible?

Develop a greedy algorithm to solve this problem assuming that $c_i \neq c_j$ for $i \neq j$. Prove that your algorithm gives an optimal solution. What is the running time of your algorithm?

2 Total completion time

Definition 1. An instance of the *minimal total completion time* problem is a sequence of n jobs that have processing times p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n which are positive integers. A valid solution to such an instance is an ordering of the jobs $1, \dots, n$ such that when the jobs are processed one at a time in that order, the sum of their completion times is minimized.

Design a greedy algorithm for solving the minimal total completion time problem and prove that it is correct.

Algo: Sort the p_i in ascending order,

Take another solution S'

\Rightarrow ordering p_1, \dots, p_n

Since this is not in ascending order

exists i such that $p_i > p_{i+1}$

swapping p_i and p_{i+1} affects the cost for job i and $i+1$ only.

$k=1$ to i $p_k + k=1$ to $i+1$ $p_k = p_{i+1} + 2p_i + k=1$ to $i-1$ p_k

Cost after swap: $p_i + 2p_{i+1} + k=1$ to $i-1$ p_k

$p_{i+1} + 2p_i - p_{i-1}p_{i+1}$

$p_i - p_{i+1} > 0$

$p_i > p_{i+1}$

exists i , $a[i] > a[i+1]$ in ascending order, if a is sorted, the above cannot happen.

Otherwise, we can find such an index i