```
TYPICAL GREEDY ALGORITHMS PROOFS HYPOTHESIZE
THE EXISTENCE OF AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION O.
```

AS THE GREEDY ALGO PROGRESSES, ONE SHOWS THAT SOLUTION (1) HAT CHES THE OPTIMAL SOLUTION (), OR (11) MATCHES ANOTHER OPTIMAL SOLUTION (WHICH IS USUALLY OBTAINED BY MODIFYING ().

IN THE END, THIS PROVES THAT GREEDY RETURNS AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION.

THE GREEDY ALGORITHM FOR INTERVAL SCHEDUZING, IN THE WORST CASE, TAKES TIME $\Theta\left(n^2\right)$.

- SORT THE INTERVALS OF I INCREASINGLY O(gm

FASTALG (I):

- LET I= {I, I2, ..., Im} WITH f(I,) & f(Iz) & ... & f(Im) - SET $T \leftarrow -\infty$, SET $S \leftarrow \phi$ O(1)

- IF $s(I_i) > T$: $S = S \quad v \neq I_i \neq 0$ $T = f(I_i)$ - RETURN S.

 $O(m \log n) + O(1) + m \cdot O(1) = O(n \log n)$

INTERVAL PARTITIONING

EX: PROVE THAT FASTALL RETURNS AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION.

ARE GIVEN A SET-OF INTERVALS I. WE INTERVAL ON THE MINIMUM POSSIBLE AIM TO SCHE DULE EACH NUMBER OF RESOURCES.

HHHH ... H HERE, ONE RESOURCE IS SUFFICIENT

TO SCHEDULE EACH INTERVAL

DEPFH (I')=5

I NEED 2 RESOURCES.

17 = "EARLIEST TO FINISH" SELECTON {C, 13} SELECTH APPLIED GREEDILY USES 3 RESOURCES. { D) BUT 2 ARE OPTIMAL! DEPTH (I)=3

DEF: DEPTH(I) IS THE MINIMUM INTEGER of S.T. l & Ii / Ii €I ~ t € Ii } / € d.

DEF: OPT(I) BE THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF RESOURCES TO SCHE DULE EACH INTERVAL IN I.

2 | + | + | 2

 $\lambda_1 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant \lambda_3 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_m$.

- L ← { 1,2,..., d }

- FOR i=1 ... ;-1

- FOR j=1 ... m

LI: OPT (I) > DEPTH (I).

ALG(I): - LET of BE d=DEPTH(I) - SORT THE INTERVALS BY THEIR STARTING TIME, IN CREASINGLY

- LET I = { (s,, f,), (s2, f2),..., (sm, fm)} WITH

THERE MUST EXIST A TIME & WHEN EXACTLY

DEPTH (I) INTERVALS ARE RUNNING AT THE SAME TIME.

AT TIME &, WE THEN NEED DEPTH (I) RESOURCES TO SCHEDULE ALL THE INTERVALS: OPT (I) > DEPTH (I)

IF (si, fi) IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH (sj, fj): $1 \leftarrow L - \{ \ell(i) \} \qquad // \ell(i)$

- IF |L| >1: LET e eL SET l(j)=e - ELSE: FAIL

- RETURN THE LABBILING e(1), e(2),..., e(m).

P: CONSIDER A GENERIC ITERATION ; OF

LET S- BE THE SET OF INTERVALS THAT

(1) THE ALGORITHM CONSIDERED BEFORE (5, f)

L2: THE ALGORITHM NEVER FAILS.

THE LOOP.

AND THAT (11) END AFTER ST. $S_{\bar{j}} = \{ (s_{i}, f_{i}) | i \leq \bar{j} - 1 \text{ AND } f_{i} \geq s_{\bar{j}} \}.$

THE ALGORITHM WILL REMOVE FROM THE SET OF AVAILABLE LABELS FOR (5, , f) ALL AND ONLY THE LABELS ASSIGNED TO THE INTERVALS IN 57. IN PARTICULAR, AFTER THE INNER LOOP ENDS, 12/3 d- |S- |. (EACH INTERVAL IN S- REMOVES AT MOST ONE LABEL). WE WILL PROVE |L| 21 BY PROVING d-|S-| 2|. THE LATTER IS EQUIVALENT TO $|S_{\overline{1}}| \leq d-1$.

CLAIM: |SJ | = ol -1

P: EACH INTERVAL IN ST PASSES THROUGH ST, AND COMES BEFORE (ST, F) IN THE ORDERING. THEN, (ST, f) & ST. SUPPOSE, BY CONTRADICTION, THAT IS, > ol. THEN, THE SET ST U { (ST, f) } HAS A CARDINALITY OF AT LEAST d+1. NOW, EACH INTERVAL IN STUE (5,7, f)

BUT, THEN DEPTH (I) > | STU {(s), f)} > 0+1.

THUS, THE ALGORITHM NEVER FAILS. D L3: THE ALGORITHMS RETURNS A VALID LABBILLING.

PASSES THROUGH TIME ST.

CONTRADICTION. I

P: APPLY LI, L2, L3. []

P: EXERCISE! T: THE ALGORITHM RETURNS AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION TO INTERVAL PARTITIONING.

EXZ: PROVE THAT, IF INTERVALS ARE SORTED WICREASINGLY BY FINISHING TIME TODAY'S MCREASINGLY BY FINISHING TIME, TODAY'S
ALGORITHM FAILS TO FIND AN OPTIMAL

SOLUTION IN GENERAL.