

# Lecture Notes: Theoretical Foundations of Deep Learning

## Part 1: Decomposition of Risk (Week 6)

### 1.1 Problem Setup

- **Data:**  $S = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \sim \mathcal{D}^n$  i.i.d., where  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .
- **Goal:** Find a hypothesis  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  within a hypothesis class  $\mathcal{F}$  to minimize loss.
- **Risk Definitions:**
  - **Population Risk:**  $R(f) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}}[\ell(f(x), y)]$ .
  - **Empirical Risk:**  $\hat{R}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i)$ .
- **Hypothesis Space Constraints:** We often consider a constrained class  $\mathcal{F}_\delta = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : c(f) \leq \delta\}$  (where  $c(f)$  is a complexity measure, e.g., norm).
- **Estimator:**  $\hat{f} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} \hat{R}(f)$ .
- **Optimal:**  $f^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R(f)$  (Global optimum),  $f_{\mathcal{F}_\delta}^* = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} R(f)$  (Restricted optimum).

### 1.2 The Decomposition

The excess risk can be decomposed into three components:

$$R(\hat{f}) - R(f^*) = \underbrace{[R(\hat{f}) - R(f_{\mathcal{F}_\delta}^*)]}_{\text{Estimation Error}} + \underbrace{[R(f_{\mathcal{F}_\delta}^*) - R(f^*)]}_{\text{Approximation Error}}$$

More strictly, taking the supremum over the class for the estimation part:

$$R(\hat{f}) - \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} R(f) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} |R(f) - \hat{R}(f)| + \text{Optimization Error}$$

1. **Approximation Error:** Distance between the full target space and our restricted hypothesis class  $\mathcal{F}_\delta$ .
2. **Estimation Error (Generalization Gap):** The difference between empirical performance and population performance, bounded by the uniform convergence of the class:  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta} |R(f) - \hat{R}(f)|$ .
3. **Optimization Error:** Failure to find the global minimum of  $\hat{R}(f)$ .

## Part 2: The Curse of Dimensionality (Week 6)

Standard non-parametric estimators suffer from the curse of dimensionality.

- **Scenario:** Target function  $f^*$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz.
- **Error Rate:** To achieve an error of  $\epsilon$ , the number of samples  $n$  required scales exponentially with dimension  $d$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[|\hat{f}(x) - f^*(x)|^2] \approx O(n^{-1/d})$$

- **Implication:** For high-dimensional data (large  $d$ ), standard local averaging methods fail. Deep learning attempts to overcome this by exploiting compositional structures (like Barron spaces) rather than just local smoothness.

## Part 3: Universal Approximation Theorem (UAT) (Week 6)

### 3.1 Statement

A 2-layer neural network with a "sigmoidal" activation function is dense in the space of continuous functions  $C(I_n)$  on a compact set  $I_n$ .

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \sigma(w_j^T x + b_j)$$

### 3.2 Key Definitions

1. **Sigmoidal Function:**  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(z) = 1$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} \sigma(z) = 0$ .
2. **Discriminatory Function:** A function  $\sigma$  is discriminatory if for a signed measure  $\mu$ ,

$$\int_{I_n} \sigma(w^T x + b) d\mu(x) = 0 \quad \forall w, b \implies \mu \equiv 0.$$

### 3.3 Proof Sketch (Hahn-Banach & Riesz Representation)

This proof relies on **Functional Analysis** (proof by contradiction).

1. Let  $S$  be the subspace of neural networks. Assume  $S$  is **not** dense in  $C(I_n)$ .

2. **Hahn-Banach Theorem:** There exists a bounded linear functional  $L$  on  $C(I_n)$  such that  $L(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in S$ , but  $L \neq 0$ .
3. **Riesz Representation Theorem (RRT):** Any bounded linear functional  $L$  on  $C(I_n)$  can be represented uniquely by a signed regular Borel measure  $\mu$ :

$$L(f) = \int_{I_n} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

4. Since  $\sigma(w^T x + b) \in S$ , we have:

$$\int_{I_n} \sigma(w^T x + b) d\mu(x) = 0 \quad \forall w, b$$

5. **Discriminatory Property:** It is proven (Lemma) that continuous sigmoidal functions are discriminatory. Therefore, the condition above implies  $\mu = 0$ .
6. **Contradiction:** If  $\mu = 0$ , then  $L = 0$ , which contradicts step 2. Thus,  $S$  must be dense.

## Part 4: Approximation Error & Maurey's Theorem (Week 7)

While UAT guarantees existence (density), it does not quantify the rate (efficiency) or the number of neurons needed. We use **Barron Spaces** and **Maurey's Theorem** for this.

### 4.1 Maurey's Theorem (Jones-Barron)

**Theorem:** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $G \subset H$  be a subset such that  $\|g\| \leq B$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $f$  be in the closure of the convex hull of  $G$  ( $f \in \overline{\text{conv}(G)}$ ).

Then, for any  $N \geq 1$ , there exists a function  $f_N$  which is a convex combination of  $N$  elements from  $G$  such that:

$$\|f - f_N\|^2 \leq \frac{B^2}{N}$$

### 4.2 Proof Idea: Probabilistic Method

This proof is crucial for understanding why  $N$  neurons approximate well.

1. Since  $f \in \overline{\text{conv}(G)}$ , we can write  $f = \sum \alpha_j h_j$  where  $\sum \alpha_j = 1, \alpha_j \geq 0$ .
2. Define a random variable  $Z$  taking values in  $\{h_j\}$  with probability  $P(Z = h_j) = \alpha_j$ .

- $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \sum \alpha_j h_j = f$ .
- $\|Z\| \leq B$  almost surely.

3. Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_N$  be i.i.d. copies of  $Z$ . Define the approximation  $f_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i$ .
4. Analyze the expected squared error:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|f - f_N\|^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| \mathbb{E}[Z] - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \right\|^2 \right] = \text{Var}(f_N)$$

5. By independence:

$$\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum Z_i \right) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum \text{Var}(Z_i) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Var}(Z)$$

6. Since  $\text{Var}(Z) = \mathbb{E}[\|Z\|^2] - \|f\|^2 \leq B^2$ , we get:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|f - f_N\|^2] \leq \frac{B^2}{N}$$

7. Since the expectation is bounded by  $B^2/N$ , there must exist at least one specific realization  $f_N$  satisfying the bound.

## 4.3 Implication for Neural Networks

If the target function  $f^*$  lies in a "Barron Space" (defined by spectral properties of its Fourier transform), it can be approximated by a 2-layer network with  $N$  neurons with error  $O(1/N)$  (squared error) or  $O(1/\sqrt{N})$  (RMSE).

- Notably, this rate is **independent of input dimension  $d$** , avoiding the curse of dimensionality for this specific function class.

## Part 5: Estimation Error & Rademacher Complexity (Week 7 & 8)

To bound the generalization error (Estimation Error), we measure the capacity of the hypothesis class using Rademacher Complexity.

## 5.1 Definition

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a hypothesis class and  $S = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  be a fixed sample.

The **Empirical Rademacher Complexity** is:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_S(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i f(z_i) \right]$$

where  $\sigma_i$  are i.i.d. Rademacher variables ( $P(\sigma_i = 1) = P(\sigma_i = -1) = 0.5$ ).

- **Intuition:** It measures how well the class  $\mathcal{F}$  can correlate with random noise. A rich class can fit noise perfectly (high complexity).

## 5.2 Generalization Bound

With probability at least  $1 - \delta$ :

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |R(f) - \hat{R}(f)| \leq 2\mathfrak{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) + \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2n}}$$

## 5.3 Complexity of 2-Layer ReLU Networks (Week 8)

We want to bound  $\mathfrak{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$  for the class of 2-layer ReLU networks with bounded path-norm.

**Class Definition:**

$$\mathcal{F}_{m,\sigma,B} = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sigma(w_j^T x) \mid \sum_{j=1}^m |\beta_j| \|w_j\|_2 \leq B \right\}$$

Assumption: Data is bounded  $\|x\|_2 \leq C$ .

**Derivation Steps (Key Proof):**

1. **Homogeneity:** Since ReLU is positive homogeneous ( $\alpha \sigma(x) = \sigma(\alpha x)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ ), we can re-parameterize weights such that  $\|w_j\|_2 = 1$  and absorb the magnitude into  $\beta_j$ . The constraint becomes  $\sum |\tilde{\beta}_j| \leq B$ .

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{\beta}_j \sigma(\tilde{w}_j^T x), \quad \|\tilde{w}_j\|_2 = 1$$

## 2. Supremum Bound:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{K}}_S = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\|\beta\|_1 \leq B, \|w_j\| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sigma(w_j^T x_i) \right]$$

Since the inner sum is linear in  $\beta$ , the supremum occurs at an extreme point (one active neuron).

$$\leq \frac{B}{n} \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i \sigma(w^T x_i) \right| \right]$$

3. **Talagrand's Contraction Lemma:** Since  $\sigma(\cdot)$  (ReLU) is 1-Lipschitz and  $\sigma(0) = 0$ , we can remove it from the Rademacher average (costing at most a factor of 2, or 1 depending on the version).

$$\mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \sum \sigma_i \sigma(w^T x_i) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \sum \sigma_i (w^T x_i) \right]$$

## 4. Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} \sum \sigma_i w^T x_i = \sup_{\|w\| \leq 1} w^T \left( \sum \sigma_i x_i \right) = \left\| \sum \sigma_i x_i \right\|_2$$

## 5. Final Calculation:

$$\mathbb{E}_\sigma \left[ \left\| \sum \sigma_i x_i \right\|_2 \right] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum \sigma_i x_i \right\|^2} = \sqrt{\sum \|x_i\|^2} = \sqrt{nC^2}$$

(Using Jensen's inequality and independence of  $\sigma_i$ ).

**Result:**

$$\text{Rad}(\mathcal{F}_{m,\sigma,B}) \leq \frac{2BC}{\sqrt{n}}$$

## 5.4 Conclusion on Total Error

Combining Maurey's Theorem (Approximation) and Rademacher Complexity (Estimation):

$$\text{Total Error} \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right) + O\left(\frac{BC}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

- First term: Approximation error (decreases with network width  $m$ ).
- Second term: Estimation error (decreases with sample size  $n$ ).
- **Significance:** The bound depends on the *norms* ( $B, C$ ) and sample size, not the dimension  $d$ .  
This suggests that with proper regularization (controlling  $B$ ), deep learning can generalize well even in high dimensions.