

AMIT YADAV

Assignment 9

H9.1

MAX SAT Optimization Problem :

Given a CNF formula ϕ with variables $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$

For $i=1$ to n :

```

|   if  $E[\# \text{satisfied clauses} \mid x_{i-1}, x_i = \text{true}]$ 
|   >  $E[\# \text{satisfied clauses} \mid x_{i-1}, x_i = \text{false}]$ 
|   then
|        $x_i = \text{true}$ 
|   else  $x_i = \text{false}$ 
|

```

Here x_i is the ^{truth} assignment of previous variables $\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ by the above algorithm.

As we can see, it is a deterministic greedy algorithm maximizing the # satisfied clause.

H9.2 Given : A set S with $|S| = 2^k = n$

We find a family of sets s.t. OPTIMAL solution for MIN SET COVER has two sets and algorithm picks $\log_2 n = k$ sets.

Let S_0, S_1, \dots, S_k be pairwise disjoint sets of sizes $\{1, 1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{k-1}\}$. And there are two more disjoint sets T_0 and T_1 such that each exactly half of elements of S .

Our set family is $\{T_0, T_1, S_0, S_1, \dots, S_k\}$.

Clearly OPTIMAL solution has size 2 $= \{T_0, T_1\}$.

But our greedy algorithm can pick up sets $\{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ in the order $S_k, S_{k-1}, \dots, S_1, S_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{size of solution by greedy algo.} &= k+1 \\ &= \log_2 n + 1 \end{aligned}$$

The above size can of course be reduced to $\log_2 n$ by merging S_0 and S_1 , i.e. $S_1 = S_0 \cup S_1$.

$$\therefore \text{Approximation ratio } \alpha = \frac{\log_2 n}{2}$$

H9.3

(a) 3SAT parameterised by # variables $n = |X|$ in $\phi(x)$.

To show : It is in FPT.

Sol : A TM M can check all possible truth assignments.

$$\# \text{ assignments} = 2^k \quad (k = n, \text{ parameter})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{time taken to check if a assignment satisfies } \phi \\ = O(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{ Time taken to decide 3SAT by } M \\ = 2^k \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

\therefore 3SAT is in FPT. when parameterized by # variables.

(b) To show : 3SAT is in XP when parameterized by size of smallest backdoor $z \leq x$ for a satisfiable formula $\phi = \phi(x)$

Sol : since, any truth assignment of a backdoor set reduces ϕ to T or F, means truth assignment of other variables doesn't affect the result.

Algorithm:

Given a formula ϕ , a bound k

- ① For every set $z \subseteq X$ s.t. $|z| = k$.
- ② Assign 'true' to X/z variables.
- ③ Check for all truth assignments of z :
If any assignment of z along with X/z reduces to truth, then accept.
- ④ Reject.

We can check the time complexity of the above algorithm:

$$= \underbrace{n C_k}_{\text{\# backdoors}} \cdot \underbrace{2^k}_{\substack{\text{\# of truth} \\ \text{assignments for each} \\ \text{backdoor}}} \cdot \underbrace{n}_{\substack{\text{Time taken to check} \\ \text{if } \phi \text{ reduces to true} \\ \text{or false.}}}$$

Here, $f(k(n)) = n C_k \cdot 2^k$

$$p(|m|) = |m|$$

\therefore The above problem is in XP.