

## Appendix

### A Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{t+1} &= \arg \min_x m_{1:t} \cdot x + \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{2\alpha_s} (x - x_s)^T Q_s (x - x_s) \\
&\quad + \Psi_t(x) \\
&= \arg \min_x m_{1:t} \cdot x + \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{2\alpha_s} (\|Q_s^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|_2^2 - 2x \cdot (Q_s x_s)) \\
&\quad + \|Q_s^{\frac{1}{2}} x_s\|_2^2 + \Psi_t(x) \\
&= \arg \min_x (m_{1:t} - \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s}{\alpha_s} x_s) \cdot x + \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{2\alpha_s} \|Q_s^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \Psi_t(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Define  $z_{t-1} = m_{1:t-1} - \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \frac{Q_s}{\alpha_s} x_s$  ( $t \geq 2$ ) and we can calculate  $z_t$  as

$$z_t = z_{t-1} + m_t - \frac{Q_t}{\alpha_t} x_t, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{23}$$

By substituting (23), (22) is simplified to be

$$x_{t+1} = \arg \min_x z_t \cdot x + \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s}{2\alpha_s} \|x\|_2^2 + \Psi_t(x). \tag{24}$$

By substituting  $\Psi_t(x)$  (Eq. (5)) into (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{t+1} &= \arg \min_x z_t \cdot x + \sum_{g=1}^G (\lambda_1 \|x^g\|_1 + \lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}} \\
&\quad \|(\sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s^g}{2\alpha_s} + \lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{\frac{1}{2}} x^g\|_2) + \|(\sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s}{2\alpha_s} + \lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since the objective of (25) is component-wise and element-wise, we can focus on the solution in one group, say  $g$ , and one entry, say  $i$ , in the  $g$ -th group. Let  $\sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s^g}{2\alpha_s} = \text{diag}(\sigma_t^g)$  where  $\sigma_t^g = (\sigma_{t,1}^g, \dots, \sigma_{t,d_{x^g}}^g)$ . The objective of (25) on  $x_{t+1,i}^g$  is

$$\Omega(x_{t+1,i}^g) = z_{t,i}^g x_{t+1,i}^g + \lambda_1 |x_{t+1,i}^g| + \Phi(x_{t+1,i}^g), \tag{26}$$

where  $\Phi(x_{t+1,i}^g) = \lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}} \|(\sigma_{t,i}^g + \lambda_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{t+1,i}^g\|_2 + \|(\sigma_{t,i}^g + \lambda_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{t+1,i}^g\|_2^2$  is a non-negative function and  $\Phi(x_{t+1,i}^g) = 0$  iff  $x_{t+1,i}^g = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d_{x^g}\}$ .

We discuss the optimal solution of (26) in three cases:

- a) If  $z_{t,i}^g = 0$ , then  $x_{t+1,i}^g = 0$ .  
b) If  $z_{t,i}^g > 0$ , then  $x_{t+1,i}^g \leq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $x_{t+1,i}^g > 0$ , we have  $\Omega(-x_{t+1,i}^g) < \Omega(x_{t+1,i}^g)$ , which contradicts the minimization value of  $\Omega(x)$  on  $x_{t+1,i}^g$ .  
Next, if  $z_{t,i}^g \leq \lambda_1$ , then  $x_{t+1,i}^g = 0$ . Otherwise, if  $x_{t+1,i}^g < 0$ , we have  $\Omega(x_{t+1,i}^g) = (z_{t,i}^g - \lambda_1)x_{t+1,i}^g + \Phi(x_{t+1,i}^g) > \Omega(0)$ , which also contradicts the minimization value of  $\Omega(x)$  on  $x_{t+1,i}^g$ .  
Third,  $z_{t,i}^g > \lambda_1$  ( $\forall i = 1, \dots, d_{x^g}$ ). The objective of (26) for the  $g$ -th group,  $\Omega(x_{t+1}^g)$ , becomes

$$(z_t^g - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}_{d_{x^g}}) \cdot x_{t+1}^g + \Phi(x_{t+1}^g).$$

- c) If  $z_{t,i}^g < 0$ , the analysis is similar to b). We have  $x_{t+1,i}^g \geq 0$ . When  $-z_{t,i}^g \leq \lambda_1$ ,  $x_{t+1,i}^g = 0$ . When  $-z_{t,i}^g > \lambda_1$  ( $\forall i = 1, \dots, d_{x^g}$ ), we have

$$\Omega(x_{t+1}^g) = (z_t^g + \lambda_1 \mathbf{1}_{d_{x^g}}) \cdot x_{t+1}^g + \Phi(x_{t+1}^g).$$

From a), b), c) above, we have

$$x_{t+1}^g = \arg \min_x -s_t^g \cdot x + \Phi(x), \quad (27)$$

where the  $i$ -th element of  $s_t^g$  is defined same as (9).

Define

$$y = (\text{diag}(\sigma_t^g) + \lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{\frac{1}{2}} x. \quad (28)$$

By substituting (28) into (27), we get

$$y_{t+1}^g = \arg \min_y -\tilde{s}_t^g \cdot y + \lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}} \|y\|_2 + \|y\|_2^2, \quad (29)$$

where  $\tilde{s}_t^g = (\text{diag}(\sigma_t^g) + \lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} s_t^g$  which is defined same as (10). This is unconstrained non-smooth optimization problem. Its optimality condition (see [21], Section 27) states that  $y_{t+1}^g$  is an optimal solution if and only if there exists  $\xi \in \partial \|y_{t+1}^g\|_2$  such that

$$-\tilde{s}_t^g + \lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}} \xi + 2y_{t+1}^g = 0. \quad (30)$$

The subdifferential of  $\|y\|_2$  is

$$\partial \|y\|_2 = \begin{cases} \{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{x^g}} \mid -1 \leq \zeta^{(i)} \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, d_{x^g}\} & \text{if } y = 0, \\ \frac{y}{\|y\|_2} & \text{if } y \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly to the analysis of  $\ell_1$ -regularization, we discuss the solution of (30) in two different cases:

- a) If  $\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2 \leq \lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}}$ , then  $y_{t+1}^g = 0$  and  $\xi = \frac{\tilde{s}_t^g}{\lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}}} \in \partial \|0\|_2$  satisfy (30).  
We also show that there is no solution other than  $y_{t+1}^g = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $y_{t+1,i}^g \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d_{x^g}\}$ , then  $\xi = \frac{y_{t+1}^g}{\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2}$ , and

$$-\tilde{s}_t^g + \frac{\lambda_{21} \sqrt{d_{x^g}}}{\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2} y_{t+1}^g + 2y_{t+1}^g = 0. \quad (31)$$

From (31), we can derive

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}}{\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2} + 2\right)\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2 = \|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2 - \lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}), \quad (32)$$

where  $\|y_{t+1}^g\|_2 > 0$  and  $\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2 - \lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}} \leq 0$  contradict each other.

b) If  $\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2 > \lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}$ , then from (31) and (32), we get

$$y_{t+1}^g = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}}{\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2}\right)\tilde{s}_t^g. \quad (33)$$

We replace  $y_{t+1}^g$  of (33) by  $x_{t+1}^g$  using (28), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+1}^g &= (\text{diag}(\sigma_t^g) + \lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{-\frac{1}{2}} y_{t+1}^g \\ &= (2\text{diag}(\sigma_t^g) + 2\lambda_2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}}{\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2}\right) s_t^g \\ &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s}{\alpha_s} + 2\lambda_2 \mathbb{I}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{21}\sqrt{d_{x^g}}}{\|\tilde{s}_t^g\|_2}\right) s_t^g. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Combine a) and b) above, we finish the proof.

## B Proof of Theorem 2

*Proof.* We use the method of induction.

a) When  $t = 1$ , then Algorithm 1 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \alpha_1 \left(\frac{\sqrt{V_1}}{\alpha_1} - \frac{\sqrt{V_0}}{\alpha_0}\right) = \sqrt{V_1}, \\ z_1 &= z_0 + m_1 - \frac{Q_1}{\alpha_1} x_1 = m_1 - \frac{\sqrt{V_1}}{\alpha_1} x_1, \\ s_1 &= -z_1 = \frac{\sqrt{V_1}}{\alpha_1} x_1 - m_1, \\ x_2 &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{V_1}}{\alpha_1}\right)^{-1} s_1 = x_1 - \alpha_1 \frac{m_1}{\sqrt{V_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which equals to Eq. (1).

b) Assume  $t = T$ , Eq. (35) are true.

$$\begin{aligned} z_T &= m_T - \frac{\sqrt{V_T}}{\alpha_T} x_T, \\ x_{T+1} &= x_T - \alpha_T \frac{m_T}{\sqrt{V_T}}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

For  $t = T + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
z_{T+1} &= z_T + m_{T+1} - \frac{Q_{T+1}}{\alpha_{T+1}} x_{T+1} \\
&= m_T - \frac{\sqrt{V_T}}{\alpha_T} x_T + m_{T+1} - \frac{Q_{T+1}}{\alpha_{T+1}} x_{T+1} \\
&= m_T - \frac{\sqrt{V_T}}{\alpha_T} (x_{T+1} + \alpha_T \frac{m_T}{\sqrt{V_T}}) + m_{T+1} - \frac{Q_{T+1}}{\alpha_{T+1}} x_{T+1} \\
&= m_{T+1} - (\frac{\sqrt{V_T}}{\alpha_T} + \frac{Q_{T+1}}{\alpha_{T+1}}) x_{T+1} \\
&= m_{T+1} - \frac{\sqrt{V_{T+1}}}{\alpha_{T+1}} x_{T+1}, \\
x_{T+2} &= (\frac{\sqrt{V_{T+1}}}{\alpha_{T+1}})^{-1} s_{T+1} = -(\frac{\sqrt{V_{T+1}}}{\alpha_{T+1}})^{-1} z_{T+1} \\
&= x_{T+1} - \alpha_T \frac{m_{T+1}}{\sqrt{V_{T+1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we complete the proof.

## C Proof of Theorem 3

*Proof.* Let

$$h_t(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{2\alpha_s} \|Q_s^{\frac{1}{2}}(x - x_s)\|_2^2 & \forall t \in [T], \\ \frac{1}{2} \|x - c\|_2^2 & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that for all  $t \in [T]$ ,  $h_t(x)$  is 1-strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\sqrt{V_t}/\alpha_t}$  which  $\frac{\sqrt{V_t}}{\alpha_t} = \sum_{s=1}^t \frac{Q_s}{\alpha_s}$ , and  $h_0(x)$  is 1-strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

From (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_T &= \sum_{t=1}^T (f_t(x_t) - f_t(x^*)) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle g_t, x_t - x^* \rangle \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^T \langle m_t - \gamma m_{t-1}, x_t - x^* \rangle \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle m_t, x_t - x^* \rangle \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^T \langle m_t, x_t \rangle + \Psi_T(x^*) + h_T(x^*) + (\sum_{t=1}^T \langle -m_t, x^* \rangle \\
&\quad - \Psi_T(x^*) - h_T(x^*)) \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle m_t, x_t \rangle + \Psi_T(x^*) + h_T(x^*) + \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \langle -m_{1:T}, x \rangle \\
&\quad - \Psi_T(x) - h_T(x) \},
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where in the first and second inequality above, we use the convexity of  $f_t(x)$  and the condition (12) respectively.

We define  $h_t^*(u)$  to be the conjugate dual of  $\Psi_t(x) + h_t(x)$ :

$$h_t^*(u) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \langle u, x \rangle - \Psi_t(x) - h_t(x) \}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $\Psi_0(x) = 0$ . Since  $h_t(x)$  is 1-strongly convex with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{h_t}$ , the function  $h_t^*$  has 1-Lipschitz continuous gradients with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{h_t^*}$  (see, [14], Theorem 1):

$$\|\nabla h_t^*(u_1) - \nabla h_t^*(u_2)\|_{h_t} \leq \|u_1 - u_2\|_{h_t^*}, \quad (37)$$

and

$$\nabla h_t^*(u) = \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ -\langle u, x \rangle + \Psi_t(x) + h_t(x) \}. \quad (38)$$

As a trivial corollary of (37), we have the following inequality:

$$h_t^*(u + \delta) \leq h_t^*(u) + \langle \nabla h_t^*(u), \delta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta\|_{h_t^*}^2. \quad (39)$$

Since  $h_{t+1}(x) \geq h_t(x)$  and  $\Psi_{t+1}(x) \geq \Psi_t(x)$ , from (38), (39), (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_T^*(-m_{1:T}) &\leq h_{T-1}^*(-m_{1:T}) \\ &\leq h_{T-1}^*(-m_{1:T-1}) - \langle \nabla h_{T-1}^*(-m_{1:T-1}), m_T \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|m_T\|_{h_{T-1}^*}^2 \\ &\leq h_{T-2}^*(-m_{1:T-1}) - \langle x_T, m_T \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|m_T\|_{h_{T-1}^*}^2 \\ &\leq h_0^*(0) - \langle \nabla h_0^*(0), m_1 \rangle - \sum_{t=2}^T \langle x_t, m_t \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T \|m_t\|_{h_{t-1}^*}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{t=1}^T \langle x_t, m_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|m_t\|_{h_{t-1}^*}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where the last equality above follows from  $h_0^*(0) = 0$  and (11) which deduces  $x_1 = \nabla h_0^*(0)$ .

By substituting (40), (36) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_T &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \langle m_t, x_t \rangle + \Psi_T(x^*) + h_T(x^*) + h_T^*(-m_{1:T}) \\ &\leq \Psi_T(x^*) + h_T(x^*) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|m_t\|_{h_{t-1}^*}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

## D Additional Proofs

### D.1 Proof of Lemma 1

*Proof.* Let  $V_t = \text{diag}(\sigma_t)$  where  $\sigma_t$  is the vector of the diagonal elements of  $V_t$ . For  $i$ -th entry of  $\sigma_t$ , by substituting (13) into (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t,i} &= g_{t,i}^2 + \eta \sigma_{t-1,i} \\
&= (m_{t,i} - \gamma m_{t-1,i})^2 + \eta g_{t-1,i}^2 + \eta^2 \sigma_{t-2,i} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^t \eta^{t-s} (m_{s,i} - \gamma m_{s-1,i})^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{s=1}^t \eta^{t-s} (1-\gamma) (m_{s,i}^2 - \gamma m_{s-1,i}^2) \\
&= (1-\gamma) (m_{t,i}^2 + (\eta-\gamma) \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \eta^{t-s-1} m_{s,i}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Next, we will discuss the value of  $\eta$  in two cases.

a)  $\eta = 1$ . From (42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t,i} &\geq (1-\gamma) (m_{t,i}^2 + (1-\gamma) \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} m_{s,i}^2) \\
&> (1-\gamma)^2 \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2 \\
&\geq (1-\nu)^2 \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Recalling the definition of  $M_{t,i}$  in Section 1.5, from (43), we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{t,i}}} < \frac{1}{1-\nu} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\|M_{t,i}\|_2} \leq \frac{2}{1-\nu} \|M_{T,i}\|_2,$$

where the last inequality above follows from Appendix C of [4]. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=1}^T \|m_t\|_{(\frac{\sqrt{V_t}}{\alpha_t})^{-1}}^2 &= \alpha \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{m_{t,i}^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_{t,i}}} \\
&< \frac{2\alpha}{1-\nu} \sum_{i=1}^d \|M_{T,i}\|_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

b)  $\eta < 1$ . We assume  $\eta \geq \gamma$  and  $\kappa V_t \succeq V_{t-1}$  where  $\kappa < 1$ , then we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^t \kappa^{t-s} \sigma_{s,i} \geq \sum_{s=1}^t \sigma_{s,i} \geq (1-\gamma) \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t,i} &\geq \frac{1-\kappa}{1-\kappa^t}(1-\gamma) \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2 \\
&> (1-\kappa)(1-\gamma) \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2 \\
&\geq (1-\nu)^2 \sum_{s=1}^t m_{s,i}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which deduces the same conclusion (44) of a).

Combine a) and b), we complete the proof.

## D.2 Proof of Corollary 1

*Proof.* From the definition of  $m_t$  (13),  $V_t$  (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|m_{t,i}| &= \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \gamma^{t-s} g_{s,i} \right| \leq \frac{1-\gamma^t}{1-\gamma} G < \frac{G}{1-\gamma} \leq \frac{G}{1-\nu}, \\
|\sigma_{t,i}| &= \left| \sum_{s=1}^t \eta^{t-s} g_{s,i}^2 \right| \leq tG^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\Psi_T(x^*) \leq \lambda_1 dD_1 + \lambda_{21} dD_1 \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}G}{2\alpha} + \lambda_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_2 dD_1^2, \tag{46}$$

$$h_T(x^*) \leq \frac{dD_2^2 G}{2\alpha} \sqrt{T}, \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|m_t\|_{h_{t-1}^*}^2 < \frac{\alpha}{1-\nu} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sqrt{T}G}{1-\nu} = \frac{d\alpha G}{(1-\nu)^2} \sqrt{T}. \tag{48}$$

Combining (46), (47), (48), we complete the proof.

## E Additional Experimental Results

**Table 5.** The learning rates of the optimizers of three datasets.

Optimizer	MLP	OPNN	DCN
ADAM/GROUP ADAM	1e-4	1e-4	1e-3
ADAGRAD/GROUP ADAGRAD	1e-2	1e-2	1e-2

**Table 6.** The regularization terms of GROUP ADAM of three datasets.

Dataset	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_{21}$	$\lambda_2$
MLP	5e-3	1e-2	1e-5
OPNN	8e-5	1e-5	1e-5
DCN	4e-4	5e-4	1e-5

**Table 7.** The regularization terms of GROUP ADAGRAD of three datasets.

Dataset	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_{21}$	$\lambda_2$
MLP	0	1e-2	1e-5
OPNN	8e-5	8e-5	1e-5
DCN	0	4e-3	1e-5

**Table 8.** Fine-tuned schedule of magnitude pruning. The best AUC for each dataset on each sparsity level is bolded.

Ratio of Samples	MLP		OPNN		DCN	
	Sparsity	AUC	Sparsity	AUC	Sparsity	AUC
30%		0.7454		0.6809		0.8016
20%	0.974	<b>0.7457</b>	0.078	0.7259	0.518	0.8015
10%		0.7439		0.7160		0.8015
0%		0.7452		<b>0.7551</b>		<b>0.8019</b>
30%		0.7454		0.6356		0.8005
20%	0.864	0.7441	0.039	0.6383	0.062	0.7977
10%		0.7453		0.6678		0.7884
0%		<b>0.7464</b>		<b>0.7491</b>		<b>0.8018</b>
30%		0.7443		0.6826		0.7883
20%	0.701	0.7452	0.032	0.6618	0.018	0.7969
10%		0.7449		0.6604		0.7800
0%		<b>0.7452</b>		<b>0.7465</b>		<b>0.8017</b>
30%		<b>0.7457</b>		0.6318		0.7400
20%	0.132	0.7428	0.018	0.6419	0.0042	0.7236
10%		0.7450		0.6207		0.6864
0%		0.7452		<b>0.7509</b>		<b>0.7995</b>
30%		<b>0.7444</b>		0.5934		0.7442
20%	0.038	0.7442	0.0092	0.6003	0.0025	0.7105
10%		0.7437		0.6355		0.6925
0%		0.7430		<b>0.7396</b>		<b>0.7972</b>

**Table 9.** The  $\ell_{21}$ -regularization terms of the optimizer using  $s_t$  and  $\tilde{s}_t$ .

Method	$\lambda_{21}$					
$s_t$	0	1e-4	2.5e-4	5e-4	1e-3	
	2.5e-3	5e-3	7.5e-3	1e-2	2.5e-2	
$\tilde{s}_t$	0	0.05	0.075	0.1	0.125	
	0.15	0.175	0.2	0.225	0.25	