API Exploration Process

1. Initial Observations:

- I started with the v1 version of the API. Initially, I noticed that it returned names starting with the query string and was limited to only 10 results per request.
- Since the URL contained "v1," I assumed there might be multiple versions. Upon further investigation, I found v2 and v3.
- I carried out an Nmap scan to pinpoint the open ports. I discovered that both port 22 (SSH) and port 8000 (API) were open. On port 8000, I used nmap -A to discover the backend server was running Uvicorn (nmap -sV -A -p 8000 {url}). On port 22, it required a private key, so I stopped.
- Used SQLMap to check for SQL injection vulnerabilities, assuming SQL might be used in the backend, but found no vulnerabilities.
- I hypothesized that a backend function was dynamically generating results based on queries.
- We attempted to reconstruct the backend function using reverse engineering techniques, but found no conclusive patterns. If a function was involved, it was either too complex or not easily reversible.

2. Exploring Endpoints:

 The documentation suggested trying different endpoints, so I used dirb to enumerate them. This led me to discover the /hint, /help, and /solution endpoints.

3. Requesting a Hint:

- Sending a GET request to the hint endpoint returned a message indicating that I needed a "good way to ask for a hint."
- I experimented with different types of requests and found that a POST request successfully provided a hint.

4. Finding the Query Parameter for Increasing Results:

- The hint suggested looking for a query parameter that would increase the number of names returned per request.
- I initially tried generic parameters like count and cnt, but they did not work.
- After seeking suggestions from ChatGPT, I shifted my focus to parameters related to requests. Eventually, I discovered that max_requests was the correct parameter—this was a tough guess.

5. Extracting Names from Different API Versions:

 I carefully analyzed the responses and identified the characters involved in the names.

```
v1_char_set: a to z
v2_char_set: 0 to 9 and a to z
v3_char_set: space, +, -, ., 0 to 9and a to z
```

- Querying with a single character did not return the full list due to the 50-result limit.
- To bypass this, I generated all two-character combinations and queried the API to retrieve names.

6. Handling the Result Limit Recursively:

- Some two-character queries returned more than 50 results. In such cases, I recursively queried using three-character combinations.
- If three-character combinations still exceeded the limit, I extended to four-character combinations where the first three characters remained fixed.

7. Adapting to API Version Differences:

- v1 and v2 returned names ranging from 2 to 10 characters, whereas v3 included names from 1 to 10 characters.
- For v3, I started with single-character queries and followed the same recursive approach to extract all names.

8. Rate Limiting Challenges:

- Each API version imposed rate limits:
 - **v1**: 100 requests
 - v2: 50 requests
 - v3: 80 requests
- To handle this, I implemented request throttling using Python's time module to ensure I stayed within the allowed limits.
- I explored IP rotation techniques (ProxyChains) to test API rate limits, but streamlined the process for efficiency.

9. Verification and comparison with the solution endpoint:

 After extracting the data, I verified my results using the solution endpoint, which returned an encoded response. I encoded it using the Base64 method, yielding the following result:

```
v1_size: 18632
v2_size: 13730
v3_size: 12517
v1_char_set: a-z
v2_char_set: a-z0-9
v3_char_set: a-z0-9+-.
v1_query_params: query=ado&max_results=10
max_results_range=1-50
v2_query_params: query=ado&max_results=12
max_results_range=1-75
v3_query_params: query=ado&max_results=15
max_results_range=1-100
```

My extracted results were:

■ **v1_size:** 18,609

■ **v2_size**: 13,701

■ **v3_size:** 11,275

 There was a slight difference between my extracted values and the official values, likely due to missing edge cases or unseen constraints.

10. Final Thoughts:

- The process involved exploring unknown endpoints, deciphering undocumented parameters, and handling rate limits effectively.
- It was a challenging yet insightful experience in **API enumeration**, request handling, and adaptive querying strategies.
- The final verification step helped in identifying discrepancies and areas for further optimization.

Findings of the API

The API is a **RESTful autocomplete service** that takes a few characters as a query and returns a list of names that start with the given input.

Available Endpoints

1. Help Endpoint

- POST http://35.200.185.69:8000/help
- It provides guidance on how to proceed with the API exploration.
- Suggests trying the /hint endpoint.
- Mentions that **rate limiting** is enforced on the IP address.

2. Hint Endpoint

- o POST http://35.200.185.69:8000/hint
- o Provides a hint when accessed with a **POST** request.

3. Solution Endpoint

- o GET http://35.200.185.69:8000/solution
- Returns encoded statistics about the solution.

4. Version 1 (v1) Autocomplete Endpoint

- o GET http://35.200.185.69:8000/v1/autocomplete?query=a
- By default, it returns 10 results, but this can be adjusted using the max_results parameter within the range of 1 to 50.

5. Version 2 (v2) Autocomplete Endpoint

- o GET http://35.200.185.69:8000/v2/autocomplete?query=a
- By default, it returns 12 results, but the max_results parameter allows modification within the range of 1 to 75.

6. Version 3 (v3) Autocomplete Endpoint

- o GET http://35.200.185.69:8000/v3/autocomplete?query=a
- By default, it returns 15 results, but the max_results parameter can be used to modify the count within the range of 1 to 100.