

# CS6845 - Pseudorandomness

## Assignment 1

Due date: Jan 29, 11.59pm

---

### Instructions

- The solutions must be L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X-ed and the pdf file as well as the tex file must be submitted at <https://www.dropbox.com/request/BIlkYswGticDyce660XO>. Please submit both the pdf and tex in a *single* zip file with your roll number as the zip file name.
- For each day's delay in submission, you will lose 20% marks. A delay of 5 days will result in zero marks.
- You are expected to work individually on the assignment. Collaboration on the assignment or checking online sources for answers is *strongly discouraged*. If you need any clarification, you are welcome to discuss with me or Dinesh. Any academic dishonesty will result in zero marks for the assignment.
- Please be concise in stating your answers/proofs.

- 
1. (2 points) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  denote unbiased 0 – 1 random variables. Let  $X$  be the random variable defined as the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{2^i}$ . Show that  $X$  is uniformly distributed over the set  $\{0, \frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}\}$ .
  2. (2 points) Suppose  $n \geq 4$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a collection of  $r$  sets, each of size  $n$  from a universe  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $r \leq 4^{n-1}/3^n$ . Show that it is possible to color the elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  with 4 colors such that for each set in  $\mathcal{H}$  all four colors are present.
  3. (3 points) Let  $F$  be a finite collection of binary strings of finite length and assume that no member of  $F$  is a prefix of another one. Let  $N_i$  denote the number of strings of length  $i$  in  $F$ . Prove that  $\sum_i \frac{N_i}{2^i} \leq 1$ .  
**Hint:** If you take a random binary string of large enough length (how large?), what is the probability that an element of  $F$  occurs as a prefix of it. Why is the assumption that  $F$  is prefix-free necessary?
  4. (5 points) For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , let  $x^{(i)}$  be the  $i^{th}$  bit of  $x$ . Define the Hamming distance between  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , denoted by  $\Delta(x, y)$ , as the number of indices in which  $x$  and  $y$  differ. I.e.  $\Delta(x, y) = |\{i \mid x^{(i)} \neq y^{(i)}, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}|$ . Show that there exists a set of  $t \leq 2^{n/100}$  strings  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t \in \{0, 1\}^n$  such that

$$Pr[\forall i, j \in [t] \text{ with } i \neq j, \Delta(x_i, x_j) > n/10] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\Omega(n)}}$$

**Hint:** Following identity may be useful : for any  $n$  and  $k \leq n/4$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{n}{i} \leq 2^{\binom{n}{k}}$ .

5. Let  $\mu$  be a probability distribution over the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that you have access to a function  $\mathcal{M}$  which returns an element  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with probability  $\mu(i)$  each time you query it. In this exercise we will design a randomized algorithm that will construct a distribution  $\mu'$  over  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that is close to  $\mu$  using samples from  $\mathcal{M}$ . The algorithm proceeds as follows: Take  $t$  samples from  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose  $s_i$  many samples are  $i$ , then set  $\mu'(i) = s_i/t$ .
  - (a) (1 point) Show that  $\mu'$  is a probability distribution.

- (b) (2 points) What should be the value of  $t$  such that with probability at least  $1-\delta$  each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies  $|\mu(i) - \mu'(i)| \leq \epsilon$ .
6. (5 points) A set of  $n$  balls is drawn by sampling with replacement from an urn containing  $N$  balls,  $M$  of which are red. Give a sharp concentration result for the number of red balls in the sample drawn.
7. (5 points) In this exercise we will prove a Chernoff-like concentration inequality even in the case where the random variables are not independent. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be identically distributed binary random variables such that for all  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $\Pr[\bigwedge_{i \in S} X_i = 1] \leq \prod_{i \in S} \Pr[X_i = 1]$ . Show that even under this assumption, Chernoff bounds hold. Assume that  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .
8. In this exercise, we will prove a different form of the Chernoff bound with an alternate proof that does not use the moment generating functions. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent identically distributed binary random variables such that  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p$ . For  $X = \sum_i X_i$ , we will prove the following inequality:

$$\Pr[X \geq (p+t)n] \leq \left[ \left( \frac{p}{p+t} \right)^{p+t} \left( \frac{1-p}{1-p-t} \right)^{1-p-t} \right]^n \quad (1)$$

- (a) (2 points) First show that for every  $x \geq 1$ ,  $\Pr[X \geq k] \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} x^{i-k}$ .
- (b) (3 points) Now use the binomial theorem and calculus to optimize for  $x$ , and obtain the bound in Equation 1 by substituting  $k = (p+t)n$ .