## CS6845 - Pseudorandomness Assignment 1

Due date: Jan 29, 11.59pm

## Instructions

- The solutions must be LATEX-ed and the pdf file as well as the tex file must be submitted.
- For each day's delay in submission, you will lose 20% marks. A delay of 5 days will result in zero marks.
- You are expected to work individually on the assignment. Collaboration on the assignment or checking online sources for answers is *strongly discouraged*. If you need any clarification, you are welcome to discuss with me or Dinesh. Any academic dishonesty will result in zero marks for the assignment.
- Please be concise in stating your answers/proofs.
- 1. (2 points) Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  denote unbiased 0-1 random variables. Let X be the random variable defined as the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{2^i}$ . Show that X is uniformly distributed over the set  $\{0, \frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \ldots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}\}$ .
- 2. (2 points) Suppose  $n \ge 4$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a collection of r sets, each of size n from a universe  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $r \le 4^{n-1}/3^n$ . Show that it is possible to color the elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  with 4 colors such that for each set in  $\mathcal{H}$  all four colors are present.
- 3. (3 points) Let F be a finite collection of binary strings of finite length and assume that no member of F is a prefix of another one. Let N<sub>i</sub> denote the number of strings of length i in F. Prove that ∑<sub>i</sub> N<sub>i</sub> ≤ 1.
  Hint: If you take a random binary string of large enough length (how large?), what is the probability that an element of F occurs as a prefix of it. Why is the assumption that F is prefix-free necessary?
- 4. (5 points) For  $x,y\in\{0,1\}^n$ , define the Hamming distance between x,y, denoted by  $\Delta(x,y)$ , as the number of indices in which x and y differ. I.e.  $\Delta(x,y)=|\{i\mid x_i\neq y_i, i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}\}|$ . Show that there exists a set of  $t\leq 2^{n/100}$  points  $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_t$  such that

$$Pr\left[\forall\ i,j\in[t]\ \text{with}\ i\neq j,\ \Delta(x_i,x_j)>n/10\right]\geq 1-\frac{1}{2^{\Omega(n)}}$$

**Hint**: Following identity may be useful: for any n and  $k \le n/4$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^{k} {n \choose i} \le 2{n \choose k}$ .

- 5. Let  $\mu$  be a probability distribution over the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . Suppose that you have access to a function  $\mathcal{M}$  which returns an element  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  with probability  $\mu(i)$  each time you query it. In this exercise we will design a randomized algorithm that will construct a distribution  $\mu'$  over  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  that is close to  $\mu$  using samples from  $\mathcal{M}$ . The algorithm proceeds as follows: Take t samples from  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose  $s_i$  many samples are i, then set  $\mu'(i) = s_i/t$ .
  - (a) (1 point) Show that  $\mu'$  is a probability distribution.
  - (b) (2 points) What should be the value of t such that with probability at least  $1-\delta$  each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies  $|\mu(i) \mu'(i)| \le \epsilon$ .

- 6. (5 points) A set of n balls is drawn by sampling with replacement from an urn containing N balls, M of which are red. Give a sharp concentration result for the number of red balls in the sample drawn.
- 7. (5 points) In this exercise we will prove a Chernoff-like concentration inequality even in the case where the random variables are not independent. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be identically distributed binary random variables such that for all  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $\Pr[\wedge_{i \in S} X_i = 1] \leq \prod_{i \in S} \Pr[X_i = 1]$ . Show that even under this assumption, Chernoff bounds hold. Assume that  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .
- 8. In this exercise, we will prove a different form of the Chernoff bound with an alternate proof that does not use the moment generating functions. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be independent identically distributed random variables such that  $\Pr[X_i] = p$ . For  $X = \sum_i X_i$ , we will show the following identity:

$$\Pr[X \ge (p+t)n] \le \left\lceil \left(\frac{p}{p+t}\right)^{p+t} \left(\frac{1-p}{1-p-t}\right)^{1-p-t} \right\rceil^n \tag{1}$$

- (a) (2 points) First show that for every  $x \ge 1$ ,  $\Pr[X \ge k] \le \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} x^{i-k}$ .
- (b) (3 points) Now use the binomial theorem and calculus to optimize for x, and obtain the bound in Equation 1 by substituting k = (p + t)n.