CS6845 - Pseudorandomness Assignment 1

Due date: Jan 29, 11.59pm

Instructions

- The solutions must be LATEX-ed and the pdf file as well as the tex file must be submitted at https: //www.dropbox.com/request/BIlkYswGticDyce660XO. Please submit both the pdf and tex in a *single* zip file with your roll number as the zip file name.
- For each day's delay in submission, you will lose 20% marks. A delay of 5 days will result in zero marks.
- You are expected to work individually on the assignment. Collaboration on the assignment or checking online sources for answers is *strongly discouraged*. If you need any clarification, you are welcome to discuss with me or Dinesh. Any academic dishonesty will result in zero marks for the assignment.
- Please be concise in stating your answers/proofs.
- 1. (2 points) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n denote unbiased 0-1 random variables. Let X be the random variable defined as the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{2^i}$. Show that X is uniformly distributed over the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{2}{2^n}, \ldots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}\}$.
- 2. (2 points) Suppose $n \geq 4$ and \mathcal{H} is a collection of r sets, each of size n from a universe \mathcal{U} where $r \leq 4^{n-1}/3^n$. Show that it is possible to color the elements of \mathcal{U} with 4 colors such that for each set in \mathcal{H} all four colors are present.
- 3. (3 points) Let F be a finite collection of binary strings of finite length and assume that no member of F is a prefix of another one. Let N_i denote the number of strings of length i in F. Prove that $\sum_i \frac{N_i}{2^i} \leq 1$.

Hint: If you take a random binary string of large enough length (how large?), what is the probability that an element of F occurs as a prefix of it. Why is the assumption that F is prefix-free necessary?

4. (5 points) For $x,y \in \{0,1\}^n$, define the Hamming distance between x,y, denoted by $\Delta(x,y)$, as the number of indices in which x and y differ. I.e. $\Delta(x,y) = |\{i \mid x_i \neq y_i, i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\}|$. Show that there exists a set of $t \leq 2^{n/100}$ points x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t such that

$$Pr\left[\forall i, j \in [t] \text{ with } i \neq j, \ \Delta(x_i, x_j) > n/10\right] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\Omega(n)}}$$

Hint: Following identity may be useful: for any n and $k \le n/4$, $\sum_{i=0}^{k} {n \choose i} \le 2{n \choose k}$.

- 5. Let μ be a probability distribution over the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Suppose that you have access to a function \mathcal{M} which returns an element $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ with probability $\mu(i)$ each time you query it. In this exercise we will design a randomized algorithm that will construct a distribution μ' over $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ that is close to μ using samples from \mathcal{M} . The algorithm proceeds as follows: Take t samples from \mathcal{M} . Suppose s_i many samples are t, then set t is t in the sample of t in the
 - (a) (1 point) Show that μ' is a probability distribution.

- (b) (2 points) What should be the value of t such that with probability at least $1-\delta$ each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ satisfies $|\mu(i) \mu'(i)| \le \epsilon$.
- 6. (5 points) A set of *n* balls is drawn by sampling with replacement from an urn containing *N* balls, *M* of which are red. Give a sharp concentration result for the number of red balls in the sample drawn.
- 7. (5 points) In this exercise we will prove a Chernoff-like concentration inequality even in the case where the random variables are not independent. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be identically distributed binary random variables such that for all $S \subseteq [n]$, $\Pr[\wedge_{i \in S} X_i = 1] \leq \prod_{i \in S} \Pr[X_i = 1]$. Show that even under this assumption, Chernoff bounds hold. Assume that $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- 8. In this exercise, we will prove a different form of the Chernoff bound with an alternate proof that does not use the moment generating functions. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be independent identically distributed random variables such that $\Pr[X_i] = p$. For $X = \sum_i X_i$, we will prove the following inequality:

$$\Pr[X \ge (p+t)n] \le \left\lceil \left(\frac{p}{p+t}\right)^{p+t} \left(\frac{1-p}{1-p-t}\right)^{1-p-t} \right\rceil^n \tag{1}$$

- (a) (2 points) First show that for every $x \ge 1$, $\Pr[X \ge k] \le \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} x^{i-k}$.
- (b) (3 points) Now use the binomial theorem and calculus to optimize for x, and obtain the bound in Equation 1 by substituting k = (p + t)n.