

# Replication File 2024: Machine Learning-Aided Modeling of Fixed Income Instruments

Ganesh Ashwin, Gaurav Ghosh, Krish Desai, Yadvesh Yadav

December 15, 2024

## Contents

0.1	Main Hypothesis . . . . .	2
0.2	Secondary Hypothesis . . . . .	2
0.3	Additional Hypotheses . . . . .	2
<b>1</b>	<b>Tests for the Hypotheses</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Test for Main Hypothesis . . . . .	3
1.2	Tests for Secondary Hypothesis . . . . .	3
1.3	Tests for Additional Hypotheses . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Bond-Based Studies . . . . .	3
2.2	Stock-Based Studies . . . . .	4
2.3	Summary of Related Work . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Constraints, Benchmarks, and Objectives</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Constraints . . . . .	5
3.2	Benchmarks . . . . .	5
3.3	Objectives . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Data</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Data Description and Sources . . . . .	6
4.1.1	Euro 10-Year Treasury Bonds: . . . . .	6
4.1.2	Australian and UK 10-Year Treasury Bonds: . . . . .	6
4.2	Data Loading and Cleaning . . . . .	6
4.3	Data Preparation . . . . .	6
4.4	Data Dictionary . . . . .	7
4.5	Code Implementation . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Indicators</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1	Indicator Description and Implementation . . . . .	7
5.1.1	Macroeconomic Indicators . . . . .	8
5.1.2	Momentum-Based Technical Indicators . . . . .	8
5.2	Indicator Testing and Evaluation . . . . .	8
5.2.1	Hypothesis Testing . . . . .	8
5.2.2	Feature Selection . . . . .	8
5.2.3	Implementation and Tests . . . . .	8
5.2.4	Preliminary Results . . . . .	9
<b>6</b>	<b>Signals</b>	<b>9</b>
6.1	Signal Process Description . . . . .	9
6.1.1	Bullish and Bearish Trends . . . . .	9
6.1.2	Additional Signal Criteria . . . . .	9
6.2	Testing the Signal Process . . . . .	9
6.2.1	Signal Validation . . . . .	9

6.2.2	Forecast Error and Loss Statistics . . . . .	10
6.2.3	Worked Tests . . . . .	10
<b>7</b>	<b>Parameter Search</b>	<b>10</b>
7.1	Free Parameters . . . . .	10
7.2	Parameter Search Process . . . . .	10
7.3	Parameter Optimization Methodology . . . . .	11
7.4	Preliminary Results . . . . .	11

## 0.1 Main Hypothesis

The extended hypothesis of this paper is that machine learning models, including Random Forests (RF) and Support Vector Regression (SVR), combined with advanced technical and macroeconomic indicators, can outperform traditional forecasting and trading methods for sovereign 10-Year bonds across different countries. The approach integrates macroeconomic variables, momentum-based indicators, and Ichimoku cloud signals to generate actionable trading strategies. By leveraging these signals, the hypothesis asserts that it is possible to achieve superior performance in predicting bond yields and identifying profitable trading opportunities.

## 0.2 Secondary Hypothesis

A secondary hypothesis posits that preprocessing techniques, such as winsorizing, exponential smoothing, and feature selection via Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and correlation matrix analysis, significantly improve the performance of machine learning models. These techniques aim to reduce noise, handle multicollinearity, and optimize feature selection for sovereign bond datasets, which are often influenced by diverse macroeconomic conditions.

## 0.3 Additional Hypotheses

Several additional hypotheses are explored in this extended project:

- 1. Impact of Macroeconomic Variables:** Incorporating macroeconomic indicators such as CPI, PPI, Federal Funds Rate, Unemployment Rate, 10-Year Minus 2-Year Treasury Spread, and GDP into machine learning models will enhance their ability to predict bond yields by capturing broader economic trends.
- 2. Performance of Momentum-Based Indicators:** The inclusion of momentum-based indicators, such as SMA, EMA, and RSI with different time windows, Moving Average convergence and divergency (MACD), Bollinger bands and Ichimoku Cloud will provide complementary short-term and long-term trend signals, improving prediction accuracy and trading strategy efficiency.
- 3. Signal-Based Trading Using Ichimoku Cloud:** Signals derived from the Ichimoku cloud indicator will effectively classify bullish and bearish trends, providing clear buy/sell recommendations. These signals will enhance the overall performance of trading strategies when integrated with machine learning outputs.
- 4. Effectiveness of Feature Selection:** Applying VIF and correlation matrix analysis to select features will improve model robustness by reducing multicollinearity and ensuring that only the most relevant indicators are included in the final dataset.
- 5. Impact of Data Volatility Across Countries:** Sovereign bonds from different countries exhibit varying levels of volatility and liquidity. Models are expected to perform better for countries with more stable macroeconomic environments, while preprocessing techniques and feature engineering will mitigate performance degradation for highly volatile datasets.
- 6. Trading Strategy Performance:** Machine learning-driven trading strategies integrating macroeconomic and momentum-based indicators with Ichimoku cloud signals will outperform traditional methods by generating more accurate and timely buy/sell recommendations.

# 1 Tests for the Hypotheses

## 1.1 Test for Main Hypothesis

To validate the main hypothesis, we will assess the predictive accuracy of machine learning models using gradient-boosting algorithms such as LightGBM and XGBoost. These models will predict sovereign bond yields across different countries, using an expanding window train-test split to simulate real-time forecasting. The hypothesis will be supported if the predictions align closely with historical data, and if the trading signals generated using Ichimoku cloud analysis consistently yield positive returns.

## 1.2 Tests for Secondary Hypothesis

To test this hypothesis, we will evaluate the impact of preprocessing techniques, including feature selection using VIF and correlation matrix analysis. Models will be trained with and without these preprocessing steps, and their performance will be compared using metrics specific to the implementation, such as prediction alignment with historical trends and profitability of trading strategies. The hypothesis will be supported if preprocessing significantly enhances model predictions and trading outcomes.

## 1.3 Tests for Additional Hypotheses

1. **Indicator Hypothesis:** The significance of macroeconomic indicators and momentum-based indicators such as SMA, EMA, RSI Moving Average convergence and divergence (MACD), Bollinger bands, and Ichimoku Cloud will be subjected to the t-test to determine the quality of the indicator in the model. A measurable improvement in signal quality and profitability of trading strategies will validate their effectiveness.
2. **Signal-Based Trading Using Ichimoku Cloud:** The effectiveness of Ichimoku cloud signals will be tested by evaluating the precision and recall of buy/sell signals against historical market movements. Positive cumulative returns from strategies based on these signals will confirm their utility.
3. **Effectiveness of Feature Selection:** The robustness of models using features selected via VIF and correlation matrix analysis will be compared to those using all available features. A reduction in noise and improved prediction quality will support this hypothesis.
4. **Model Optimization:** The impact of Freders algorithm with multi-level parameter tuning will be assessed by tracking improvements in prediction consistency and trading profitability. Additionally, the use of expanding window train-test splits will be evaluated for its effectiveness in adapting models to changing market dynamics.
5. **Impact of Data Volatility Across Countries:** Model performance will be tested on datasets from countries with varying levels of volatility. Success will be measured by the stability of predictions and the adaptability of trading strategies to different macroeconomic environments.
6. **Trading Strategy Performance:** The overall profitability of machine learning-driven trading strategies will be validated by simulating trades using predictions and signals derived from the models. Positive cumulative returns and reduced drawdowns compared to benchmarks will confirm the hypothesis.

# 2 Literature Review

## 2.1 Bond-Based Studies

(Monte Carlo Simulation with Machine Learning for Pricing Bonds)

Dubrov (2015) explores the use of Monte Carlo simulations for pricing bonds with exotic features, such as early-redemption clauses for issuers or conversion clauses for bondholders. The author applies machine learning techniques to improve pricing accuracy where traditional methods struggle, particularly for complex bond structures. This study demonstrates that machine learning, when combined with Monte Carlo simulations, can model non-linear relationships and complex features in bonds, which would be difficult to price analytically. [?]

(Price Prediction Using Machine Learning)

Ganguli and Dunnmon (2017) conducted an extensive study on U.S. corporate bond price prediction. They used a dataset containing 762,678 U.S. corporate bonds with 61 attributes, including coupon rate, maturity, trade details, and regression-based price estimates. The authors tested several models, including linear regression, ARIMA, random forests (RF), and artificial neural networks (ANNs). ANNs performed best but were limited by the short time span of data available. This study is significant because it examines a wide range of machine learning models and applies them to a comprehensive dataset, offering insights into how models like random forests can be adapted to bond price prediction. [?]

(Support Vector Machine for Corporate Bond Price Prediction)

Jotaki et al. (2017) predicted the prices of Japanese corporate bonds using support vector machines (SVM). Unlike previous studies that focused on historical bond price data, this study used macroeconomic indicators, financial forecasts, and bond credit ratings as inputs. The authors achieved a prediction accuracy of 65 percent for abnormal returns and 62 percent for normal returns using Gaussian kernel SVMs. This study is relevant as it applies SVMs in a bond pricing context, showing their robustness in handling non-linear relationships. [?]

(Credit Rating Prediction for Bonds)

Hanna (2016) applied Random Forest models to predict the likelihood of default for junk bonds. This study used inputs such as bond yield, credit rating, time to maturity, and volatility to estimate default risk. The Random Forest model outperformed traditional methods for predicting bond defaults, providing more consistent and accurate results. This study is crucial to understanding the predictive power of Random Forests in a fixed income context, particularly for riskier bond classes like junk bonds. [?]

(Forecasting Government Bond Yields Using AI)

Castellani and dos Santos (2006) applied various artificial intelligence (AI) models to predict the yields of 10-year government bonds using macroeconomic indicators like the Consumer Price Index (CPI). While the models, including neural networks and SVM, showed potential, their performance was limited due to the sparse nature of the macroeconomic data. This study highlights the challenges of using infrequent macroeconomic indicators for predicting bond yields and emphasizes the need for richer datasets in such predictions. [?]

## 2.2 Stock-Based Studies

(Support Vector Regression in Stock Prediction)

Yang (2003) demonstrated that using time-varying margins in support vector regression (SVR) outperforms models with fixed margins for stock price prediction. The study showed that SVR, when fine-tuned, provides better performance in predicting stock price trends compared to traditional autoregressive models like ARIMA. The relevance of this study lies in its adaptation of SVR for financial time series data, an approach used by Martin et al. in their bond price predictions. [?]

(Random Forests for Stock Price Prediction)

Khaidem et al. (2016) applied Random Forest models to predict stock price directions based on common stock indicators. The study demonstrated that Random Forests outperform other classifiers like SVM in predicting price movements when there are strong non-linear relationships between the features and stock prices. This is relevant because Martin et al. also used Random Forests to predict bond prices, leveraging their ability to handle noisy and complex datasets. [?]

(Recurrent Neural Networks for Stock Forecasting)

Yoon and van der Schaar (2017) applied recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to forecast stock prices by training on both past prices and fundamental data like earnings reports. The authors used a hybrid RNN architecture, which was effective in predicting long-term trends in stock prices. This work is relevant because it introduces advanced deep learning techniques, which the authors of the bond prediction paper suggest for future research on bonds with more available data. [?]

(Gaussian Processes for Stock Market Prediction)

Swastanto (2016) used Gaussian Processes (GP) to predict stock prices, comparing its performance to traditional ARIMA models. The GP model performed well for long-term predictions, but it was highly sensitive to outliers. This insight is useful for Martin et al.'s paper, as they also experimented with GP models, finding them less reliable for bond price predictions due to their sensitivity to noisy data. [?]

(Quantile Regression for Stock Market Prediction)

Meligkotsidou et al. (2009) applied quantile regression to predict stock market returns. Their study showed that quantile regression models are better suited for predicting extreme values and handling

outliers in financial data compared to standard linear regression. This paper is relevant to bond price prediction, where extreme values and noisy data are common, particularly in corporate bond datasets. [?]

## 2.3 Summary of Related Work

Overall, the existing literature demonstrates that machine learning models, including Random Forests and Support Vector Regression, have been applied successfully in both stock and bond price predictions. The studies emphasize the importance of preprocessing and feature selection in improving model accuracy, particularly in noisy financial datasets. Martin et al. build on this body of work by applying machine learning models to U.S. Treasury interest rate predictions and corporate bond price forecasting, demonstrating that these models can offer a more accurate and robust alternative to traditional methods like matrix pricing.

# 3 Constraints, Benchmarks, and Objectives

## 3.1 Constraints

The primary constraints of this project include data availability, computational efficiency, and model complexity:

- **Data Availability:** The accuracy of predictions relies on high-quality, consistent datasets for macroeconomic variables, sovereign bond yields, and technical indicators. Missing or incomplete data could affect model performance.
- **Computational Efficiency:** Training advanced machine learning models such as LightGBM and XGBoost, particularly with parameter optimization (e.g., Feders algorithm), requires significant computational resources. Balancing efficiency with accuracy is critical.
- **Model Complexity:** Overfitting is a potential risk when using complex models with extensive features. Regularization techniques and feature selection will be employed to mitigate this.

## 3.2 Benchmarks

To ensure that the models meet performance expectations, the following benchmarks will be established:

- **Predictive Accuracy:** Models should achieve a high correlation with actual bond yield movements and generate actionable signals with consistent profitability.
- **Signal Precision:** Trading signals generated through Ichimoku cloud and other indicators should demonstrate a precision of at least 80 percent when compared to historical trends.
- **Efficiency:** Training time for the models, including optimization, should not exceed predefined thresholds to ensure scalability.

## 3.3 Objectives

The objectives of this project are designed to align with the hypotheses and benchmarks:

- Develop and implement machine learning models that incorporate macroeconomic and technical indicators for predicting sovereign bond yields.
- Integrate feature selection techniques such as VIF and correlation matrix analysis to enhance model robustness.
- Optimize models using Feders algorithm and expanding window train-test splits to improve adaptability and predictive performance.
- Generate trading strategies based on Ichimoku cloud signals and validate their profitability through backtesting.

The combination of constraints, benchmarks, and objectives will guide the design and implementation choices throughout the project, ensuring that models are both accurate and practical for real-world applications.

## 4 Data

### 4.1 Data Description and Sources

The dataset used in this project includes macroeconomic indicators, sovereign bond yields, and technical indicators. The data sources are:

- **Macroeconomic Data:** Collected from publicly available sources such as the Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) database. Key datasets include CPI (CPILFESL.csv), PPI (PPIACO.csv), Federal Funds Rate (FEDFUNDS.csv), Unemployment Rate (UNRATE.csv), GDP (GDPC1.csv), and 10-Year Minus 2-Year Treasury Spread (T10Y2Y.csv).
- **Sovereign Bond Yields:** The data for the daily nominal interest rates of US Treasury bonds were obtained from CRSP for the period of October 27, 1993 to June 5, 2018. The data for the corporate bonds were obtained from the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), a trade group, set up a public database (TRACE) where licensed security dealers would be required to report trade information. It officially launched in 2006, but data is available from 2002.

The study also extends its analysis across multiple geographies, focusing on treasury bonds from the Euro area, Australia, and the United Kingdom. The data sources and specific periods for each bond type are outlined below.

#### 4.1.1 Euro 10-Year Treasury Bonds:

Data for the Euro 10-year treasury bonds was sourced from the European Central Bank (ECB) via the Europa website, covering the period from January 31, 1970, to September 30, 2024. The ECB provides a comprehensive and up-to-date dataset on yields and other economic indicators relevant to Euro area securities, allowing for a detailed analysis of historical trends and market conditions. [?]

#### 4.1.2 Australian and UK 10-Year Treasury Bonds:

Yield data for the Australian 10-year treasury bonds, spanning January 1, 1970, to August 1, 2024, and for the UK 10-year treasury bonds, spanning January 1, 1970, to September 1, 2024, was obtained from the Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED) database. FRED collates financial data from a range of international sources, making it a robust resource for accessing historical yield data, economic indicators, and cross-market analytics for treasury instruments across multiple regions.

**Technical Indicators:** Derived from price data of sovereign bonds using Python libraries such as TA-Lib.

### 4.2 Data Loading and Cleaning

The raw data is loaded using Python's **pandas** library, with each macroeconomic dataset and bond yield file imported as a DataFrame. The following cleaning steps are applied:

1. **Missing Value Imputation:** Missing values in the datasets are addressed using techniques such as forward-fill for time-series continuity and KNN-based imputation for more complex patterns.
2. **Date Alignment:** All datasets are aligned by date to ensure consistency in time-series analysis. The bond yields and macroeconomic variables are merged using a common timestamp index.
3. **Outlier Treatment:** Extreme outliers are winsorized to mitigate their impact on model training and prevent skewed results.

### 4.3 Data Preparation

The cleaned dataset is processed to prepare features for machine learning models and indicator calculations:

- **Feature Engineering:** Technical indicators, such as SMA, EMA, RSI, MACD, Bollinger Bands, and Ichimoku Cloud, are calculated from bond yield data using Python libraries.

- **Standardization:** Features are standardized using z-score normalization to ensure uniformity across varying scales.
- **Feature Selection:** Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and correlation matrix analysis are used to remove multicollinear and redundant features, improving model performance and interpretability.

## 4.4 Data Dictionary

Feature Name	Description	
Consumer Price Index	FRED (CPILFESL.csv) heightPPI	
FRED (PPIACO.csv) heightFederal Funds Rate	Interest Rate for Bank Lending	FRED
Percentage of Unemployed Workforce	FRED (UNRATE.csv) heightGDP	
FRED (GDPC1.csv) height10Y-2Y Spread	Yield Difference Between 10-Year and 2-Year Treasuries	
Moving Averages of Bond Yields	Derived from Bond Yield Data heightRSI	
Derived from Bond Yield Data heightMACD	Moving Average Convergence Divergence	Derived
Volatility Bands Around a Moving Average	Derived from Bond Yield Data heightIchimoku Cloud	Multi-C
Derived from Bond Yield Data height		

Table 1: Data Dictionary

## 4.5 Code Implementation

The data loading, cleaning, and preparation processes are implemented in Python. Key code snippets include:

- **Loading Data:**

```
import pandas as pd
cpi_data = pd.read_csv('CPILFESL.csv', parse_dates=['DATE'])
bond_yields = ...

# Merge data
merged_data = pd.merge(cpi_data, bond_yields, on='DATE', how='left')
```

- **Cleaning Data:**

```
# Handle missing values
merged_data.fillna(method='ffill', inplace=True)

# Remove outliers
merged_data['bond_yield'] = \
    merged_data['bond_yield'].clip(lower=merged_data['bond_yield'].quantile(0.01),
                                   upper=merged_data['bond_yield'].quantile(0.99))
```

- **Feature Engineering:**

```
from ta.trend import SMAIndicator, EMAIndicator
from ta.momentum import RSIIndicator

# Calculate SMA and RSI
merged_data['sma'] = SMAIndicator(merged_data['bond_yield']).sma_indicator()
merged_data['rsi'] = RSIIndicator(merged_data['bond_yield']).rsi()
```

## 5 Indicators

### 5.1 Indicator Description and Implementation

The indicators used in this project fall into two categories: macroeconomic indicators and momentum-based technical indicators. These indicators serve as inputs to the machine learning models and contribute to the generation of trading signals.

### 5.1.1 Macroeconomic Indicators

- **Consumer Price Index (CPI):** Measures changes in the price level of a market basket of consumer goods and services, providing insights into inflation trends. Higher CPI values often signal inflationary pressures.
- **Producer Price Index (PPI):** Tracks the average changes in selling prices received by domestic producers, serving as an early indicator of inflation.
- **Federal Funds Rate:** Reflects the interest rate at which banks lend to each other overnight, influencing broader financial conditions and monetary policy.
- **Unemployment Rate:** Indicates the percentage of the labor force that is unemployed, providing insights into economic health and labor market conditions.
- **10-Year Minus 2-Year Treasury Spread:** Represents the difference in yields between 10-year and 2-year Treasury bonds, often used as a leading indicator of economic cycles.
- **Gross Domestic Product (GDP):** Measures the total economic output of a country, offering a comprehensive view of economic performance.

### 5.1.2 Momentum-Based Technical Indicators

- **Simple Moving Average (SMA):** Calculates the average price over a specified period, smoothing out fluctuations to identify trends.
- **Exponential Moving Average (EMA):** Similar to SMA but gives more weight to recent prices, making it more responsive to price changes.
- **Relative Strength Index (RSI):** Measures the speed and change of price movements, identifying overbought or oversold conditions.
- **Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD):** Shows the relationship between two moving averages, used to identify bullish or bearish trends.
- **Bollinger Bands:** Consist of a moving average with upper and lower bands based on standard deviation, capturing volatility.
- **Ichimoku Cloud:** Combines multiple averages to define support, resistance, trend direction, and momentum, providing comprehensive market insights.

## 5.2 Indicator Testing and Evaluation

### 5.2.1 Hypothesis Testing

The effectiveness of these indicators will be evaluated using statistical tests, such as the t-test, to determine their significance in the models. A measurable improvement in signal quality and trading strategy profitability will validate their utility.

### 5.2.2 Feature Selection

To ensure the robustness of the models, feature selection is performed using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and correlation matrix analysis. These methods help in identifying and retaining the most relevant indicators while eliminating multicollinear or redundant features.

### 5.2.3 Implementation and Tests

- **Macroeconomic Indicators:** Integrated into the dataset through data pre-processing and feature engineering steps. Tests include assessing their correlation with target variables and their predictive power in models.
- **Momentum-Based Indicators:** Calculated using the TA library and implemented as additional features in the dataset. Each indicator's contribution to prediction accuracy is tested by observing changes in model performance when the indicator is included or excluded.



- **Worked Tests:** Each indicator is separately tested for its impact on model predictions and trading signals. For example, RSI and MACD are evaluated for their ability to identify overbought/oversold conditions and trend reversals, respectively. Bollinger Bands are analyzed for their effectiveness in capturing market volatility.

#### 5.2.4 Preliminary Results

Preliminary results indicate that:

- Macroeconomic indicators provide significant explanatory power for bond yield predictions.
- Momentum-based indicators improve the quality of trading signals, with Ichimoku Cloud showing strong potential for identifying trends and reversals.
- Feature selection methods, such as VIF and correlation matrix analysis, enhance model robustness by reducing noise and ensuring that only the most impactful features are included.

These findings support the hypothesis that combining macroeconomic and technical indicators can lead to more accurate predictions and effective trading strategies.

## 6 Signals

### 6.1 Signal Process Description

The signal generation process in this project is based on the Ichimoku Cloud indicator, which is utilized to identify trends in the bond market and determine buy or sell signals. The Ichimoku Cloud consists of multiple components, including the Tenkan-sen (Conversion Line), Kijun-sen (Base Line), Senkou Span A (Leading Span A), Senkou Span B (Leading Span B), and the Kumo (Cloud). Together, these components provide a comprehensive view of market trends, momentum, and potential support/resistance levels.

#### 6.1.1 Bullish and Bearish Trends

- A bullish signal is generated when the Tenkan-sen crosses above the Kijun-sen, and the price moves above the Kumo (Cloud), indicating strong upward momentum.
- A bearish signal is generated when the Tenkan-sen crosses below the Kijun-sen, and the price moves below the Kumo (Cloud), indicating strong downward momentum.

#### 6.1.2 Additional Signal Criteria

Additional momentum-based indicators, such as RSI, MACD, and Bollinger Bands, are used to confirm the signals generated by the Ichimoku Cloud. For example:

- RSI is used to identify overbought or oversold conditions, adding confidence to buy or sell signals.
- MACD provides further validation of trend reversals or continuations.
- Bollinger Bands capture market volatility and are used to identify potential breakout or mean-reversion opportunities.

### 6.2 Testing the Signal Process

#### 6.2.1 Signal Validation

The signals generated by the Ichimoku Cloud and supplementary indicators are tested separately from the overall strategy to ensure their reliability. Key metrics for validation include:

- **Precision and Recall:** Evaluate the accuracy of the signals in correctly identifying profitable buy and sell opportunities.
- **Hit Rate:** Measure the percentage of signals that result in successful trades.
- **Signal-to-Noise Ratio:** Assess the clarity and reliability of signals by comparing successful signals to false positives.

### 6.2.2 Forecast Error and Loss Statistics

The forecast error associated with the signal process is analyzed to understand its impact on trading decisions. Metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) are calculated for the predicted signal trends compared to actual market movements.

### 6.2.3 Worked Tests

Individual components of the signal process are subjected to worked tests to evaluate their standalone effectiveness:

- **extbfIchimoku Cloud:** Tested for its ability to identify trends and reversals in historical data.
- **extbfRSI and MACD:** Evaluated for their complementary roles in confirming Ichimoku-based signals.
- **extbfBollinger Bands:** Assessed for their utility in capturing breakout and mean-reversion opportunities.

Preliminary tests indicate that combining these indicators enhances the reliability of the signal process, contributing to improved trading outcomes and strategy profitability.

## 7 Parameter Search

### 7.1 Free Parameters

The free parameters in this project include hyperparameters for machine learning models, such as LightGBM and XGBoost, as well as settings for technical indicators and signal generation. Key parameters include:

- **Learning Rate:** Controls the step size during model optimization.
- **Number of Trees:** Determines the number of boosting iterations.
- **Maximum Depth:** Limits the depth of individual trees to prevent overfitting.
- **Feature Subsampling:** Specifies the fraction of features used for tree construction.
- **Indicator Thresholds:** Parameters for technical indicators, such as overbought/oversold levels for RSI or standard deviation multipliers for Bollinger Bands.
- **Ichimoku Parameters:** Settings for the Tenkan-sen, Kijun-sen, and Senkou Span periods.

### 7.2 Parameter Search Process

The parameter search process is designed to identify the optimal combination of hyperparameters and indicator settings for maximizing model performance. The methodology involves:

- **Grid Search:** A systematic search over a specified range of parameter values to identify the best combination.
- **Random Search:** A randomized search over the parameter space to explore a wider range of potential solutions.
- **Bayesian Optimization:** Utilizes probabilistic models to efficiently navigate the parameter space and converge on optimal values.
- **Cross-Validation:** Employing expanding window train-test splits to evaluate parameter combinations in a time-series context.

### 7.3 Parameter Optimization Methodology

The optimization process involves the following steps:

1. **Define Objective Function:** The objective is to minimize forecast error metrics, such as RMSE, while ensuring signal accuracy and profitability.
2. **Feature Selection Integration:** Incorporate VIF and correlation matrix analysis to streamline the feature set before parameter tuning.
3. **Iterative Refinement:** Begin with broad parameter ranges and iteratively narrow down based on performance metrics.
4. **Model Evaluation:** Use out-of-sample validation to assess the robustness of parameter choices across different market conditions.
5. **Signal Validation:** Test the impact of parameter settings on signal quality, including precision, recall, and profitability metrics.

### 7.4 Preliminary Results

Preliminary optimization results indicate:

- Optimal learning rates and tree depths improve model generalization while preventing overfitting.
- Fine-tuned Ichimoku parameters enhance trend detection and signal reliability.
- Cross-validation ensures parameter robustness across volatile and stable market conditions.
- Bayesian optimization outperforms grid and random search by converging on optimal settings more efficiently.

The parameter search and optimization process is integral to ensuring that the models and indicators work cohesively to deliver accurate predictions and actionable trading strategies.