CSE 321 Homework 1

Answers

- 1-) Answer in detail the questions that are shown below by using asymptotic notations, yes / no answers and plagiarisation from the web will not be accepted.
 - a) Is it meaningless to say: "The running time of algorithm A is at least $O(n^2)$ "?

The running time of algorithm A is at least $O(n^2)$ is meaningless. Formally, $O(n^2)$ states at most, covering upper bound. If an algorithm's running time is $O(n^2)$, it means the algorithm is at most quadratic. So stating an upper bound as a lower bound by "at least" is meaningless.

- b) Are the following true?
 - i) $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$?

 $2^{n+1} = 2^n$. $2 => 2.2^n \le c$. 2^n where c=2, for all $n \ge 0$. Therefore, this statement is true.

ii) $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$?

 $2^{2n} = 2^n$. $2^n = > 2^n$. $2^n \le c$. 2^n , there is no such a constant for the c that provides the equation, so this statement is not true.

c) Let f (n) and g(n) be asymptotically nonnegative functions. Is that equation: $max(f(n), g(n)) = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$ true?

By the basic definition of $\Theta()$ notation:

If max(f(n), g(n)) is $\Theta(f(n) + g(n))$ then max(f(n), g(n)) always should be between C_1 . (f(n) + g(n)) and C_2 . (f(n) + g(n)). Then;

Let say f(n) > g(n); then f(n) + g(n) < 2. f(n) => (f(n) + g(n)) / 2 < f(n) and f(n) was our max (f(n), g(n)).

So $\max(f(n), g(n)) > C_1$. (f(n) + g(n)) is always true when $C_1 \le 1/2$ (1)

Let say f(n) > g(n); then f(n) < f(n) + g(n), since g(n) is non negative function => f(n) < f(n) + g(n) and f(n) was our max (f(n), g(n)). So $max(f(n), g(n)) < C_2$. (f(n) + g(n)) is always true when $C_2 \ge 1$ (2)

The statement is true since condition (1) and (2) have been provided.

2-) In each of the following situations, indicate whether $f \in O(g)$, or $f \in \Omega(g)$, or both (in which case $f \in O(g)$).

| | <u>f(n)</u> | <u>g(n)</u> |
|----|----------------------|-----------------------|
| a) | n ^{1.01} | nlog²n |
| b) | n! | 2 ⁿ |
| c) | √n | (log n) ³ |
| d) | n2 ⁿ | 3 ⁿ |
| e) | $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^k$ | n^{k+1} |
| f) | 2 ⁿ | 2^{n+1} |
| g) | n ^{1/2} | 5 log ₂ n |
| h) | log2n | log3n |

Answers at the end of the paper.

3-) List the following functions according to their order of growth and prove your assertions.

```
Logn, \sqrt{n}, n + 10, 10^n, 100^n, n^2logn, 32^{logn}, n^6
```

Explanations attached to the end of the file.

$$Logn < \sqrt{n} < n + 10 < n^2 logn < 32^{logn} < n^6 < 10^n < 100^n$$

- 4-) Analyze the complexity in time (big -Oh notation) of the following operations at a given binary search tree (BST) that has <u>height</u> n:
 - a) FindMin.
 - b) Searching a node.
 - c) Delete a leaf node.
 - d) Merging with another BST that has height n.

a)

```
find_min(node):
    current = node
    while(current.left is not None):
        current = current.left
    return current.data
```

If the height is n, so there is 2^n -1 nodes. On the worst case the tree hasn't any right branch so the worst case of the algorithm is $O(2^n)$. If the tree is balanced then there could be n left nodes. So that the worst case become O(n) on balanced trees.

```
b)
search(root, key):
     if root is None or root.val == key:
           return root
     if root.val < key:</pre>
           return search(root.right,key)
     return search(root.left,key)
If the height is n, so there is 2^n - 1 nodes. On the worst case the
item could be the last item so the worst case of the algorithm is
O(2<sup>n</sup>). If the tree is balanced then the algorithm finds the node at
most n step since n is the height. So that the worst case become
O(n) on balanced trees.
c)
deleteNode(root, key):
    if root is None:
        return root
    if key < root.key:</pre>
        root.left = deleteNode(root.left, key)
    elif(key > root.key):
        root.right = deleteNode(root.right, key)
    else:
        if root.left is None :
            temp = root.right
            root = None
            return temp
        elif root.right is None :
            temp = root.left
            root = None
            return temp
        temp = minValueNode(root.right)
        root.key = temp.key
        root.right = deleteNode(root.right , temp.key)
    return root
```

If the height is n, so there is 2^n -1 nodes. On the worst case the node could be the last node and the tree must reorganize all nodes again so the worst case of the algorithm is $O(2^n)$. If the tree is balanced then the algorithm deletes the node at most n step since n is the height. So that the worst case of deletion become O(n) on balanced BST.

merge trees(tree1, tree2): convert tree1 and tree2 to ordered lists tree3 = new tree() i = 0;i = 0;while (i < m && j < n)**if** (tree1[i] < tree2[j]): tree3.Add(tree1[i]) i++ else: tree3.Add(list2[j]) while (i < m) tree3.Add(tree1[i]) i++ while (j < n): tree3.Add(tree2[i])

return list3

If the height of treel is n, so there is 2^n -1 nodes and the number of nodes in tree2 is 2^m -1 since the height of the tree2 is m. Converting the trees to ordered lists takes 0(n) and 0(m) times by using inorder traversal. Lastly merging ordered lists takes 0(m+n) times by using two cursor that represent current inserted items from the lists. So, the worst case of the algorithm becomes 0(n) + 0(m) + 0(m+n) = 0(m+n).

5-) Find the complexity in time (big -Oh notation) of the following program.

```
void function(int n)
{
   int count = 0;
   for (int i = 2; i <= n; i++)
      if (i % 2 == 0)
      {
            count++;
      }
      else
      {
            i = (i - 1) * i;
      }
}</pre>
```

The for loop can be modified as the following and the both loop is exactly the same with together:

```
for (int i = 2; i <= n; i^2+i)

count++;
```

Time Complexity of a loop is considered as O(LogLogn) if the loop variables is reduced / increased exponentially by a constant amount. In this statement; the cursor (i) increases exponential as it increases $i^2 + i$ on each step. So time complexity of the code is O(LogLogn). Examine the following explanation if you want to see how it becomes true. Remember that; we can ignore +i part because i^2 dominates the +i part.

In the loop, i takes values 2, 2^k , $(2^k)^k = {}_2k^2$, ..., ${}_2k^{logk(log(n))}$. The last term must be less than or equal to n, and we have ${}_2k^{logk(log(n))} = 2^{log(n)} = n$, which completely agrees with the value of our last term. So there are in total logk(log(n)) many iterations, and each iteration takes a constant amount of time to run, therefore the total time complexity is O(log(log(n))).

1/08/2 =) lim togy = lim togy => 1/08/2 1/09/2 0 0-2) a) plat =) lim 0.01,001 = lim 10-4-0.33 = 1410-1,0.01) this limit is expel to to 1et say S(1) n-4 term (So n 1.01 = Se (n log2n) b-) nl nb= n. (n-1) 4.3.2.1 =) Each term in &i) is bigger then terms of S(2) 2"=2.2.2...-2.2.2.2 So multiplication of terms (n-4) times 16 in S(1) bigger then muttip. let say S(2) 01 terms 5(1). (1) =)24 is bigger flow 16 (2) =) Stoberent 1 x 2 is equal to results et both 1) and 21 and 16 dominates 27 in borth statement. So (nb=_2(2^) where ~ > 4) (log n) C) V =) let say n=2k where k is even; = V2k vs (log2k)3 = 2 k/2 vs k 3 and long 2 k/2 = 5 1, So, Vn = IL ((109~))

1m 121 = 1/2 1. (3) d-) 1.21 3^ =) + Le question con be19 Solved by using L' Hoppital rule. On the olm hard we know that Exponential functions grows toster than polynamial fund. So that (3) derivates n goes O foster then do. Therefore the asour is [12 = 0 (3")) ets ik =) 5 ik = 1k+2k+3k+ -- +knotink 1 = 1. 1 = 1 k + 1 k + 1 k - - - + 1 k Since 150; each temin S(2) is bigger then all the terms of SCI) and number ef the sets are equal. There for, Sum (SI) must be less than sum (52). So the onsum 13 5 ik = 0 (nkal) So 21 = 0 (2mi) 9-) 1/2 \$ log2 = ~ log5 = ~2.32 $=) \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n^{2\cdot 32}} = 0 \left[so^{-1/2} = O(5^{\log 2}) \right]$ C1. log2n 6 log3n 6 Cn. log2n 10337 =) Bonus 2:) h-) log 2 1 [50 log2n = 0 (log3n)]

```
Het say n=22k =) (1) logn vs Vn
                        =2k 2k => lim 2k = 0 & that;
                  =)(2) \( \int \) \( \chi \)
                      = 2k 1k+10=) We already compare them on
                                       9, -port bitherefore;
                                  [N+10> VA]
J 32 1097
          1 =) 32 los = 1 los 32 5
               1m 15 = 0 50 +6+ / 10 > 32 /097
         1001 =) Same with 91-ports = 101 vs 1021, so that
> 10^
                                        11001> 101
= 101 (01 = 10) = 100 = 100 = 100 = 01 (= 10) So; [101 > 10)
> let say n=2 = ) 12/09 ~ ~10
                2k. log2k 2k+10 = k.2k vs 2k+10; we did the
                               - proof several times soi
                                 K.22k > 2k +10 = 12/091 > 100
             (2),26gn 102 =) 22k us 102k both base and power of
                                         10th term are bigger than
                                        2k 50; 10 > 12/091
             (3),2logn 32 logn =) 22k k, 13 22k 3k
                              1 22 2 = 1 = 0, 505 \ 12 logn
3 46 10° 10°
              11m 100 = 605 = = 0 SO =) 100 > 16
```