

## Case Description



Caselaw Search

Search text "Exact Match"

Search

Statutes Search

Bookmark this Case

Courtwise Search

**1991 M L D 145**

Citation Search

**[Karachi]**

Advance Search

**Before Saleem Akhtar, J**

Search in Staute -- Succession Act 1925-63

**BONAVENTURE PAUL, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese, Hyderabad--Appellant**

Enter Keyword

Enter Court

All



Search

versus

**ALI MUHAMMAD and another--Respondents****First Civil Appeal No. 40 of 1981, decided on 27th August, 1990.****(a) Succession Act (XXXIX of 1925)--  
Succession Act 1925-63**

---S. 63---Attestation of will---Will was required to be executed by two or more witnesses.--  
Your Search returned total 2 records from 0 - 2  
[Muhammadan Law].

**Citation Name:** 1991 MLD 145 KARACHI-HIGH-COURT-SINDH

Bookmark this Case

**(b) Evidence Act (I of 1872)--**

BONAVENTURE PAUL, BISHOP OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE VS ALI MUHAMMAD

Succession Act 1925-63, ---Proof---To prove a document required to be attested at least one attesting  
witness be examined provided he was alive, capable of giving evidence and was within the process of  
Succession Act 1925 ---S. 63---Attestation of will---Will was required to be executed by two or more witnesses.--  
[Muhammadan Law].

Head Notes

Case Description

**Citation Name:** 1985 CLC 1385 KARACHI-HIGH-COURT-SINDH

Bookmark this Case

ISHWARDAS VS DEPUTY SETTLEMENT COMMISSIONER, NLIRPURKHAS

Evidence Act 1872--69 , Succession Act 1925--63 ,

Ss. 68 & 69--Succession Act (XXXIX of 1925), S. 63--Will--Proof of execution--Will purported to be executed in name  
of petitioner--Execution neither proved by attesting witness nor by handwriting and signature of person executing  
such document--Will, held, not proved in accordance with law and could not be used as evidence to show that it was  
executed in name of petitioner. [Will--Evidence].

Head Notes

Case Description

[Read More](#)

## Case Description

### Notes on Cases

	March	<a href="#">Bookmark this Case</a> 
	CLC Notes	
<b>1991 M L D 145</b>	YLR Notes	
	PCrLJ Notes	

[Karachi]

Before Saleem Akhtar, J

Journals

March	
<b>BONAVENTURE PAUL, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese, Hyderabad--Appellant</b>	
PLD	SCMR
<b>versus</b>	PTD
CLD	PLC
<b>ALI MUHAMMAD and another--Respondents</b>	
MLD	YLR
CLC	GBLR
First Civil Appeal No. 40 of 1981, decided on 27th August, 1990.	
PCrLJ	

**(a) Succession Act (XXXIX of 1925)--****Miscellaneous**

----S. 63---Attestation of will---Will was required to be executed by two or more witnesses.--

[Muhammadan Law].

&gt; Circulars

**(b) Evidence Act (I of 1872)--**

&gt; General Orders

----S. 68---Document---Proof---To prove a document required to be attested at least one attesting witness be examined provided he was alive, capable of giving evidence and was within the process of

**New Statutes**

&gt; Federal

&gt; Punjab

&gt; KPK

&gt; Balochistan

&gt; Sindh

Copyrights © 2025 by **Oratier Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd. (//oratier.tech)**  
 This site is developed & maintained by **Oratier Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd. (//oratier.tech)**

[Help \(/Login/HelpPage\)](#) | [FAQ's](#) | [Sitemap](#)

**Bookmark this Case** 

**1991 M L D 145**

**[Karachi]**

**Before Saleem Akhtar, J**

**BONAVENTURE PAUL, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese, Hyderabad--Appellant**

**versus**

**ALI MUHAMMAD and another--Respondents**

First Civil Appeal No. 40 of 1981, decided on 27th August, 1990.

**(a) Succession Act (XXXIX of 1925)--**

----S. 63---Attestation of will---Will was required to be executed by two or more witnesses.--  
 [Muhammadan Law].

**(b) Evidence Act (I of 1872)--**

----S. 68---Document---Proof---To prove a document required to be attested at least one attesting witness be examined provided he was alive, capable of giving evidence and was within the process of