## X Case Description Caselaw Search Search text "Exact Match" Search Statutes Search Bookmark this Case Courtwise Search 2025 SCMR 211 Station Sea Court of Pakistanl Present: Sardar Tariq Masood and Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel, JJ Advance Search and others --- Petitioners Versus ANWAR SHAH and others ---Respondents Case Law Search C.P.L.A No. 223-P of 2015, decided on 21st November, 2024. A Enter the judgment dated 08.12.20 Enterassed by Peshawar High-Stourt Banku Begretah in C.R. No. 38-B of 2008). Specific Relief Act (I of 1877)-------Ss.8, 42 & 54---Suit for possession, declaration and injunction---Adverse possession---Electricity bills--- Proof of possession--- Suit filed by predecessor-in-interest of appellants was decreed Mayhis favour but Lower ppellate Court allowed appeal and suit was Administration of the court allowed appeal and the court allowed appeal and suit was Administration of the court allowed appeal and the court allowed appeal a Judgm Reptember decree passed by the boundary and by the boundary maintained by the boundary maintaine SValidity Collassessaga chilismit Oromerty Lynas evitlan colocess preimanter et in Cranpon dontet defindant at who had electricity consumption meter in their name but the same did not bear any fruit for them compliand national matter feet on merits of the case---Such entries could never be temperate as equivalent to ownership---Predecessor-in-interest of appellants was owner of suit house and after his death, the BASHIR AHMED ANJUM VS PROVINCE OF PUNJAB through Chief SUPREMEappellants stepped into his shoes---Status of predecessor-in-interest of respondents was that of a SCMR Minister Punjab. Lahore tenant and thereafter, respondents had stepped into his shoes---Sale mutations were of no help to Honorable Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar predecessor-in-interest of respondents and their possession of suit house was not less than that of Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali a trespasser---Supreme Court set aside judgments and decrees passed by Lower Appellate Court Mazhar And High Court as Trial Court had rightly are taked to SCMR tenant and and High Court as Trial Court had rightly granted decree in favour of appellants---Appeal was AMJAD ALI VS ANWAR SHAH 2025 SUPREME-Read **SCMR** Honorable Justice Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan COURT 211 Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel 2025 SHARIF AHMAD VS RASHID AHMAD SUPREME-Read **SCMR** Honorable Justice Yahya Afridi, C.J. and Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan COURT 215 Yahya Afridi, C.J. and Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan 2025 AYAZ VS MUSTAFA SAEED SUPREME-Read **SCMR** Honorable Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar COURT 216 Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar

Citation	Title	Court	Action
2025 SCMR 231	TAJ WALI KHAN VS HUKAM KHAN  Honorable Justice Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan  Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel  Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel	SUPREME- COURT Bookmark t	Read his Case <b>■</b>
Present:	TASSAWAR HUSSAIN VS The REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, MIRITIAN HONORPHO PRINGENIA HAMMAN MAZHAR MUHAMMAD Ali Mazhar MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMAD MAZHAR MUHAMMAD MAZHAR MUHAMAD MAZHAR MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAMA MUHAM	SUPREME- COURT	Read
2 <b>Vefsus</b> SAMAVAR 2 <b>G9</b> P.L.A. (Agai	GOVERNMENT OF PUNJAB through Secretary Irrigation and Power ร <b>ูปลูปพลุก ๆยังกระบที่ยะชุญทุ่งกร</b> พืชอหิวสูบโดยในวิจิโต เพียงเปิดใหญ่ โดยในกระบที่มาใช้ ในกระบที่มาใช้ ในกระ	SUPREME- COURT Court, Bannu	Read Bench in
Specific SCMRs.8, 249 Electricidecreed Judgmen Validity- who had 2075 had SCMRershit 257 pellant tenant ar predeces 2025 espas	STATE LIFE CORPORATION OF PAKISTAN, PESHAWAR ZONE, ty_billsProof of possessionSuit filed by predecessor-in-inte	suit was dis ned by High respondents/d bear any fruit and after his condents was ons were of ras not less the LoverAppell	Court efendants for them ival Reado death, the that of a no help to an that of
269	Honorable Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar	1	
2025 SCMR 279	PAKISTAN TEHREEK-I-INSAF, through authorized person VS ELECTION COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN, through Special Secretary, Islamabad Honorable Justice #x20;	SUPREME- COURT	Read
2025 SCMR 281	ABDUL HAYEE and ABDULLAH alias GHAZALI VS State Honorable Justice Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan	SUPREME- COURT	Read

	Title	Court	Action			
2025 SCMR 298	Chaudhary GHULAM HUSSAIN VS SAUDI PAK COMMERCIAL BANK LIMITED, LAHORE Honorable Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar	SUPREME- COURT	Read			
	Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar	Bookmark t	his Case			
	MRID19HAHID ZUBERI VS FEDERATION OF PAKISTAN Honomphor Patios Qazi Faez Isa Qazi Faez Isa Qazi Faez Isa Qazi	SUPREME- COURT	Read			
	Sardara Razi Tyras bod and Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel, JJ	000111				
	MUSAIN COMMERCIAL BANK LIMITED VS PUNJAB LABOUR	SUPREME-	Read			
S <b>V9Nisus</b> 3 <b>03NWAF</b> C.P.L.A	APPELLATE TRIBUNAL through Chairman, Lahore รั <mark>ดปีเลิสเตียงในรูปเรียงพิบัทสริเทียง วิที่ พิปัจิ</mark> กลา Muhammad Ali Mazhar พิทันหลัสสาหิลช <i>์ A</i> เป็นโลรใส่เราเพียงใสสาหาสิเซ็นที่ พละพิจาร์เพลใสสาคล Ali	COURT				
` `	nMathenjudgment dated 08.12.2014 passed by Peshawar High C	Court, Bannu	Bench in			
2025 5000ific	38-B of 2008).  MAZHAR ALI VS State  Relief Act (1 of 1877)  Honorable Justice Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan Malik Shahzad  42 & 34Suit for possession, declaration and injunction Ahmad Khan Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan Malik Shahzad Ahmad  ty billsProof of possessionSuit filed by predecessor-in-inte  Khan Malik Shahzad Ahmad Khan in his favour but Lower Appellate Court allowed appeal and	SUPREME- COURT Adverse posserest of appell suit was dis	Read session ants was missed			
<b>2025</b> gmen	t ALLLOP UBLIFECU PULVEERS TYPE BORPET TEAPHERS ASSOCWATION naintai	n <b>SwryeME</b> gh	Correspond			
SCMRity_	ty{ABISEJADNSIF baif FRAKIONIOW RANGETA VETONION IN FACILITY OF PARTIES AND THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CO					
•	1 1 1					
3224no had	effectarial Excusation and Prafessional Hearings de la mahachame did not	bear any fruit	for then			
3224 o had and had	effectarial Education part Prafessional Hearing at Samahasame did not allo parable of uniteriorized asir face las Qui face la pari face	bear any fruit ermed as equi	for then valent to			
3224 o had and had	effectarial Excusation and Prafessional Hearings de la mahachame did not	bear any fruit ermed as equi	for then valent to			
324 ho had and had ownersh 2022 ellan argument ar 324 redeces a trespas	effectarial Education part Prafessional Hearing at Samahasame did not allo parable of uniteriorized asir face las Qui face la pari face	bear any fruit ermed as equi and after his congretant as iong were of not less that Lower Appella	for them valent to leath, the that of a help to an that o attention			
324 ho had and had ownersh 2022 ellan argument ar 324 redeces a trespas	dederial Education parts refersional Training Landa and did not allogorable of utilization of the problem of the control of th	bear any fruit ermed as equi and after his congretant as iong were of not less that Lower Appella	for them valent to leath, the that of a help to an that o attention			
and had ownersh and and had ownersh and thigh and High and High and SCMR	The designation path Preference of appellants was owner of suit house of the control of the cont	bear any fruit ermed as equit and after his considered as equit and after his considered as not less that Lower AppellantsAp	for then valent to death, that of a Read on that o at Cour			

	Citation	Title	Court	Action
	2025 SCMR 367	The GOVERNMENT OF BALOCHISTAN, through Secretary Energy Department, Quetta VS MUHAMMAD YASIR Honorable Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar	SUPREME- COURT	Read
		Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar Muhammad Ali Mazhar	Bookmark ti	nis Case 🗖
	9SMprem 3F4esent:	MCRAIRMAN PAKISTAN ORDNANCE FACTORIES, POF BOARD, MCHIGANTFAKSAKHTAR TANVEER SIAMAADISAHATIVRAWASIAAH MALIKAYASIAAA MALIKAYASIAAKHUJIII AYASIAA MALIKAYESIAAH MALIK	SUPREME- COURT	Read
	360P.L.A	SHER AYAZ KHAN alias SHERAZ KHANA VS GUL NAJEEB KHAN AHAHAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYA	SUPREME- COURT	Read
	SCMR.	nst the judgment dated 08.12.2014 passed by Peshawar High C JASLEEM HUSSAIN VS ALLAHDAD SHAH Honorable Justice Ageel Ahmed Abbasi Aqeel Ahmed Abbasi Aqeel Relief Act (1 of 187) Ahmed Abbasi Aqeel Ahmed Abbasi Aqeel Ahmed Abbasi 42 & 54Suit for possession, declaration and injunction	COURT	
		YFARIYAL-ARNO LATIPOVS ARION-ATSTRICT filed by predecessor-in-inte	_	
	Scientificed	itHolmisrafaleoluustiloetMubaremaqppileNtazh&ovluharHonardaNiapipzhlarand	SCACURATS disa	missed
	395dgmen	t Muhandead AlipMsschair Muhammad AlipMakhar Wuhammad Alimaintai	ned by High	Court
	Validity Westsion of suit property was with predecessor-in-interest of respondents/defendants			
	who had electricity consumption meter in their name but the same did not bear any fruit for them			
	and had no effect on merits of the caseSuch entries could never be termed as equivalent to			
		pPredecessor-in-interest of appellants was owner of suit house		
ſ		s stepped into his shoesStatus of predecessor-in-interest of res	•	
		d thereafter, respondents had stepped Mantchhis shoesSale mutati		-
		sor-in-interest of respondents and their possession of suit house w		
		serSupreme Court set aside judgments and decrees passed by a Court as Trial Court had rightly granted decree in favour of a		
f		PCrLJ Notes		

## Journals

March		
PLD	SCMR	
CLD	PTD	
MLD	PLC	
CLC	YLR	
PCrLJ	GBLR	

## **Miscellaneous**

Circulars
 Case Description
 > General Orders
 Notifications
 Bookmark this Case ■

## 2025 SCMR 211

[Supreme Court of Pakistan]

**New Statutes** 

Present: Sardar Tariq Masood and Mazhar Alam Khan Miankhel, JJ

AMJAD ALI and others --- Petitioners

Versus

ANWAR SHAH and others --- Respondents

C.P.L.A No. 223-P of 2015, decided on 21st November, 2024.

(Against the judgment dated 08.12.2014 passed by Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench in

C.R. No. 38-B of 2008).

Specific Relief Act (I of 1877)---

Sindh, 42 & 54---Suit for possession, declaration and injunction---Adverse possession---Electricity bills---Proof of possession---Suit filed by predecessor-in-interest of appellants was decreed in his favour but Lower Appellate Court allowed appeal and suit was dismissed---Judgment and decree passed by Lower Appellate Court were maintained by High Court---Copyrights 2025 by Oratier Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd. (//oratier.tech)

Validity---Possession of Suit property many and with predecessors property (//oratier.tech)

who had electricity consumption meter in their name but the same did not bear any fruit for them and had no effect on merits of the case---Such entries could never be termed as equivalent to ownership---Predecessor-in-interest of appellants was owner of suit house and after his death, the appellants stepped into his shoes----Status of predecessor-in-interest of respondents was that of a tenant and thereafter, respondents had stepped into his shoes----Sale mutations were of no help to predecessor-in-interest of respondents and their possession of suit house was not less than that of a trespasser----Supreme Court set aside judgments and decrees passed by Lower Appellate Court and High Court as Trial Court had rightly granted decree in favour of appellants----Appeal was