4/14/25, 11:36 AM Pakistan I aw Site

## Case Description

X

Caselaw Search Search text "Exact Match"

Search

Statutes Search

Bookmark this Case

Courtwise Search given to the appellant.

Citation Search

It may be noted that the will was deposited with the Registrar under section 42 of the Registration Act but all formalities as required by sections 43 and 44 were not complied with.

According to section 43 of the Registration Act if the Registrar is satisfied that the will have been presentation Steptes it Succession that 1925 it agent then he shall transcribe in Register Book No. 5 super-scription aforestated arid note the exact time and date of presentation and receipt and names of Enter Keyword

Enter Keyword

Persons identifying the testator or his agent on the said book and the sealed cover. After the death of the testator as required by section 45 on application being made, the Registrar after satisfying himself of the death of the testator, may open the sealed cover and cause the contents of the will copied in Book No. 3. After completing this procedure the will is delivered to the nominee of the testator or his representative. A will so deposited and delivered may attract credibility but in no case proof of execution as required by sections 68 and 69 is dispensed with. The provisions of sections 43 and 45 were not complied with by the Registrar. Neither any entry was transcribed in Register Book No. 5 snot the contents of the will were copied on Book No. 3. In these circumstances the deposit of will with the Registrar was meaningless and no credence can be attached to it. The appellant has failed to Your Seathe toth! nedetated and saids are disanissed.

## Citation Name: 1991 MLD 145 KARACHI-HIGH-COURT-SINDH A.A./B-171/K Appeal dismissed.

Bookmark this Case

BONAVENTURE PAUL, BISHOP OF THE ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESE VS ALI MUHAMMAD

Succession Act 1925--63.

Succession Act 1925 ----S, 63---Attestation of will---Will was required to be executed by two or more witnesses, [Muhammadan Law].

**Head Notes** 

Case Description

Citation Name: 1985 CLC 1385 KARACHI-HIGH-COURT-SINDH

Bookmark this Case

ISHWARDAS VS DEPUTY SETTLEMENT COMMISSIONER, NLIRPURKHAS

Evidence Act 1872--69, Succession Act 1925--63,

Ss. 68 & 69--Succession Act (XXXIX of 1925), S. 63--Will--Proof of execution--Will purported to be executed in name of petitioner--Execution neither proved by attesting witness nor by handwriting and signature of person executing such document--Will, held, not proved in accordance with law and could not be used as evidence to show that it was executed in name of petitioner. [Will--Evidence].

**Head Notes** 

Case Description

4/14/25, 11:36 AM Pakistan Law Site

Read More

## Case Description Notes on Cases

| Bookmark this Case | March     |                         |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
|                    | CLC Notes |                         |
|                    | YLR Notes | given to the appellant. |

**PCrLJ Notes** 

It may be noted that the will was deposited with the Registrar under section 42 of the Registration Act but all formalities as required by sections 43 and 44 were not complied with.

According to section 43 of the Registration Act if the Registrar is satisfied that the will has been presented for deposit by the testator or his agentation he shall transcribe in Register Book No. 5 the super-scription aforestated arid note the exact time and date of presentation and receipt and names of persons identifying the testator or his agent on the said book and the sealed cover. After the death of the testator as required by section 45 on application being made, the Registra Pafter satisfying himself of the death of the testator, may' open the sealed cover and cause the contents of the will copied in Book No. 3. After completing this procedure the will is delivered to the nominee of the testator or his representative. A will so deposited and delivered may attract credibility but in no case proof of execution as required by sections 68 and 69 is dispensed with. The provisor sections 43 and 45 were not complied with by the Registrar. Neither any entry was transcribed in Register Book No. 5 not the contents of the will were copied on Book No. 3. In these circumstances the deposit of will with the Registrar was meaningless and no Mischancous attached to it. The appellant has failed to prove the will. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

- > Circulars
- A.A./B-171/K Appeal dismissed.
- > General Orders
- > Notifications

|   | New Statutes |
|---|--------------|
| > | Federal      |
| > | Punjab       |
| > | KPK          |
| > | Balochistan  |
| > | Sindh        |

4/14/25, 11:36 AM Pakistan Law Site

Copyrights © 2025 by **Oratier Technologies (Pvt.) Ltd. (//oratier.tech)**This site is developed & maintant Color (Pvt.) Ltd. (//oratier.tech)

Help (/Login/HelpPage) | FAQ's | Sitemap

**Bookmark this Case** 

given to the appellant.

It may be noted that the will was deposited with the Registrar under section 42 of the Registration Act but all formalities as required by sections 43 and 44 were not complied with.

According to section 43 of the Registration Act if the Registrar is satisfied that the will has been presented for deposit by the testator or his agent then he shall transcribe in Register Book No. 5 the super-scription aforestated arid note the exact time and date of presentation and receipt and names of persons identifying the testator or his agent on the said book and the sealed cover. After the death of the testator as required by section 45 on application being made, the Registrar after satisfying himself of the death of the testator, may' open the sealed cover and cause the contents of the will copied in Book No. 3. After completing this procedure the will is delivered to the nominee of the testator or his representative. A will so deposited and delivered may attract credibility but in no case proof of execution as required by sections 68 and 69 is dispensed with. The provisions of sections 43 and 45 were not complied with by the Registrar. Neither any entry was transcribed in Register Book No. 5 not the contents of the will were copied on Book No. 3. In these circumstances the deposit of will with the Registrar was meaningless and no credence can be attached to it. The appellant has failed to prove the will. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

A.A./B-171/K Appeal dismissed.