

The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)

Moosa Yahyazadeh

The University of Iowa

November 6, 2016

What is CoAP?


- The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP) is a specialized web transfer protocol for use with constrained nodes and constrained (e.g., low-power, lossy) networks
- The protocol is designed for machine-to-machine (M2M) applications
 - smart energy
 - building automation
- It provides a request/response interaction model between application endpoints
 - One design goal → keep message overhead small
 - Why? limiting the need for fragmentation in constrained environments

Request/response interaction model

- Interaction model of CoAP is similar to the client/server model of HTTP
- Machine-to-Machine interaction result in CoAP implementation acting in both client and server roles
- CoAP request/response
 - a request is sent by a client for an action (using a Method Code) on a resource (identified by a URI) on a server
 - server then sends a response with a Response Code; this response may include a resource representation
- Unlike HTTP, CoAP deals with these interchanges asynchronously over a datagram-oriented transport such as UDP.
 - using messages layer that supports optional reliability

CoAP layers

- Abstract Layering of CoAP



- One could think of CoAP logically as using a two-layer approach, a CoAP messaging layer used to deal with UDP and the asynchronous nature of the interactions, and the request/response interactions using Method and Response Codes
- CoAP is however a single protocol, with messaging and request/response as just features of the CoAP header.

Messaging model

- Message types
 - Confirmable
 - Non-confirmable
 - Acknowledgement
 - Reset
- Method Codes and Response Codes included in some of these messages make them carry requests or responses
- The basic exchanges of the four types of messages are somewhat orthogonal to the request/response interactions; requests can be carried in Confirmable and Non-confirmable messages, and responses can be carried in these as well as piggybacked in Acknowledgement messages
 - Thus, Requests cannot be carried in Ack messages

Messaging model (Cont...)

- Each message contains a Message ID
- Reliability is provided by marking a message as Confirmable (CON)
 - A Confirmable message is retransmitted using a default timeout and exponential back-off between retransmissions, until the recipient sends an Acknowledgement message (ACK) with the same Message ID from the corresponding endpoint
 - When a recipient is not at all able to process a Confirmable message (i.e., not even able to provide a suitable error response), it **replies** with a Reset message (RST) instead of an Acknowledgement (ACK).
- Non-confirmable messages are not acknowledged, but still have a Message ID for duplicate detection
 - When a recipient is not able to process a Non-confirmable message, it **may reply** with a Reset message (RST)

Request/response model

- Request and response semantics are carried in CoAP messages
 - Request → include Method Code
 - Response → include Response Code
 - Optional (or default) request and response information, such as the URI and payload media type are carried as CoAP Options
 - matching responses to requests independently from the underlying messages is done by Token
 - Notice: Token is a concept separate from the Message ID
- Scenario: A request is carried in a Confirmable (CON)
 - If immediately available, the response to a request carried in a Confirmable message is carried in the resulting (ACK) message
 - Called a piggybacked response; same Msg ID, same Token
 - No need for separately acknowledging a piggybacked response, as the client will retransmit the request if the (ACK) message carrying the piggybacked response is lost

Request/response model (Cont...)

- Scenario: A request is carried in a (CON) and the server is not able to respond immediately (with Pigg. Res.)
 - It simply responds with an Empty Ack message so that the client can stop retransmitting the request
 - When the response is ready, the server sends it in a new Confirmable message (which then in turn needs to be acknowledged by the client)
 - called a "separate response"
 - different Msg ID, same Token
- Scenario: A request is carried in a Non-confirmable (NON)
 - the response is sent using a new Non-confirmable message, although the server may instead send a Confirmable message
 - The response → different Msg ID, same Token

Request/response model (Cont...)

- Methods in CoAP:
 - GET, PUT, POST, and DELETE
 - Similar manner to HTTP, but there are some differences
 - Methods beyond the basic four can be added to CoAP in separate specifications
 - New methods do not necessarily have to use requests and responses in pairs
 - Even for existing methods, a single request may yield multiple responses, e.g., for a multicast request or with the Observe option

Request/Response Semantics

- CoAP operates under a similar request/response model as HTTP
 - a CoAP endpoint in the role of a "client" sends one or more CoAP requests to a "server", which services the requests by sending CoAP responses
- Unlike HTTP, requests and responses are not sent over a previously established connection but are exchanged **asynchronously** over CoAP messages

Request/Response Semantics (Cont...)

- Request contains:
 - a method to be applied to the resource
 - the identifier of the resource
 - a payload and Internet media type (if any)
 - and optional metadata about the request
- Response
 - After receiving and interpreting a request, a server responds with a CoAP response that is matched to the request by means of a client-generated token; note that this is different from the Message ID that matches a Confirmable message to its Acknowledgement.
 - can be sent as Piggybacked, Separate (resource not available at that time or non-confirmable req)
 - Implementation Note: The protocol leaves the decision whether to piggyback a response or not (i.e., send a separate response) to the server. If possible piggyback is better. The client MUST be prepared to receive either.

Message format

- CoAP is based on the exchange of compact messages
 - transported over UDP → each CoAP message occupies the data section of one UDP datagram
 - may also be used over Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS)
 - It could also be used over other transports such as SMS, TCP, or SCTP
 - UDP-lite [RFC3828] and UDP zero checksum [RFC6936] are not supported by CoAP

Message format (Cont...)

- messages are encoded in a simple binary format
- message structure
 - header
 - token (if any)
 - options (if any)
 - payload marker (if there is any payload)
 - payload (if any)

Ver	T	TKL	Code	Message ID
Token (if any, TKL bytes) ...				
Options (if any) ...				
11111111			Payload (if any) ...	

Message format - Header

- header (fixed-size 4-byte)
 - Version (Ver): 2-bit unsigned integer
 - CoAP version number
 - Implementations of this specification **MUST** set this field to 1 (01 binary). Other values are reserved for future versions. Messages with unknown version numbers **MUST** be silently ignored
 - Type (T): 2-bit unsigned integer
 - Indicates if this message is of type Confirmable (0), Non-confirmable (1), Acknowledgement (2), or Reset (3)
 - Token Length (TKL): 4-bit unsigned integer
 - Indicates the length of the variable-length Token field (**0-8 bytes**)
 - Lengths **9-15** are reserved, **MUST NOT** be sent, and **MUST** be processed as a **message format error**

Message format - Header (Cont...)

- header (fixed-size 4-byte) (Cont...)
 - Code: 8-bit unsigned integer
 - split into a 3-bit class (most significant bits) and a 5-bit detail (least significant bits)
 - documented as "c.dd". "c" is a digit from 0 to 7 for the 3-bit subfield and "dd" are two digits from 00 to 31 for the 5-bit subfield.
 - The class can indicate a request (0), a success response (2), a client error response (4), or a server error response (5). (All other class values are reserved.)
 - As a special case, Code 0.00 indicates an Empty message.
 - In case of a **request** (Class=0), the Code field indicates the **Request Method**
 - In case of a **response** (Class $\in \{2, 4, 5\}$), the Code field indicates a **Response Code**.

Message format - Header (Cont...)

- header (fixed-size 4-byte) (Cont...)
 - Message ID: 16-bit unsigned integer
 - Used to detect message duplication
 - Used to match messages of type Acknowledgement/Reset to messages of type Confirmable/Non-confirmable.
 - These rules will be described later
 - Its 16-bit size enables up to about 250 messages per second from one endpoint to another with default protocol parameters

Message format - Token

Rules for generating a Message ID and matching messages

Code registries

- Code ranges:
 - 0.00 → Indicates an Empty message
 - 0.01-0.31 → Indicates a request
 - Initial CoAP Method Codes: 0.01 → GET, 0.02 → POST, 0.03 → PUT, 0.04 → DELETE
 - All other Method Codes are Unassigned
 - 1.00-1.31 → Reserved
 - 2.00-5.31 → Indicates a response
 - The Response Codes 3.00-3.31 are Reserved for future use
 - 6.00-7.31 → Reserved

Code registries (Cont...)

- Response codes
 - 2.01 → Created, 2.02 → Deleted, 2.03 → Valid, 2.04 → Changed, 2.05 → Content
 - 4.00 → Bad Request, 4.01 → Unauthorized, 4.02 → Bad Option, 4.03 → Forbidden, 4.04 → Not Found, 4.05 → Method Not Allowed, 4.06 → Not Acceptable, 4.12 → Precondition Failed, 4.13 → Request Entity Too Large, 4.15 → Unsupported Content-Format
 - All other codes of the class should be treated as the generic response code of the class (4.00)
 - 5.00 → Internal Server Error, 5.01 → Not Implemented, 5.02 → Bad Gateway, 5.03 → Service Unavailable, 5.04 → Gateway Timeout, 5.05 → Proxying Not Supported
 - All other codes of the class should be treated as the generic response code of the class (5.00)
 - The Response Codes 3.00-3.31 are Reserved for future use
 - All other Response Codes are Unassigned

Multicast CoAP

Section 8 discusses the proper use of CoAP messages with multicast addresses and precautions for avoiding response congestion