# Dark Matter Does Not Exist -it is the Non-Homogenous Hubble Flow in and Around Galaxies that Creates the Additional Central Acceleration



Dark Matter Does Not Exist - it is the Non-Homogenous Hubble Flow in

and Around Galaxies that Creates the Additional Central Acceleration

Shlomo Barak

Taga Innovations 16 Beit Hillel St. Tel Aviv 67017 Israel

Corresponding author: shlomo@tagapro.com

**Abstract** 

We contend that there is no need for Dark Matter, or need to modify known and accepted

physics to explain rotation curves.

We show that the gravitational field (central acceleration) around a mass is related to the

gradient in its coordinate light velocity.

In and around a galaxy the global **Hubble flow**, affected by the presence of the galaxy mass, is

non-homogenous. This non-homogenous space expansion, as we show, creates an additional

gradient in the coordinate light velocity which is responsible for an additional central

acceleration and lensing. In the **example**, presented here, its value is  $g_0 = -1.2 \times 10^{-8}$  cm s<sup>-2</sup>,

which is responsible for flat rotation curves

Key Words: Gravitation; Dark Matter; Hubble flow

1

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 General

The issue of Rotation Curves in galaxies led, in 1933, to the Zwicky hypothesis of Dark Matter (DM) [1]. Alternatively, it led, in 1983, to the suggestions by Milgrom [2] to modify Newtonian Gravity (MOND) and by Bekenstein [3], in 2004, to modify General Relativity (TeVeS).

We, however, dispel the need for both DM and a modification of GR. We show that the non-homogeneous Hubble flow, in and around galaxies, creates a kind of central acceleration that has been wrongly attributed to the presence of Dark Matter.

#### 1.2 Light Velocity

**Local observers** in all zones of space, with or without gravitational fields, will claim to get the same result measuring light velocity with their standard yardsticks and clocks. Hence, we relate to Light Velocity as a **constant of nature.** However, each and every **faraway observer** [4] finds that, according to their understanding, **coordinate light velocity** elsewhere [4], where local observers reside, might vary according to the gravitational fields in their locality. The common understanding is that light velocity is a constant like  $\pi$ . We, in contrast, suggest to relate to the **coordinate speed of light** of GR [4] as a real variable speed (See Appendix A) dependent on the gravitational field.

# From The Web - Physics - Equivalence Principle and the Meaning of the Coordinate Speed of Light

In general relativity the *local* speed of light is a constant and has the usual value c, but the speed of light that we measure *from here* for a part of space over *there* (called the coordinate speed) may differ from the accepted value.

This is one way to structure arguments about gravitational red/blue shift and the curvature of light paths relative coordinate systems fixed to a particular observer. It is a common way of explaining the Shapiro delay.

Indeed, in that kind of context this point of view is successful enough that it is tempting to take it as definitive. To say

The speed of light really does vary from place to place and the constancy of the local speed is an artifact of using the motion of light to define our measure of time.

By using this same point of view, we are able to resolve in this paper, the issue of Dark Matter.

Note that the coordinate speed of light is slowed in the presence of gravitational fields.

### 2 The Metric and Light Velocity

Schwarzschild, in 1916, was the first to find a solution to Einstein's field equation - a general spacetime metric - for the exterior of a spherically-symmetric star of radius R, i.e., for r > R:

$$ds^{2} = -g_{00}c^{2}dt^{2} + 2g_{0r}dr dt + g_{rr}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(1)

The metric's elements  $\,g_{00}\,,\,g_{0r}$  and  $g_{rr}$  are functions of r and t.

According to [5] the line element ds<sup>2</sup> is:

$$ds^{2} = -e^{-\frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}}c^{2}dt^{2} + e^{\frac{2GM}{c^{2}r}}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(2)

We denote a **gravitational scale factor**, a (see end of this section):

$$a = e^{-\frac{GM}{c^2r}} \tag{3}$$

For the surface of the sun or the edge of our galaxy:  $GM/rc^2 \sim 10^{-6}$  and thus  $GM/rc^2 << 1$ .

For  $GM/rc^2 \ll 1$  equation (3) is approximated as:

$$a = (1 - GM/rc^2)$$
  $a < 1$  for  $r \to \infty$   $a \to 1$  (4)

We rewrite equation (2) to become:

$$ds^{2} = -a^{2}c^{2}dt^{2} + a^{-2}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$
(5)

The metric in equation (5) is derived by a **faraway observer** OB1 – far away from the center of a mass, M, that serves as the origin of their co-ordinates.

For OB1, a radial distance interval, dl, close to M, contains a smaller number of their yardstick units, dr, than dr<sub>0</sub>, the number of the **local observer** OB2 yardstick units that dl contains. This is the result of the OB2 yardstick contraction (curving), which is the contraction of their local space. Hence:

$$dr_0 = a^{-1}dr \qquad a < 1 \tag{6}$$

From the **synchronization of clocks**, [6] Rindler arrives (p. 184) at:

$$dt_0 = adt a < 1 (7)$$

Thus, for OB1, a time interval,  $d\tau$ , contains a larger number of time units, dt, than the number of time units,  $dt_0$ , for OB2.

The 4D **spacetime interval** between two events [6]; the "emission" of a short pulse of light at point A and the "arrival" of this pulse at point B is:

$$ds^2 = 0$$
.

Hence, using equation (5):

$$-a^2c^2dt^2 + a^{-2}dr^2 = 0 (8)$$

$$acdt = a^{-1}dr (9)$$

$$dr/dt = a^2c (10)$$

This, dr/dt = c', for OB1, is the light velocity close to a mass M. Light velocity, for OB1, far away from M, is c (standard light velocity), whereas dr/dt = c' < c.

This, dr/dt = c', is a local, real and slower, light velocity since, according to equation (4), a < 1.

In the literature dr/dt in equation (10) is called **coordinate speed of light,** [4]. This is a misleading name, since dr/dt should be considered a **real speed** [7].

Substituting dr from equation (6) and dt from equation (7) in equation (8) gives:

$$dr/dt = adr_0/a^{-1}dt_0 = a^2dr_0/dt_0$$
 (11)

Comparing equation (11) to equation (10), gives:

$$dr_0/dt_0 = c (12)$$

And from (11) again (See appendix A):

$$c' = a^2c \tag{13}$$

The results here and the discussion in Section 1.2 verify that OB1 and OB2 measuring light velocity locally in their own zones of space arrive at the same result.

#### In conclusion:

$$d\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{a}^{-1} d\mathbf{r} \tag{6}$$

$$dt_0 = adt (7)$$

$$c' = a^2c \tag{13}$$

The common consideration of **light velocity** as a constant like  $\pi$  is the main reason why Dark Matter is a long-standing issue for almost 100 years.

## 3 The Gravitational Field as a Gradient in the Coordinate Light Velocity

Substituting a, equation (4), in equation (10), gives for the case  $GM/rc^2 \ll 1$ :

$$dr/dt = a^2c = (1 - GM/rc^2)^2c \sim (1 - 2GM/rc^2)c = (1 + 2\varphi/c^2)c$$
(14)

From equation (14) and dr/dt = c' (Section 2) we get the gravitational potential  $\varphi$ :

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} c (c' - c)$$
 (15)

Note that c'< c, which complies with  $\varphi$  < 0. The field strength (central acceleration g) is thus:

$$E_g = g = -d\phi/dr = -\frac{1}{2} cdc'/dr$$
 (16)

$$\mathbf{E}_{g} = \mathbf{g} = -1/2 \,\mathrm{c} \nabla \mathbf{c'} \tag{17}$$

Thus, the gravitational field (central acceleration) can be considered a gradient in light velocity.

Note that  $\mathbf{c}'$  is not a scalar, it is a vector  $\mathbf{c}'$ , and  $\nabla \mathbf{c}'$  is a gradient of a vector. This gradient involves Christoffel symbols which are involved in the GR field equation.

To check our derivation, we take (14) and c' = dr/dt and get:

$$c' = (1 - 2GM/rc^2) c$$
 (18)

$$dc'/dr = 2GM/r^2c$$
 (19)

Hence, according to equation (16) the **central acceleration** is:

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cdc'/dr} = \operatorname{GM/r^2}$$
 (20)

$$\mathbf{g} = -\left(\frac{\text{GM/r}^3}{\text{r}}\right)\mathbf{r} \tag{21}$$

Note that in S. Barak model of elementary particles, in which in the first time in physics he derives and calculates accurately their masses, the **free fall** in the gravitational field along the geodesic is determined by the gradient of the coordinate light velocity. This, however is out of the scope of this paper.

# 4 The Overlooked Central Acceleration Due to the Non-Homogenous Hubble Flow in and Around Galaxies

The cosmological scale factor (CSF), a, in the epoch of galaxies formation 500-700 Myr

(z = 8-11) after the Big-Bang [7], is notated  $a_b$ . Taking z = 9 gives:

 $a_b = 1/(z+1) = 0.1$ , whereas the present CSF in the intergalactic space is  $a_0 = 1$ .

$$a_b = 0.1$$
  $a_0 = 1$  (22)

Note that the CSF, a, in this section **is not** the gravitational scale factor, a, of Section 2.

Space in the universe expands, but space within galaxies does not [8] [9]. We, however, assume that at some region in the galaxy or on its skirt space starts to expand gradually to reach asymptoticly  $a_0 = 1$ .

A simple toy function for a variable CSF, in and around galaxies, is:

$$a = a_b + (a_0 - a_b) \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{r}{R/4}\right) \right] \tag{23}$$

R is the Hubble sphere radius. For r = 0,  $a = a_b$  and for  $r \rightarrow R$ , a = 0.98, which is close to  $a_0 = 1$ .

Note that the radius of the universe is many times larger than the Hubble sphere radius R. Taking R/4 is **arbitrary**, but based on the size of "dark matter halos" it is **reasonable**; it should, however, be supported by observations.

Substituting the values  $a_b = 0.1$  and  $a_0 = 1$ , of equation (22), in equation (23) gives:

$$a = 0.1 + 0.9[1 - \exp(-4r/R)] \tag{24}$$

For  $r \ll R$  equation (24) becomes:

$$a = 0.1 + 3.6r/R$$
 (25)

According to equations (13) and (25) and using the Hubble parameter H = c/R (defined as  $H = \dot{a}/a$ ) gives for r << R the following value for dc'/dr:

$$dc'/dr = c d/dr (a^2) = c 2a da/dr = 2 \cdot a \cdot 3.6 \cdot c /R$$

Taking for a its average value  $(a_b + a_0)/2 \sim 0.5$  gives:

$$dc'/dr = 0.36H$$
 (26)

The H value as of today - the Hubble constant  $H_0$ , [10], is:

$$H_0 = 2.26 \pm 0.25 \times 10^{-18} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$
.

Substituting this value (without the error range, since we are using an artificial toy function) in equation (26) gives:

$$dc'/dr = 0.36 H_0 = 0.81 \times 10^{-18} sec^{-1}$$
(27)

The value for the **central acceleration**, due to the non-homogeneous Hubble Flow, as of today, is calculated using equations (16) and (27):

$$g = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cdc'/dr} = -1.22 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-2}}. \tag{28}$$

This acceleration, notated g<sub>0</sub>, is:

$$g_0 = -1.22 \times 10^{-8} \,\mathrm{cm \ s^{-2}}$$
 (29)

Note the fit of our value for g<sub>0</sub>, in equation (29), to the observed [2] MOND g<sub>0</sub>, which is:

$$g_0 = -1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$$
. (30)

MOND theory uses the notation  $a_0$  rather than  $g_0$ . Note that this kind of central acceleration (29) is responsible for flat **rotation curves.** The real situation, though, is much more complicated since space is kind of a fluid and the non-homogeneous Hubble Flow creates complex space

density patterns in and around galaxies. How the mass of a galaxy and its motion affect the Hubble flow should be further explored.

Gravitation is the contraction of space, whereas space expansion is the dilation of space.  $g_N$  is the result of gravitational space contraction (curving) whereas  $g_0$  is the result of space dilation (curving). Let  $r_0$  denote the distance from the center of a galaxy at which space contraction was balanced by space dilation, in the epoch of the galaxy's creation. This balance at  $r_0$ , with the larger  $g_0$  of that time, is expressed by the equality  $g_N = g_0$ , or:

$$GM/r_0^2 = g_0 \tag{31}$$

Thus:

$$r_0 = (GM/g_0)^{1/2}$$
 (32)

Note the following: With time H becomes smaller and so does the gradient in light velocity, see equation (26). Thus, the zone of balance, at  $r_0$ , see (32), moves forward, away from the center of the galaxy, as if "Dark Matter Halos" grow with time.

Our central acceleration, equation (17), is based on a gradient in the coordinate light velocity; hence we can explain **lensing**, including the lensing of empty zones of space.

#### **Summary**

The non-homogeneous expansion of space around galaxies creates a universal, so far overlooked, central acceleration  $g_0$ , that explains Rotation Curves and Lensing.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Mr. Roger M. Kaye for his linguistic contribution and technical assistance

### References

- [1] F, Zwicky: Helv. Phys. Acta, 6, 110 (1933)
- [2] M, Milgrom: A modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. Astrophysical Journal 270: 365–370 (1983), and MOND Theory arXiv: 1404.7661 (2014)
- [3] J. D. Bekenstein: Relativistic gravitation theory for the modified Newtonian dynamics paradigm, Physical Review D, 70 (8): 083509, (2004), arXiv: astro-ph/0403694
- [4] B.W. Carroll and D. A. Ostlie: An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics (2007) p.1052,p.635
- [5] B. F. Schutz: General Relativity, Chapter 10, Cambridge (2003)
- [6] W. Rindler: Relativity Oxford (2004) p. 184, p. 232, p. 236
- [7] S. R. Bernard et al: Galaxy candidates at  $z \sim 10$  in archival data from the brightest of reionizing galaxies (BORG[z8]) survey The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 827, Number 1 (2016)
- [8] Anderson, J. L.: Multiparticle dynamics in an expanding Universe. Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995), 3602.
- [9] Yurii V. Dumin: Local Hubble expansion: current state of the problem arXiv:1609.01793 [gr-qc] 2016
- [10] C. L. Bennett et al: The 1% concordance Hubble constant, arXiv:1406.1718v2 [astro-ph.CO] (2014)

# Appendix A On the Coordinate Light Velocity as a Real Velocity

The **permittivity** of the free space is  $\epsilon_0$  whereas in a deformed space it is:  $\epsilon' = \epsilon \epsilon_0$  where  $\epsilon$  is the relative permittivity due to the deformation.

The **permeability** of the free space is  $\mu_0$  whereas in a deformed space it is:  $\mu' = \mu \mu_0$  where  $\mu$  is the relative permeability due to the deformation.

Light velocity C, in a free space, according to the electromagnetic theory is: .

 $C^2 = 1/\epsilon_0 \mu_0$  Whereas in a deformed space it is:

$$C^{\prime 2} = 1/\varepsilon^{\prime}\mu^{\prime} = 1/\varepsilon\varepsilon_0 \; \mu\mu_0 = 1/\varepsilon\mu\cdot 1/\varepsilon_0\mu_0 = 1/\varepsilon\mu \; C^2$$

We have denoted a **gravitational scale factor**, a (related to space density). For a free space with no gravitational fields a = 1 whereas in a gravitational field (deformed space) a < 1.

For  $1/\epsilon = a^2$  and  $1/\mu = a^2$ , which are pure numbers, we get:

$$C^{2} = 1/\epsilon \mu C^{2} = a^{4} C^{2}$$
 or  $C^{2} = a^{2} C$  which is relation (13).