

Theoretical Computer Science (M21276)

Part A/8: Application of context-free languages

(Oct 16-20, 2023)

Question 1. Consider the following grammar G with non-terminal start symbol S and terminal symbols 0, 1:

$$S \rightarrow 0S1 \mid SS \mid 10$$

Show a parse tree produced by G for each of the following strings:

(a) 010110

(b) 00101101

Question 2. Consider the fragment of English grammar given in the lecture. Use it to construct a parse tree (using top down parsing) for the following sentences:

(a) “The boy sees a flower.”

(b) “A girl likes the boy with the flower.”

Answer: (a) $\langle \text{Sentence} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{Noun Phrase} \rangle \langle \text{Verb Phrase} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{Cmplx-Noun} \rangle \langle \text{Verb Phrase} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle \text{Article} \rangle \langle \text{Noun} \rangle \langle \text{Verb Phrase} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The} \langle \text{Noun} \rangle \langle \text{Verb Phrase} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy} \langle \text{Cmplx-verb} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy} \langle \text{Verb} \rangle \langle \text{Noun Phrase} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy sees} \langle \text{Cmplx-Noun} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy sees} \langle \text{Article} \rangle \langle \text{Noun} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy sees a} \langle \text{Noun} \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \text{The boy sees a flower.}$

Question 3. Show that the following grammar is ambiguous: S the start non-terminal, A, B two non-terminals and a, b terminals

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid aaB$$

$$A \rightarrow a \mid Aa$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

Answer: Consider for example the string aab .

Question 4. Show that the following grammar is ambiguous: S the start non-terminal, a, b terminals

$$S \rightarrow aSbS \mid bSaS \mid \Lambda$$

Answer: Consider for example the string $abab$.

Question 5. Consider the following grammar G with the non-terminal start symbol S , two non-terminals B, C and terminal symbols $a, c, d, e, f, g, x, y, z$:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &\rightarrow xyz \mid aBC \\
B &\rightarrow c \mid cd \\
C &\rightarrow eg \mid df
\end{aligned}$$

Use two different methods of parsing (top-down and bottom-up) to derive the strings

- (a) $acddf$,
- (b) $acd g$.

Answer: Bottom-up parsing:

(a) Steps

- Λ can't be reduced
- a can't be reduced
- ac can be reduced, as follows:
 - reduce ac to aB
 - aB can't be reduced
 - aBd can't be reduced
 - $aBdd$ can't be reduced
 - $aBddf$ can't be reduced
 - End of string. Stack is $aBddf$, not S . Failure! Must backtrack.
- ac can't be reduced
- acd can be reduced, as follows:
 - reduce acd to aB
 - aB can't be reduced
 - aBd can't be reduced
 - $aBdf$ can be reduced, as follows:
 - reduce $aBdf$ to aBC
 - * aBC can be reduced, as follows:
 - * reduce aBC to S

End of string. Stack is S . Success!

(b) If all combinations fail, then the string cannot be parsed, string is $acd g$.

Steps:

- Λ can't be reduced
- a can't be reduced

- ac can be reduced, as follows:
- reduce ac to aB
 - aB can't be reduced
 - aBd can't be reduced
 - $aBdg$ can't be reduced
 - End of string. Stack is $aBdg$, not S . Failure! Must backtrack.
- ac can't be reduced
- acd can be reduced, as follows:
- reduce acd to aB
 - aB can't be reduced
 - aBg can't be reduced
 - End of string. stack is aBg , not S . Failure! Must backtrack.
- acd can't be reduced
- acd can't be reduced
- End of string. Stack is acd , not S . No backtracking is possible. Failure!

Top-down parsing.

(a) String is $acddf$.

Steps

- Assertion 1: $acddf$ matches S
 - Assertion 2: $acddf$ matches xyz :
 - Assertion is false. Try another.
 - Assertion 2: $acddf$ matches aBC i.e. $cddf$ matches BC :
 - * Assertion 3: $cddf$ matches cC i.e. ddf matches C :
 - Assertion 4: ddf matches eg :
 - False.
 - Assertion 4: ddf matches df :
 - False.
 - * Assertion 3 is false. Try another.
 - * Assertion 3: $cddf$ matches cdC i.e. df matches C :
 - Assertion 4: df matches eg :
 - False.
 - Assertion 4: df matches df :
 - Assertion 4 is true.

- * Assertion 3 is true.
- Assertion 2 is true.
- Assertion 1 is true. Success!

Question 6. Give an example of a string (of length at least 5) from the language described by the grammar $S \rightarrow aSc \mid b$ with the initial non-terminal S . Show that you can find unique derivations generating the string from left looking only at the current symbol. ($LL(1)$ grammar).

Question 7. Give an example of a string (of length at least 5) from the language described by the grammar $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow aA \mid a, B \rightarrow bB \mid c$. Show that you can always find derivations used for generation of your string (from left) looking only at most two symbols ahead. ($LL(2)$ grammar).

Can you rewrite this grammar as an $LL(1)$ grammar?

Answer: $S \rightarrow aAB, A \rightarrow aA \mid \Lambda, B \rightarrow bB \mid c$

Question 8. Explain why the following grammar is $LR(1)$ and not $LL(1)$: $S \rightarrow a \mid ab$

Question 9. Explain why the following grammar is unambiguous and not $LR(1)$: $S \rightarrow Uab \mid Vac, U \rightarrow d, V \rightarrow d$. *Answer:* The grammar is unambiguous because it contains exactly two strings and each string has a unique derivation. $S \Rightarrow Uab \Rightarrow dab, S \Rightarrow Vac \Rightarrow dac$.

The grammar is not $LR(1)$ because of the following reason. Consider an input that begins with da . After seeing d , the parser must decide and use either U or V . However, based solely on the lookahead character of a , we cannot decide which of U or V is correct. Note that the grammar is $LR(2)$.

Question 10. Find a language which is described by the grammar: $S \rightarrow Sa \mid b$. Show that the grammar is not $LL(1)$.

Can you find the $LL(1)$ grammar for the same language?

Answer: $S \rightarrow bB, B \rightarrow aB \mid \Lambda$

Question 11. Show that the following grammar is $LR(1)$, but not an $LR(0)$ grammar. $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow aAb, A \rightarrow \Lambda, B \rightarrow Bb, B \rightarrow b$. Describe the language which is generated by this grammar. Also, find the derivation tree for a^2b^4 .

Answer: $L = \{a^m b^n \mid n > m \geq 0\}$.

To get the derivation tree for a^2b^4 , we scan a^2b^4 from left to right. After scanning a , we look ahead. If the next symbol is a , we continue to scan. If the next symbol is b , we decide that $A \rightarrow \Lambda$ is the required handle production. Thus the last step of the right-most derivation of a^2b^4 is

$$a^2Ab^4 \xRightarrow{R} a^2\Lambda b^4.$$

To get the last step of a^2Ab^4 , we scan a^2Ab^4 from L to R. aAb is a possible handle. We are able to decide that this is the right handle without looking ahead and so we get

$$aAbb^2 \xRightarrow[R]{} a^2Ab^4$$

Once again using the handle aAb , we obtain

$$Ab^2 \xRightarrow[R]{} aAbb^2.$$

To get the last step of the rightmost derivation of Ab^2 , we scan Ab^2 . A possible handle production is $B \rightarrow b$. We also note that this handle production can be applied to the first b we encounter, but not to the last b . So, we get

$$ABb \xRightarrow[R]{} Ab^2.$$

For ABb , a possible a -handle is Bb . Hence, we get $AB \xRightarrow[R]{} ABb$. Finally we obtain

$$S \xRightarrow[R]{} AB.$$

Question 12. Find an $LL(k)$ grammar for the language $\{aa^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{aab^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. What is k for your grammar?

Answer: An $LL(2)$ grammar: $S \rightarrow aC, C \rightarrow A \mid aB, A \rightarrow aA \mid \Lambda, B \rightarrow bB \mid \Lambda$.

Question 13. Find the minimum k such that the following grammar is $LL(k)$ grammar: $S \rightarrow SS \mid aSb \mid ab$.

Answer: The grammar is not an $LL(k)$ grammar for any k .

Question 14. Find the minimum k such that the following grammar is $LR(k)$ grammar: $S \rightarrow ADC \mid aaaddd, A \rightarrow aaa, D \rightarrow ddd, C \rightarrow Cc \mid c$.

Answer: $k = 4$

Question 15. Find the minimum values k_1, k_2 such that the following grammar is $LL(k_1), LR(k_2)$ grammar: $S \rightarrow A \mid B, A \rightarrow aAb \mid 0, B \rightarrow aBbb \mid 1$.

Answer: No k_1 exists, $k_2 = 0$.

Question 16. Is it possible for a regular grammar to be ambiguous?

Answer: Yes, e.g. $S \rightarrow aS \mid aA \mid aB, A \rightarrow bA \mid b, B \rightarrow bB \mid b$, e.g. for ab