

# Perceived Motives

## Load the packages and the dataset

```
library(readxl)
library(forcats)
library(dplyr)
```

Attaching package: 'dplyr'

The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':

filter, lag

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

```
library(car)
```

Loading required package: carData

Attaching package: 'car'

The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':

recode

```
library(emmeans)
```

Welcome to emmeans.

Caution: You lose important information if you filter this package's results.  
See '? untidy'

```
file_path <- "/Users/daryani/Desktop/data.xlsx"  
data <- read_excel(file_path)
```

## Label group and condition clearly

```
data <- data %>%  
  mutate(  
    group_label = case_when(  
      group == 1 ~ "Prolife",  
      group == 2 ~ "Prochoice"  
    ),  
    condition_label = case_when(  
      condition == 1 ~ "Outgroup",  
      condition == 2 ~ "Meta",  
      condition == 3 ~ "Ingroup"  
    )  
  )
```

## Create composite motive scores: Lower rank = more important motive

```
# Create composite framing scores: Lower rank = more important motive  
data <- data %>%  
  mutate(  
    ingroup_framing = if_else(  
      group_label == "Prochoice",  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_1, motive_4), na.rm = TRUE), # prochoice-framed motives  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_2, motive_3), na.rm = TRUE)  # prolife-framed motives  
    ),  
    outgroup_framing = if_else(  
      group_label == "Prochoice",  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_2, motive_3), na.rm = TRUE), # prolife-framed motives  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_1, motive_4), na.rm = TRUE)  # prochoice-framed motives  
    )  
  )
```

```

    rowMeans(select(., motive_1, motive_4), na.rm = TRUE) # prochoice-framed motives
  )
)

```

## Create a single misattribution index

.

```

## Because lower ranks indicate greater importance, more negative values reflect stronger re
data <- data %>%
mutate(
  framing_bias = ingroup_framing - outgroup_framing
)

```

## Inspect descriptives BEFORE testing

```

data %>%
  group_by(group_label, condition_label) %>%
  summarise(
    n = n(),
    ingroup_mean = mean(ingroup_framing, na.rm = TRUE),
    outgroup_mean = mean(outgroup_framing, na.rm = TRUE),
    bias_mean = mean(framing_bias, na.rm = TRUE),
    bias_sd = sd(framing_bias, na.rm = TRUE),
    .groups = "drop"
  )

```

# A tibble: 6 x 7

	group_label	condition_label	n	ingroup_mean	outgroup_mean	bias_mean	bias_sd
	<chr>	<chr>	<int>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	Prochoice	Ingroup	96	2.75	2.25	0.5	1.46
2	Prochoice	Meta	97	2.20	2.80	-0.608	1.41
3	Prochoice	Outgroup	96	2.97	2.03	0.938	1.48
4	Prolife	Ingroup	89	1.53	3.47	-1.94	0.327
5	Prolife	Meta	85	2.55	2.45	0.0941	1.80
6	Prolife	Outgroup	89	2.58	2.42	0.157	1.29

## Primary inferential test

```
model <- lm(
  framing_bias ~ group_label * condition_label + ideology,
  data = data
)

Anova(model, type = 3)
```

Anova Table (Type III tests)

Response: framing\_bias

	Sum Sq	Df	F value	Pr(>F)
(Intercept)	8.36	1	4.4328	0.03571 *
group_label	219.59	1	116.4995	< 2.2e-16 ***
condition_label	122.04	2	32.3726	5.189e-14 ***
ideology	1.92	1	1.0204	0.31288
group_label:condition_label	226.01	2	59.9528	< 2.2e-16 ***
Residuals	1027.27	545		

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

## Planned contrasts

```
emm <- emmeans(model, ~ condition_label | group_label)

contrast(emm, method = list(
  "Outgroup vs Ingroup" = c(-1, 0, 1),
  "Meta vs Outgroup"     = c(0, -1, 1)
))
```

group\_label = Prochoice:

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Outgroup vs Ingroup	0.4393	0.198	545	2.217	0.0271
Meta vs Outgroup	1.5428	0.198	545	7.805	<.0001

group\_label = Prolife:

contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
Outgroup vs Ingroup	2.1052	0.206	545	10.218	<.0001
Meta vs Outgroup	0.0676	0.208	545	0.325	0.7456