

# Perceived Motives

**Load the packages and the dataset**

```
library(readxl)
library(forcats)
library(dplyr)
```

Attaching package: 'dplyr'

The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':

filter, lag

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

```
library(car)
```

Loading required package: carData

Attaching package: 'car'

The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':

recode

```
library(emmeans)
```

Welcome to emmeans.

Caution: You lose important information if you filter this package's results.  
See '? untidy'

```
file_path <- "/Users/daryani/Desktop/data.xlsx"  
data <- read_excel(file_path)
```

## Label group and condition clearly

```
data <- data %>%  
  mutate(  
    group_label = case_when(  
      group == 1 ~ "Prolife",  
      group == 2 ~ "Prochoice"  
    ),  
    condition_label = case_when(  
      condition == 1 ~ "Outgroup",  
      condition == 2 ~ "Meta",  
      condition == 3 ~ "Ingroup"  
    )  
  )
```

## Create composite motive scores: Lower rank = more important motive

```
# Create composite framing scores: Lower rank = more important motive  
data <- data %>%  
  mutate(  
    ingroup_framing = if_else(  
      group_label == "Prochoice",  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_1, motive_4), na.rm = TRUE), # prochoice-framed motives  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_2, motive_3), na.rm = TRUE) # prolife-framed motives  
    ),  
    outgroup_framing = if_else(  
      group_label == "Prochoice",  
      rowMeans(select(., motive_2, motive_3), na.rm = TRUE), # prolife-framed motives
```

```

        rowMeans(select(., motive_1, motive_4), na.rm = TRUE)    # prochoice-framed motives
    )
)

```

## Create a single misattribution index

```

## Because lower ranks indicate greater importance, more negative values reflect stronger re
data <- data %>%
mutate(
framing_bias = ingroup_framing - outgroup_framing
)

```

## Inspect descriptives BEFORE testing

```

data %>%
group_by(group_label, condition_label) %>%
summarise(
  n = n(),
  ingroup_mean = mean(ingroup_framing, na.rm = TRUE),
  outgroup_mean = mean(outgroup_framing, na.rm = TRUE),
  bias_mean = mean(framing_bias, na.rm = TRUE),
  bias_sd = sd(framing_bias, na.rm = TRUE),
  .groups = "drop"
)

```

# A tibble: 6 x 7							
	group_label	condition_label	n	ingroup_mean	outgroup_mean	bias_mean	bias_sd
	<chr>	<chr>	<int>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	Prochoice	Ingroup	96	2.75	2.25	0.5	1.46
2	Prochoice	Meta	97	2.20	2.80	-0.608	1.41
3	Prochoice	Outgroup	96	2.97	2.03	0.938	1.48
4	Prolife	Ingroup	89	1.53	3.47	-1.94	0.327
5	Prolife	Meta	85	2.55	2.45	0.0941	1.80
6	Prolife	Outgroup	89	2.58	2.42	0.157	1.29

## Primary inferential test

```
model <- lm(  
  framing_bias ~ group_label * condition_label + ideology,  
  data = data  
)  
  
Anova(model, type = 3)
```

Anova Table (Type III tests)

```
Response: framing_bias  
           Sum Sq Df F value Pr(>F)  
(Intercept) 8.36  1 4.4328 0.03571 *  
group_label 219.59  1 116.4995 < 2.2e-16 ***  
condition_label 122.04  2 32.3726 5.189e-14 ***  
ideology 1.92  1 1.0204 0.31288  
group_label:condition_label 226.01  2 59.9528 < 2.2e-16 ***  
Residuals 1027.27 545  
---  
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

## Planned contrasts

```
emm <- emmeans(model, ~ condition_label | group_label)  
  
contrast(emm, method = list(  
  "Outgroup vs Ingroup" = c(-1, 0, 1),  
  "Meta vs Outgroup" = c(0, -1, 1)  
))  
  
group_label = Prochoice:  
  contrast estimate SE df t.ratio p.value  
Outgroup vs Ingroup 0.4393 0.198 545 2.217 0.0271  
Meta vs Outgroup 1.5428 0.198 545 7.805 <.0001  
  
group_label = Prolife:  
  contrast estimate SE df t.ratio p.value  
Outgroup vs Ingroup 2.1052 0.206 545 10.218 <.0001  
Meta vs Outgroup 0.0676 0.208 545 0.325 0.7456
```