

Social Acceptability

Load the packages and the dataset

```
library(readxl)
library(dplyr)
```

Attaching package: 'dplyr'

The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':

filter, lag

The following objects are masked from 'package:base':

intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

```
library(tidyr)
library(car)
```

Loading required package: carData

Attaching package: 'car'

The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':

recode

```
library(emmeans)
```

Welcome to emmeans.

Caution: You lose important information if you filter this package's results.

See '? untidy'

```
library(effectsize)
```

```
library(ggplot2)
```

```
library(forcats)
```

```
file_path <- "/Users/daryani/Desktop/data_reversed.xlsx"
```

```
data <- read_excel(file_path)
```

Ensure factors are factors

```
data <- data %>%
```

```
  mutate(
```

```
    group_clean = case_when(
```

```
      group %in% c(1, "1", "Prolife", "prolife") ~ "Prolife",
```

```
      group %in% c(2, "2", "Prochoice", "prochoice") ~ "Prochoice",
```

```
      TRUE ~ NA_character_
```

```
    ),
```

```
    condition_clean = case_when(
```

```
      condition %in% c(1, "1", "Outgroup", "outgroup", "Actual", "Actual Perception") ~ "Outgroup",
```

```
      condition %in% c(2, "2", "Metaperception", "metaperception", "Meta") ~ "Metaperception",
```

```
      condition %in% c(3, "3", "Ingroup", "ingroup") ~ "Ingroup",
```

```
      TRUE ~ NA_character_
```

```
    ),
```

```
    group = factor(group_clean, levels = c("Prolife", "Prochoice")),
```

```
    condition = factor(condition_clean, levels = c("Outgroup", "Metaperception", "Ingroup"))
```

```
  ) %>%
```

```
  select(-group_clean, -condition_clean) %>%
```

```
  mutate(
```

```
    group = droplevels(group),
```

```
    condition = droplevels(condition)
```

```
  )
```

```
table(data$group, data$condition, useNA="ifany")
```

	Outgroup	Metaperception	Ingroup
Prolife	89	85	89
Prochoice	96	97	96

Create the Social Acceptability composite

```
data <- data %>%
  mutate(
    social_avg = rowMeans(pick(social_1:social_3), na.rm = TRUE)
  )
```

Simple descriptives

```
desc_social <- data %>%
  group_by(group, condition) %>%
  summarise(
    n = dplyr::n(),
    mean_social = mean(social_avg, na.rm = TRUE),
    sd_social = sd(social_avg, na.rm = TRUE),
    .groups = "drop"
  )

print(as.data.frame(desc_social), row.names = FALSE)
```

group	condition	n	mean_social	sd_social
Prolife	Outgroup	89	3.153558	1.680290
Prolife	Metaperception	85	2.152941	1.233948
Prolife	Ingroup	89	5.779026	1.710738
Prochoice	Outgroup	96	2.826389	1.335944
Prochoice	Metaperception	97	2.323024	1.627636
Prochoice	Ingroup	96	6.062500	1.424360

```
social_wide <- tidyr::pivot_wider(
  desc_social |> select(group, condition, mean_social),
  names_from = condition, values_from = mean_social
)

cat("\nSocial Acceptability (means):\n")
```

Social Acceptability (means):

```
print(as.data.frame(social_wide), row.names = FALSE)
```

	group	Outgroup	Metaperception	Ingroup
Prolife	3.153558	2.152941	5.779026	
Prochoice	2.826389	2.323024	6.062500	

Fit the model

```
fit_social <- lm(social_avg ~ group * condition + ideology, data = data)
Anova(fit_social, type = 3)
```

Anova Table (Type III tests)

Response: social_avg

	Sum Sq	Df	F value	Pr(>F)
(Intercept)	192.99	1	84.4658	<2e-16 ***
group	0.59	1	0.2588	0.6111
condition	609.96	2	133.4815	<2e-16 ***
ideology	5.28	1	2.3127	0.1289
group:condition	10.16	2	2.2236	0.1092
Residuals	1245.23	545		

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```
eta_squared(Anova(fit_social, type = 3), partial = TRUE, ci = 0.95)
```

Type 3 ANOVAs only give sensible and informative results when covariates are mean-centered and factors are coded with orthogonal contrasts (such as those produced by ``contr.sum``, ``contr.poly``, or ``contr.helmert``, but *not* by the default ``contr.treatment``).

Effect Size for ANOVA (Type III)

Parameter	Eta2 (partial)	95% CI
-----------	----------------	--------

```
-----
group          |      4.75e-04 | [0.00, 1.00]
condition      |      0.33     | [0.28, 1.00]
ideology       |      4.23e-03 | [0.00, 1.00]
group:condition |      8.09e-03 | [0.00, 1.00]
```

- One-sided CIs: upper bound fixed at [1.00].

Planned contrasts - Estimated marginal means for the Group × Condition cells

```
emm_social <- emmeans(fit_social, ~ group * condition)

labs_s <- with(as.data.frame(emm_social), paste(group, condition, sep = "."))
make_s <- function(pos_names, neg_names, labels = labs_s) {
  w <- setNames(rep(0, length(labels)), labels)
  w[pos_names] <- w[pos_names] + 1
  w[neg_names] <- w[neg_names] - 1
  w
}
```

Ingroup vs Outgroup

```
h1_social <- contrast(
  emm_social,
  method = list(
    "Prolife Ingroup vs Prochoice Outgroup" = make_s("Prolife.Ingroup", "Prochoice.Outgroup"),
    "Prochoice Ingroup vs Prolife Outgroup" = make_s("Prochoice.Ingroup", "Prolife.Outgroup"),
  ),
  adjust = "none"
)

summary(h1_social, infer = c(TRUE, TRUE))
```

contrast	estimate	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Prolife Ingroup vs Prochoice Outgroup	2.74	0.263	545	2.22	3.26
Prochoice Ingroup vs Prolife Outgroup	3.10	0.256	545	2.60	3.61
t.ratio p.value	10.434	<.0001			

12.109 <.0001

Confidence level used: 0.95

```
eff_size(h1_social, sigma = sigma(fit_social), edf = df.residual(fit_social))
```

contrast

Prolife Ingroup vs Prochoice Outgroup - Prochoice Ingroup vs Prolife Outgroup

effect.size	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
-------------	----	----	----------	----------

-0.24	0.273	545	-0.776	0.297
-------	-------	-----	--------	-------

sigma used for effect sizes: 1.512

Confidence level used: 0.95

Outgroup vs Metaperception

```
h2_social <- contrast(
  emm_social,
  method = list(
    "Prolife Outgroup vs Prochoice Meta" = make_s("Prolife.Outgroup",
                                                    "Prochoice.Metaperception"),
    "Prochoice Outgroup vs Prolife Meta" = make_s("Prochoice.Outgroup",
                                                    "Prolife.Metaperception")
  ),
  adjust = "none"
)

summary(h2_social, infer = c(TRUE, TRUE))
```

contrast	estimate	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Prolife Outgroup vs Prochoice Meta	0.629	0.258	545	0.122	1.14
Prochoice Outgroup vs Prolife Meta	0.877	0.262	545	0.363	1.39
t.ratio	p.value				
2.436	0.0152				
3.348	0.0009				

Confidence level used: 0.95

```
eff_size(h2_social, sigma = sigma(fit_social), edf = df.residual(fit_social))
```

contrast

Prolife Outgroup vs Prochoice Meta - Prochoice Outgroup vs Prolife Meta

effect.size	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
-0.164	0.273	545	-0.701	0.373

sigma used for effect sizes: 1.512

Confidence level used: 0.95

Ideology-adjusted scores + violin plot

```
if (!is.numeric(data$ideology)) {  
  data <- data %>% mutate(ideology = suppressWarnings(as.numeric(ideology)))  
}  
  
fit_social <- lm(social_avg ~ ideology + group * condition, data = data)  
  
b_social <- coef(fit_social)[["ideology"]]  
xbar <- mean(data$ideology, na.rm = TRUE)  
  
data <- data %>%  
  mutate(  
    social_adj = social_avg - b_social * (ideology - xbar)  
  )  
  
plot_df_social <- data %>%  
  filter(!is.na(group), !is.na(condition)) %>%  
  mutate(  
    group_label = fct_recode(group, "Pro-life" = "Prolife", "Pro-choice" =  
      "Prochoice"),  
    condition_label = fct_recode(  
      condition,  
      "Outgroup Perception" = "Outgroup",  
      "Metaperception" = "Metaperception",  
      "Ingroup Perception" = "Ingroup"  
    ),  
    condition_label = factor(  
      condition_label,  
      levels = c("Ingroup Perception", "Outgroup Perception", "Metaperception")  
    )  
  )
```

```

    )
  ) %>%
  select(group_label, condition_label, social_adj)

sum_df_social <- plot_df_social %>%
  group_by(group_label, condition_label) %>%
  summarise(
    mean = mean(social_adj, na.rm = TRUE),
    sd    = sd(social_adj, na.rm = TRUE),
    n     = sum(!is.na(social_adj)),
    se    = sd / sqrt(n),
    .groups = "drop"
  )

print(sum_df_social)

```

```

# A tibble: 6 x 6
  group_label condition_label    mean    sd     n    se
  <fct>       <fct>          <dbl> <dbl> <int> <dbl>
1 Pro-life   Ingroup Perception    5.66  1.70   89 0.180
2 Pro-life   Outgroup Perception    3.05  1.72   89 0.182
3 Pro-life   Metaperception        2.05  1.23   85 0.134
4 Pro-choice Ingroup Perception    6.16  1.45   96 0.148
5 Pro-choice Outgroup Perception    2.92  1.29   96 0.132
6 Pro-choice Metaperception        2.42  1.60   97 0.163

```

```

ggplot(plot_df_social, aes(x = group_label, y = social_adj, fill = condition_label)) +
  geom_violin(position = position_dodge(width = 0.8), alpha = 0.7, width = 0.7, trim = TRUE)
  geom_point(
    data = sum_df_social,
    aes(x = group_label, y = mean, group = condition_label),
    position = position_dodge(width = 0.8),
    size = 2, color = "black", inherit.aes = FALSE
  ) +
  geom_errorbar(
    data = sum_df_social,
    aes(x = group_label, ymin = mean - se, ymax = mean + se, group = condition_label),
    width = 0.15,
    position = position_dodge(width = 0.8),
    inherit.aes = FALSE
  ) +

```



```

scale_fill_manual(
  values = c(
    "Ingroup Perception" = "#66c2a5",
    "Outgroup Perception" = "#8da0cb",
    "Metaperception" = "#fc8d62"
  ),
  name = "Condition"
) +
labs(
  title = "Social Acceptability Across Pro-life and Pro-choice Groups",
  subtitle = "Ideology-adjusted scores with means and SE bars",
  x = NULL, y = "Rating (scale units)"
) +
theme_minimal() +
theme(
  legend.position = "bottom",
  plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5, face = "bold", size = 14),
  plot.subtitle = element_text(hjust = 0.5, size = 12),
  panel.grid.major.x = element_blank(),
  panel.border = element_rect(fill = NA, color = "gray90"),
  axis.text = element_text(color = "black", size = 10)
) +
geom_hline(yintercept = 4, linetype = "dashed", color = "gray50", alpha = 0.5)

```

Social Acceptability Across Pro-life and Pro-choice Groups

Ideology-adjusted scores with means and SE bars

