

COMPACT SUBGROUPS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$

KEITH CONRAD

Theorem 1. *For any compact subgroup K of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$, there is a finite extension F/\mathbf{Q}_p such that $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.*

Proof. The argument we will give is due to W. Sinnott. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p$ be the integers of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$. For $r \geq 1$, the subgroup

$$G_r = I_n + p^r \mathrm{M}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$$

is open in G , so the intersection $K_r = K \cap G_r$ is an open subgroup of K . Any open subgroup of a compact group is closed with finite index, so K_r is compact and $[K : K_r]$ is finite. If some K_r is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for some finite extension F of \mathbf{Q}_p , then K itself lies in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F')$ where F' is the field generated over F by the matrix entries from the finitely many (say, left) coset representatives for K/K_r in K . The entries of any matrix in K are all algebraic over \mathbf{Q}_p , so F' is a finite extension field of F . This means $[F' : \mathbf{Q}_p]$ is finite and $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F')$, so we'd be done.

Assume, to the contrary, that no K_r is contained in any $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ where F/\mathbf{Q}_p is finite. We will recursively find positive integers $d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ and matrices $g_i \in K_{d_i}$ for each $i \geq 1$ such that

- (1) for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$, if $\sigma(g_i) \neq g_i$ then $\sigma(g_i) \not\equiv g_i \pmod{p^{d_{i+1}}}$, where the modulus here is really $p^{d_{i+1}} \mathrm{M}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p)$,
- (2) the field generated over \mathbf{Q}_p by the entries in g_i has degree at least i over \mathbf{Q}_p .

To start, choose $d_1 \geq 1$ and $g_1 \in K_{d_1}$ arbitrarily. The second condition is obvious for $i = 1$. Since g_1 has only finitely many Galois conjugates, we can choose $d_2 > d_1$ to make the first condition true for $i = 1$. Next, suppose g_1, \dots, g_j and d_1, \dots, d_{j+1} have been chosen to satisfy the above two conditions for $i = 1, \dots, j$. Then we can choose $g_{j+1} \in K_{d_{j+1}}$ to satisfy the second condition, and since g_{j+1} has only finitely many Galois conjugates we can choose $d_{j+2} > d_{j+1}$ to satisfy the first condition for $i = j + 1$.

We want to work with the infinite product $h := g_1 g_2 \dots$. To check it converges and to approximate it using partial products, we switch our focus to the subgroups G_{d_i} , which shrink to the identity in a controlled way through the powers of p defining them. Since $g_i \in G_{d_i} \subset K$, $d_i \rightarrow \infty$, and K is closed, the product $h := g_1 g_2 \dots$ converges in K . We are going to look at automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ which fix h . For any such σ ,

$$\sigma(g_1)\sigma(g_2)\dots = g_1 g_2 \dots$$

Suppose $\sigma(g_i) \neq g_i$ for some i . Let ℓ be the least such integer (it depends on σ). Then $\sigma(g_i) = g_i$ for all $i < \ell$, which means

$$\sigma(g_\ell)\sigma(g_{\ell+1})\cdots = g_\ell g_{\ell+1}\cdots.$$

For all $i > \ell$, $g_i \in G_{d_i} \subset G_{d_{\ell+1}}$ and $\sigma(g_i) \in G_{d_i} \subset G_{d_{\ell+1}}$, so reducing this equation modulo $p^{d_{\ell+1}}M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ implies $\sigma(g_\ell) \equiv g_\ell \pmod{p^{d_{\ell+1}}}$. Then the first condition above implies $\sigma(g_\ell) = g_\ell$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma(g_i) = g_i$ for all i . In other words, the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ fixing h fixes every entry of every g_i , and the second condition above implies the subgroup fixing h has a fixed field which is an infinite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . However, all the entries of h lie in a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p , so the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ fixing h has a fixed field which is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . We have reached a contradiction. \square

Remark 2. Replacing $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ by its completion \mathbf{C}_p , it is *false* that a general compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C}_p)$ is in $\text{GL}_n(F)$ for some finite extension F/\mathbf{Q}_p . For example, inside $\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{C}_p) = \mathbf{C}_p^\times$ we can pick $x \notin \mathbf{Q}_p$ where $|x - 1|_p < 1$ and take $K = x^{\mathbf{Z}_p}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is similar in spirit to one of the proofs [1, pp. 182–183], [2, p. 71] that $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ is not complete: consider an infinite series $\sum_{i \geq 0} c_i p^i$ where the c_i 's are in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$, $|c_i|_p = 1$, and $[\mathbf{Q}_p(c_i) : \mathbf{Q}_p] \rightarrow \infty$. By a suitable choice of c_i 's, if that infinite series converges in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ then a contradiction can be reached by comparing the series with a p -adic expansion of the limit. Turning things around, we can use the ideas in the proof of Theorem 1 to prove something about compact subgroups of the additive group $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$.

Corollary 3. *Any compact subgroup of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ is inside a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p .*

Proof. Repeat the proof of Theorem 1 for additive groups, *e.g.*, when K is a compact subgroup of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ the intersections $K_r = K \cap p^r \overline{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ are compact subgroups of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ with finite index in K and it suffices to show some K_r is in a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . Or, more quickly, embed $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ into $\text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p})$ as the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ so we can just appeal to Theorem 1 when $n = 2$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Q. Gouvea, “ p -adic Numbers: An Introduction,” 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] N. M. Koblitz, “ p -adic Numbers, p -adic Analysis, and Zeta-functions,” 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.