

# CONJUGATION IN A GROUP

KEITH CONRAD

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A reflection across one line in the plane is, geometrically, just like a reflection across any other line. That is, without thinking about the effect on any particular point but instead on what a reflection does overall to the plane, you should feel that two reflections exhibit the same effect. Similarly, two permutations of a set which are both transpositions (swapping two elements while fixing everything else) look the same except for the choice of the pairs getting moved. So all transpositions are pretty much alike in their effect. The concept which makes this notion of “same except for the point of view” precise is conjugacy.

In a group  $G$ , two elements  $g$  and  $h$  are called *conjugate* when

$$h = xgx^{-1}$$

for some  $x \in G$ . The relation is symmetric, since  $g = yhy^{-1}$  with  $y = x^{-1}$ . When  $h = xgx^{-1}$ , we say  $x$  conjugates  $g$  to  $h$ . (Warning: some people say  $x$  conjugates  $g$  to  $h$  to mean  $h = x^{-1}gx$  instead of  $h = xgx^{-1}$ .)

**Example 1.1.** In  $S_3$ , what are the conjugates of  $(12)$ ? We make a table of  $\sigma(12)\sigma^{-1}$  for all  $\sigma \in S_3$ .

$\sigma$	(1)	(12)	(13)	(23)	(123)	(132)
$\sigma(12)\sigma^{-1}$	(12)	(12)	(23)	(13)	(23)	(13)

The conjugates of  $(12)$  are  $(12)$ ,  $(13)$ , and  $(23)$ . Notice the redundancy in the table: each conjugate arises in two ways.

We will see in Theorem 4.3 that in  $S_n$  any two transpositions are conjugate. In the geometric example of reflections across lines in the plane, any two reflections turn out to be conjugate to each other within the group of all isometries of the plane. This is worked out in Appendix A.

It is useful to collect conjugate elements in a group together, to form conjugacy classes. We'll look at some examples of this in Section 2, and some properties in these examples will be proved for general groups in Section 3. Conjugate permutations in symmetric and alternating groups are described in Section 4. In Section 5 we will introduce special subgroups connected to conjugacy and use them to prove some results about finite  $p$ -groups, such as a classification of groups of order  $p^2$  and the existence of a normal (!) subgroup of every order dividing the order of the  $p$ -group.

## 2. CONJUGACY CLASSES: DEFINITION AND EXAMPLES

For an element  $g$  of a group  $G$ , its *conjugacy class* is the set of elements conjugate to it:

$$K_g = \{xgx^{-1} : x \in G\}.$$

**Example 2.1.** When  $G$  is abelian, each element is its own conjugacy class.

**Example 2.2.** The conjugacy class of  $(12)$  in  $S_3$  is  $\{(12), (13), (23)\}$ , as we saw in Example 1.1. Similarly, the reader can check the conjugacy class of  $(123)$  is  $\{(123), (132)\}$ . The conjugacy class of  $(1)$  is just  $\{(1)\}$ . So  $S_3$  has three conjugacy classes:

$$\{(1)\}, \{(12), (13), (23)\}, \{(123), (132)\}.$$

**Example 2.3.** In  $D_4 = \langle r, s \rangle$ , there are five conjugacy classes:

$$\{1\}, \{r^2\}, \{s, r^2s\}, \{r, r^3\}, \{rs, r^3s\}.$$

The geometric effect on a square of the members of a conjugacy class of  $D_4$  is the same: a 90 degree rotation in some direction, a reflection across a diagonal, or a reflection across an edge bisector.

**Example 2.4.** There are five conjugacy classes in  $Q_8$ :

$$\{1\}, \{-1\}, \{i, -i\}, \{j, -j\}, \{k, -k\}.$$

**Example 2.5.** There are four conjugacy classes in  $A_4$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(1)\}, \{(12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}, \\ &\{(123), (243), (134), (142)\}, \{(132), (234), (143), (124)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice 3-cycles are not all conjugate in  $A_4$ . They are all conjugate in the larger group  $S_4$ .

In these examples, different conjugacy classes in a group are disjoint. This will be proved in general in Section 3. Also, the sizes of different conjugacy classes in a single group vary, but these sizes all divide the size of the group. We will see in Section 5 why this is true in any group.

Conjugation can be extended from elements to subgroups. If  $H \subset G$  is a subgroup and  $g \in G$ , the set

$$gHg^{-1} = \{ghg^{-1} : h \in H\}$$

is a subgroup of  $G$ , called naturally enough a conjugate subgroup to  $H$ .

**Example 2.6.** While  $D_4$  has 5 conjugacy classes of elements, it has 8 conjugacy classes of subgroups: of the 10 subgroups of  $D_4$ , two pairs are conjugate: the subgroups  $\langle s \rangle$  and  $\langle r^2s \rangle$  are conjugate as are  $\langle rs \rangle$  and  $\langle r^3s \rangle$ . All other subgroups are conjugate only to themselves.

We will not discuss conjugate subgroups further here, but the concept is important. For instance, a subgroup is conjugate only to itself precisely when it is a normal subgroup.

### 3. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF CONJUGACY CLASSES

**Theorem 3.1.** *All elements of a conjugacy class have the same order in the group.*

*Proof.* This is just saying  $g$  and  $ngx^{-1}$  have the same order. That follows from the formula  $(ngx^{-1})^n = xg^nx^{-1}$ , which shows  $(ngx^{-1})^n = 1$  if and only if  $g^n = 1$  (check!).  $\square$

A naive converse to Theorem 3.1 is false: elements of the same order in a group usually are not conjugate. This is clear in abelian groups, where different elements are never conjugate. Looking at the nonabelian examples in Section 2, in  $D_4$  there are five elements of order two spread across 3 conjugacy classes. Similarly, there are examples of non-conjugate elements of equal order in  $Q_8$  and  $A_4$ . But in  $S_3$ , elements of equal order in  $S_3$  are conjugate. Amazingly, this is the largest example of a finite group where this property holds: up to isomorphism, the only nontrivial finite groups where all elements of equal order are conjugate are  $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$  and  $S_3$ . A proof is given in [1] and [2], and depends on a deep theorem about finite groups.

A conjugacy problem about  $S_3$  which remains open, as far as I know, is the conjecture that  $S_3$  is the only nontrivial finite group (up to isomorphism) in which different conjugacy classes always have different sizes.

Let's verify the observation in Section 2 that different conjugacy classes in a group are disjoint.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $g, h \in G$ . If the conjugacy classes of  $g$  and  $h$  overlap, then the conjugacy classes are equal.*

*Proof.* We need to show every element conjugate to  $g$  is also conjugate to  $h$ , and *vice versa*. Since the conjugacy classes overlap, we have  $xgx^{-1} = yhy^{-1}$  for some  $x$  and  $y$  in the group. Therefore

$$g = x^{-1}yhy^{-1}x = (x^{-1}y)h(x^{-1}y)^{-1},$$

so  $g$  is conjugate to  $h$ . Any element conjugate to  $g$  is  $zgz^{-1}$  for some  $z \in G$ , and

$$zgz^{-1} = z(x^{-1}y)h(x^{-1}y)^{-1}z^{-1} = (zx^{-1}y)h(zx^{-1}y)^{-1},$$

which shows any element conjugate to  $g$  is conjugate to  $h$ . To go the other way, write  $h = (y^{-1}x)g(y^{-1}x)^{-1}$  and carry out a similar calculation.  $\square$

We call any element of a conjugacy class a *representative* of that class. Each element of a group is a representative of one conjugacy class, by Theorem 3.2.

A conjugacy class consists of all  $xgx^{-1}$  for fixed  $g$  and varying  $x$ . Instead we can look at all  $xgx^{-1}$  for fixed  $x$  and varying  $g$ . That is, instead of looking at all the elements conjugate to  $g$  we look at all the ways  $x$  can conjugate elements. This “conjugate-by- $x$ ” function is denoted  $\gamma_x: G \rightarrow G$ , so  $\gamma_x(g) = xgx^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Each conjugation function  $\gamma_x: G \rightarrow G$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $g$  and  $h$  in  $G$ ,

$$\gamma_x(g)\gamma_x(h) = xgx^{-1}xhx^{-1} = xghx^{-1} = \gamma_x(gh),$$

so  $\gamma_x$  is a homomorphism. Since  $h = xgx^{-1}$  if and only if  $g = x^{-1}hx$ , the function  $\gamma_x$  has inverse  $\gamma_{x^{-1}}$ , so  $\gamma_x$  is an automorphism of  $G$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.3 explains why conjugate elements in a group are “the same except for the point of view”: there is an automorphism of the group taking an element to any of its conjugates, namely one of the maps  $\gamma_x$ .

Not every automorphism of a group has to be a conjugation function  $\gamma_x$ , but for some groups these do account for all of its automorphisms. Examples include  $S_n$  for  $n \neq 2, 6$ .

Automorphisms of  $G$  having the form  $\gamma_x$  are called *inner automorphisms*. That is, an inner automorphism is just a conjugation-by- $x$  operation on the group, for some  $x$ . Inner automorphisms are about the only examples of automorphisms that can be written down without having extra information about the group (such as being told the group is abelian or a particular matrix group). Here is a simple result where the inner automorphisms are enough to tell us something about all automorphisms of a group.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $G$  is a group with trivial center, then the group  $\text{Aut}(G)$  also has trivial center.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and assume  $\varphi$  commutes with all other automorphisms. We will see what it means for  $\varphi$  to commute with an inner automorphism  $\gamma_x$ . For  $g \in G$ ,

$$(\varphi \circ \gamma_x)(g) = \varphi(\gamma_x(g)) = \varphi(xgx^{-1}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(g)\varphi(x)^{-1}$$

and

$$(\gamma_x \circ \varphi)(g) = \gamma_x(\varphi(g)) = x\varphi(g)x^{-1},$$

so having  $\varphi$  and  $\gamma_x$  commute means, for all  $g \in G$ , that

$$\varphi(x)\varphi(g)\varphi(x)^{-1} = x\varphi(g)x^{-1} \iff x^{-1}\varphi(x)\varphi(g) = \varphi(g)x^{-1}\varphi(x),$$

so  $x^{-1}\varphi(x)$  commutes with every value of  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is onto,  $x^{-1}\varphi(x) \in Z(G)$ . The center of  $G$  is trivial, so  $\varphi(x) = x$ . This holds for all  $x \in G$ , so  $\varphi$  is the identity automorphism. We have proved the center of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is trivial.  $\square$

#### 4. CONJUGACY CLASSES IN $S_n$ AND $A_n$

The following tables list a representative from each conjugacy class in symmetric and alternating groups in degrees 3 through 6, along with the size of the conjugacy classes. Conjugacy classes disjointly cover a group, by Theorem 3.2, so the conjugacy class sizes add up to  $n!$  for  $S_n$  and  $n!/2$  for  $A_n$ .

	$S_3$			$A_3$		
Rep.	(1)	(123)	(12)	(1)	(123)	(132)
Size	1	2	3	1	1	1

	$S_4$					$A_4$			
Rep.	(1)	(12)(34)	(12)	(1234)	(123)	(1)	(12)(34)	(123)	(132)
Size	1	3	6	6	8	1	3	4	4

	$S_5$						
Rep.	(1)	(12)	(12)(34)	(123)	(12)(345)	(12345)	(1234)
Size	1	10	15	20	20	24	30

	$A_5$				
Rep.	(1)	(12345)	(21345)	(12)(34)	(123)
Size	1	12	12	15	20

	$S_6$					
Rep.	(1)	(12)	(12)(34)(56)	(123)	(123)(456)	(12)(34)
Size	1	15	15	40	40	45
Rep.	(1234)	(12)(3456)	(123456)	(12)(345)	(12345)	
Size	90	90	120	120	144	

	$A_6$						
Rep.	(1)	(123)	(123)(456)	(12)(34)	(12345)	(23456)	(1234)(56)
Size	1	40	40	45	72	72	90

Notice elements of  $A_n$  can be conjugate in  $S_n$  while *not* being conjugate in  $A_n$ , such as (123) and (132) for  $n = 3$  and  $n = 4$ . What is happening is that the permutations in  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  that conjugate (123) to (132) are not even, so (123) and (132) are not conjugates in  $A_3$  or  $A_4$ .

As a first step in understanding conjugacy classes in  $S_n$ , we compute the conjugates of a cycle, say a  $k$ -cycle. Let  $(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k)$  be a  $k$ -cycle in  $S_n$ . Then the conjugate of this cycle by any  $\sigma \in S_n$  is another  $k$ -cycle:

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k) \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(i_1) \sigma(i_2) \dots \sigma(i_k))$$

**Example 4.1.** In  $S_5$ , let  $\sigma = (13)(254)$ . Check

$$\sigma(1432) \sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(1) \sigma(4) \sigma(3) \sigma(2)) = (3215).$$

To verify (4.1) in general, we will check both sides have the same effect on all integers from 1 to  $n$ . (Permutations are equal when they have the same values at the same points.)

For an integer from 1 to  $n$  of the form  $\sigma(i_r)$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , the left side of (4.1) sends  $\sigma(i_r)$  to  $\sigma(i_{r+1})$ , which is what happens on the right side of (4.1) as well. (View the subscripts as integers modulo  $k$ , so  $i_{k+1} = i_1$ .) For an integer  $\ell$  from 1 to  $n$  which is *not* among  $\{\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_k)\}$ , it is not part of the cycle on the right side of (4.1), so the right side fixes  $\ell$ . What does the left side of (4.1) do to  $\ell$ ? The first permutation sends it to  $\sigma^{-1}(\ell)$ . This number is not among  $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , so the middle permutation on the left side (4.1) fixes  $\sigma^{-1}(\ell)$ , and then applying  $\sigma$  again recovers  $\ell$ . Thus the left side of (4.1) fixes  $\ell$ , just like the right side.

**Example 4.2.** In  $S_7$ , let  $\sigma = (13)(265)$ . Then

$$\sigma(73521) \sigma^{-1} = (71263)$$

since  $\sigma(7) = 7$ ,  $\sigma(3) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(5) = 2$ ,  $\sigma(2) = 6$ , and  $\sigma(1) = 3$ .

We now know that the conjugacy class of a cycle contains only other cycles of the same length. Does it contain all of them? Yes.

**Theorem 4.3.** *All cycles of the same length in  $S_n$  are conjugate.*

*Proof.* Pick two  $k$ -cycles, say

$$(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k), \quad (b_1 b_2 \dots b_k).$$

Choose  $\sigma \in S_n$  so that  $\sigma(a_1) = b_1, \dots, \sigma(a_k) = b_k$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary bijection from the complement of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  to the complement of  $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ . Then, using (4.1), we see conjugation by  $\sigma$  carries the first  $k$ -cycle to the second.  $\square$

For instance, the transpositions (2-cycles) in  $S_n$  form a single conjugacy class, as we saw in the introduction.

Now we consider the conjugacy class of an arbitrary permutation in  $S_n$ , not necessarily a cycle. It will be convenient to introduce some terminology. Writing a permutation as a product of disjoint cycles, arrange the lengths of those cycles in increasing order, including 1-cycles if there are any fixed points. These lengths are called the *type* of the permutation. For instance, in  $S_7$  the permutation  $(12)(34)(567)$  is said to have type  $(2, 2, 3)$ . When discussing the type of a permutation, we include fixed points as 1-cycles. For instance,  $(12)(35)$  in  $S_5$  is  $(4)(12)(35)$  and has type  $(1, 2, 2)$ . If we view  $(12)(35)$  in  $S_6$  then it is  $(4)(6)(12)(35)$  and has type  $(1, 1, 2, 2)$ .

The type of a permutation in  $S_n$  is just a set of positive integers which add up to  $n$ , which is called a *partition* of  $n$ . There are 7 partitions of 5:

$$5, \quad 1 + 4, \quad 2 + 3, \quad 1 + 1 + 3, \quad 1 + 2 + 2, \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 2, \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.$$

Thus, the permutations of  $S_5$  have 7 types. Knowing the type of a permutation tells us its disjoint cycle structure except for how the particular numbers fall into the cycles. This is exactly the level of detail which conjugacy measures in  $S_n$ , as we will see in Theorem 4.5.

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are disjoint permutations in  $S_n$ , then  $\sigma\pi_1\sigma^{-1}$  and  $\sigma\pi_2\sigma^{-1}$  are disjoint permutations for any  $\sigma \in S_n$ .*

*Proof.* Being disjoint means no number is moved by both  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ . That is, there is no  $i$  such that  $\pi_1(i) \neq i$  and  $\pi_2(i) \neq i$ . If  $\sigma\pi_1\sigma^{-1}$  and  $\sigma\pi_2\sigma^{-1}$  are not disjoint, then they both move some number, say  $j$ . Then  $\sigma^{-1}(j)$  is moved by both  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Two permutations in  $S_n$  are conjugate if and only if they have the same type.*

*Proof.* Pick  $\pi \in S_n$ . Write  $\pi$  as a product of disjoint cycles. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 4.4,  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  will be a product of the  $\sigma$ -conjugates of the disjoint cycles for  $\pi$ , and these  $\sigma$ -conjugates are disjoint cycles too. Therefore  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  has the same disjoint cycle structure (equivalently, the same type) as  $\pi$ .

For the converse direction, we need to explain why permutations  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  with the same type (equivalently, the same disjoint cycle structure) are conjugate. Suppose the type is  $(m_1, m_2, \dots)$ . Then

$$\pi_1 = \underbrace{(a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_{m_1})}_{m_1 \text{ terms}} \underbrace{(a_{m_1+1} \ a_{m_1+2} \ \dots \ a_{m_1+m_2})}_{m_2 \text{ terms}} \cdots$$

and

$$\pi_2 = \underbrace{(b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_{m_1})}_{m_1 \text{ terms}} \underbrace{(b_{m_1+1} \ b_{m_1+2} \ \dots \ b_{m_1+m_2})}_{m_2 \text{ terms}} \cdots$$

To carry  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$  by conjugation in  $S_n$ , define the permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  by:  $\sigma(a_i) = b_i$ . Then  $\sigma\pi_1\sigma^{-1} = \pi_2$  by Theorems 3.3 and 4.3.  $\square$

**Example 4.6.** We consider two permutations in  $S_5$  of type  $(2, 3)$ :

$$\pi_1 = (24)(153), \quad \pi_2 = (13)(425).$$

To conjugate  $\pi_1$  to  $\pi_2$ , let  $\sigma(2) = 1$ ,  $\sigma(4) = 3$ ,  $\sigma(1) = 4$ ,  $\sigma(5) = 2$ , and  $\sigma(3) = 5$ . Then  $\sigma\pi_1\sigma^{-1} = \pi_2$ .

Since the conjugacy class of a permutation in  $S_n$  is determined by its type, which is a certain partition of  $n$ , the number of conjugacy classes in  $S_n$  is the number of partitions of  $n$ . The number of partitions of  $n$  is denoted  $p(n)$ . Here is a table of some values. Check the numbers at the start of the table for  $n \leq 6$  agree with the number of conjugacy classes listed earlier in this section.

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$p(n)$	1	2	3	5	7	11	15	22	30	42	56	77	101	135

The function  $p(n)$  grows quickly, *e.g.*,  $p(100) = 190,569,292$ .

Let's look at conjugacy classes in  $A_n$ . If  $\pi$  is an even permutation, then  $\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}$  is also even, so a conjugacy class in  $S_n$  that contains one even permutation contains only even permutations. However, two permutations in  $A_n$  can have the same type (and thus be

conjugate in  $S_n$ ) while being non-conjugate in  $A_n$ . For example, if  $\pi$  is any 3-cycle in  $A_4$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\pi^{-1}$  are conjugate in  $S_4$  but they are not conjugate in  $A_4$ .

While it would be nice if conjugacy classes in  $A_n$  are determined by type as in  $S_n$ , we have seen that this is false: (123) and (132) are not conjugate in  $A_3$  (and  $A_4$ ). How does a conjugacy class of even permutations in  $S_n$  break up when thinking about conjugacy classes in  $A_n$ ? There are two possibilities: the conjugacy class stays as a single conjugacy class within  $A_n$  or it breaks up into two conjugacy classes of equal size in  $A_n$ . A glance at the earlier tables of conjugacy classes in  $A_n$  with small  $n$  shows this happening. For instance,

- there is one class of 8 3-cycles in  $S_4$ , but two classes of 4 3-cycles in  $A_4$ ,
- there is one class of 24 5-cycles in  $S_5$ , but two classes of 12 5-cycles in  $A_5$ ,
- there is one class of 144 5-cycles in  $S_6$ , but two classes of 72 5-cycles in  $A_6$ .

A rule which describes when each possibility occurs is as follows, but a proof is omitted.

**Theorem 4.7.** *For  $\pi \in A_n$ , its conjugacy class in  $S_n$  remains as a single conjugacy class in  $A_n$  or it breaks into two conjugacy classes in  $A_n$  of equal size. The conjugacy class breaks up if and only if the type of  $\pi$  involves distinct odd numbers. Otherwise, the conjugacy class of  $\pi$  in  $S_n$*

Here is a table showing the permutation types in  $A_n$  which fall into two conjugacy classes for  $3 \leq n \leq 14$ . For example, the permutations in  $A_6$  of type (1, 5) but *not* (3, 3) fall into two conjugacy classes and the permutations in  $A_8$  of type (1, 7) and (3, 5) each fall into two conjugacy classes.

$n$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Type	(3)	(1,3)	(5)	(1,5)	(7)	(1,7)	(9)	(1,9)	(11)	(1,11)	(13)	(1,13)
						(3,5)	(1,3,5)	(3,7)	(1,3,7)	(3,9)	(1,3,9)	(3,11)
										(5,7)	(1,5,7)	(5,9)

The following table lists the number  $c(n)$  (nonstandard notation) of conjugacy classes in  $A_n$  for small  $n$ .

$n$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$c(n)$	1	1	3	4	5	7	9	14	18	24	31	43	55	72

## 5. CENTRALIZERS AND THE CLASS EQUATION

We saw in Theorem 3.2 that different conjugacy classes do not overlap. Thus, they provide a way of covering the group by disjoint sets. This is analogous to the left cosets of a subgroup providing a disjoint covering of the group. If the different conjugacy classes are  $K_{g_1}, K_{g_2}, \dots, K_{g_r}$ , then

$$(5.1) \quad \#G = \#K_{g_1} + \#K_{g_2} + \dots + \#K_{g_r}.$$

Equation (5.1) plays the role for conjugacy classes in  $G$  that the formula  $\#G = (\#H)[G : H]$  plays for cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

Let's see how (5.1) looks for some groups from Section 2.

**Example 5.1.** For  $G = S_3$ , (5.1) says

$$6 = 1 + 2 + 3.$$

**Example 5.2.** For  $G = D_4$ ,

$$8 = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2.$$

**Example 5.3.** For  $G = Q_8$ ,

$$8 = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2.$$

**Example 5.4.** For  $G = A_4$ ,

$$12 = 1 + 3 + 4 + 4.$$

The reason (5.1) is important is that each number  $\#K_{g_i}$  divides the size of the group. We saw this earlier in examples. Now we will prove it in general.

**Theorem 5.5.** *If  $G$  is a finite group then each conjugacy class in  $G$  has size dividing  $\#G$ .*

Theorem 5.5 is not an immediate consequence of Lagrange's theorem, because conjugacy classes are *not* subgroups. For example, no conjugacy class contains the identity except for the one-element conjugacy class containing the identity by itself. However, while a conjugacy class is not a subgroup, its size does equal the *index* of a subgroup, and that will explain why its size divides the size of the group.

**Definition 5.6.** For a group  $G$ , its *center*  $Z(G)$  is the set of elements of  $G$  commuting with everything:

$$Z(G) = \{g \in G : gx = xg \text{ for all } x \in G\}.$$

For  $g \in G$ , its *centralizer*  $Z(g)$  is the set of elements of  $G$  commuting with  $g$ :

$$Z(g) = \{x \in G : xg = gx\}.$$

The notation  $Z$  comes from German: center is Zentrum and centralizer is Zentralisator. Some English language books use the letter  $C$ , so  $C(G) = Z(G)$  and  $C(g) = Z(g)$ . The center of the group and the centralizer of each element of the group are subgroups. The connection between them is the center is the intersection of all the centralizers:  $Z(G) = \bigcap_{g \in G} Z(g)$ .

**Theorem 5.7.** *For  $g \in G$ , its conjugacy class has the same size as the index of its centralizer:*

$$\#\{xgx^{-1} : x \in G\} = [G : Z(g)].$$

*Proof.* Consider the function  $f: G \rightarrow K_g$  where  $f(x) = xgx^{-1}$ . This function is onto, since by definition every element of  $K_g$  is  $xgx^{-1}$  for some  $x \in G$ . We will now show  $f$  takes on each value in  $K_g$  the same number of times.

We have  $xgx^{-1} = x'gx'^{-1}$  if and only if

$$gx^{-1}x' = x^{-1}x'g.$$

Therefore  $x^{-1}x'$  commutes with  $g$ , i.e.,  $x^{-1}x' \in Z(g)$ , so  $x' \in xZ(g)$ . Although  $x$  and  $x'$  may be different, they lie in the same left coset of  $Z(g)$ :

$$(5.2) \quad f(x) = f(x') \implies xZ(g) = x'Z(g).$$

What about the converse direction? Suppose  $xZ(g) = x'Z(g)$ . Then  $x = x'z$  for some  $z \in Z(g)$ , so  $zg = gz$ . Therefore  $x$  and  $x'$  conjugate  $g$  in the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= xgx^{-1} \\ &= (x'z)g(x'z)^{-1} \\ &= x'zg z^{-1}x'^{-1} \\ &= x'gz z^{-1}x'^{-1} \\ &= x'gx'^{-1} \\ &= f(x'). \end{aligned}$$



Since we have shown that the converse of (5.2) is true,  $f: G \rightarrow K_g$  takes on each value an equal number of times, since the left cosets of  $Z(g)$  have common size  $\#Z(g)$ . Therefore  $\#K_g = \#G/\#Z(g) = [G : Z(g)]$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 5.5.

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.7, the size of the conjugacy class of  $g$  is the index  $[G : Z(g)]$ , which divides  $\#G$ .  $\square$

Returning to (5.1), we rewrite it in the form

$$(5.3) \quad \#G = \sum_{i=1}^r [G : Z(g_i)] = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\#G}{\#Z(g_i)}.$$

The conjugacy classes of size 1 are exactly those containing elements of the center of  $G$  (i.e., those  $g_i$  such that  $Z(g_i) = G$ ). Combining all of these 1's into a single term, we get

$$(5.4) \quad \#G = \#Z(G) + \sum_{i'} \frac{\#G}{\#Z(g_{i'})},$$

where the sum is now carried out only over those conjugacy classes  $K_{g_{i'}}$  with more than one element. In the terms of this sum,  $\#Z(g_{i'}) < \#G$ . Equation (5.4) is called the *class equation*. The difference between the class equation and (5.1) is that we have combined the terms contributing to the center of  $G$  into a single term.

Here is a good application of the class equation.

**Theorem 5.8.** *When  $G$  is a nontrivial finite  $p$ -group it has a nontrivial center: some element of  $G$  other than the identity commutes with every element of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\#G = p^n$ , where  $n > 0$ . Consider a term  $[G : Z(g_{i'})]$  in the class equation, where  $g_{i'}$  does not lie in  $Z(G)$ . Then  $Z(g_{i'}) \neq G$ , so the index  $[G : Z(g_{i'})]$  is a factor of  $\#G$  other than 1. It is one of  $\{p, p^2, \dots, p^n\}$ , and hence is *divisible by  $p$* . In the class equation, all terms in the sum over  $i'$  are multiples of  $p$ .

Also, the left side of the class equation is a multiple of  $p$ , since  $\#G = p^n$ . So the class equation forces  $p \mid \#Z(G)$ . Since the center contains the identity, and has size divisible by  $p$ , it must contain non-identity elements as well.  $\square$

With a little extra work we can generalize Theorem 5.8.

**Theorem 5.9.** *If  $G$  is a nontrivial finite  $p$ -group and  $N$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$  then  $N \cap Z(G) \neq \{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , any conjugacy class in  $G$  which meets  $N$  lies entirely inside of  $N$  (that is, if  $g \in N$  then  $xgx^{-1} \in N$  for any  $x \in G$ ). Let  $K_{g_1}, \dots, K_{g_s}$  be the different conjugacy classes of  $G$  that lie inside  $N$ , so

$$(5.5) \quad \#N = \#K_{g_1} + \dots + \#K_{g_s}.$$

(Note that elements of  $N$  can be conjugate in  $G$  without being conjugate in  $N$ , so breaking up  $N$  into its  $G$ -conjugacy classes in (5.5) is a coarser partitioning of  $N$  than breaking it into  $N$ -conjugacy classes.) The left side of (5.5) is a power of  $p$  greater than 1. Each term on the right side is a conjugacy class in  $G$ , so  $\#K_{g_i} = [G : Z(g_i)]$ , where  $Z(g_i)$  is the centralizer of  $g_i$  in  $G$ . This index is a power of  $p$  greater than 1 except when  $g_i \in Z(G)$ , in which case

$\#K_{g_i} = 1$ . The  $g_i$ 's in  $N$  with  $\#K_{g_i} = 1$  are elements of  $N \cap Z(G)$ . Therefore if we reduce (5.5) modulo  $p$  we get

$$0 \equiv \#(N \cap Z(G)) \pmod{p},$$

so  $\#(N \cap Z(G))$  is divisible by  $p$ . Since  $\#(N \cap Z(G)) \geq 1$  the intersection  $N \cap Z(G)$  contains a non-identity term.  $\square$

**Remark 5.10.** The finiteness assumption in Theorem 5.8 is important. There are infinite  $p$ -groups with trivial center! Here is an example. Consider the set of infinite square matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$  which look like an identity matrix except for a finite upper left piece:  $\begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix}$ . We insist that the finite square matrix  $M$  is invertible. (Another way to think about this construction is to view  $n \times n$  matrices  $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$  as  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrices in  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{Z}/(p))$  having the form  $\begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and take a “limit” of this construction as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .)

Let  $G$  be the set of such infinite matrices where  $M$  is strictly upper triangular (that is,  $M$  has 1's on the main diagonal and 0's below the main diagonal). This is an infinite group. Finite-sized strictly upper triangular matrices over  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$  have  $p$ -power order, so every element of  $G$  has  $p$ -power order. Thus  $G$  is an “infinite  $p$ -group.” At the same time, the center of  $G$  is trivial. To see why, a non-identity element of  $G$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $M$  is  $n \times n$  for some  $n$  and  $M \neq I_n$ . We have the following equations in  $2n \times 2n$  matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & I_n \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M & M \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & I_n \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M & I_n \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are not equal since  $M \neq I_n$ . Now embed the  $2n \times 2n$  matrices  $A = \begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & I_n \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}$  in  $G$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix}$ . These do not commute. Note  $\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix}$  in  $G$ , so  $\begin{pmatrix} M & O \\ O & I_\infty \end{pmatrix} \notin Z(G)$ .

The following corollary is the standard first application of Theorem 5.8.

**Corollary 5.11.** *For any prime  $p$ , every group of order  $p^2$  is abelian. More precisely, a group of order  $p^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/(p^2)$  or to  $\mathbf{Z}/(p) \times \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be such a group. By Lagrange, the order of any non-identity element is 1,  $p$ , or  $p^2$ .

If there is an element of  $G$  with order  $p^2$ , then  $G$  is cyclic and therefore isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/(p^2)$  (in many ways). We may henceforth assume  $G$  has no element of order  $p^2$ . That means any non-identity element of  $G$  has order  $p$ .

From Theorem 5.8, there is a non-identity element in the center of  $G$ . Call it  $a$ . Since  $a$  has order  $p$ ,  $\langle a \rangle$  is not all of  $G$ . Choose  $b \in G - \langle a \rangle$ . Then  $b$  also has order  $p$ . We are going to show powers of  $a$  and powers of  $b$  provide an isomorphism of  $G$  with  $\mathbf{Z}/(p) \times \mathbf{Z}/(p)$ . Let  $f: \mathbf{Z}/(p) \times \mathbf{Z}/(p) \rightarrow G$  by

$$f(i, j) = a^i b^j.$$

This is well-defined since  $a$  and  $b$  have order  $p$ . It is a homomorphism since powers of  $a$  are in the center:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(i, j)f(i', j') &= (a^i b^j)(a^{i'} b^{j'}) \\
 &= a^i a^{i'} b^j b^{j'} \\
 &= a^{i+i'} b^{j+j'} \\
 &= f(i+i', j+j') \\
 &= f((i, j) + (i', j')).
 \end{aligned}$$

The kernel is trivial: if  $f(i, j) = e$  then  $a^i = b^{-j}$ . This is a common element of  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle$ , which is trivial. Therefore  $a^i = b^j = e$ , so  $i = j = 0$  in  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ .

Since  $f$  has trivial kernel it is injective. The domain and target have the same size, so  $f$  is surjective and thus is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.12.** *A finite  $p$ -group  $\neq \{e\}$  has a normal subgroup of order  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group with  $\#G > 1$ . By Theorem 5.8,  $Z(G)$  is a nontrivial  $p$ -group. Pick  $g \in Z(G)$  with  $g \neq e$ . The order of  $g$  is  $p^r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Therefore  $g^{p^{r-1}}$  has order  $p$ , so  $Z(G)$  contains a subgroup of order  $p$ , which must be normal in  $G$  since every subgroup of  $Z(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

We can bootstrap Corollary 5.12 to non-prime sizes by inducting on a stronger hypothesis.

**Corollary 5.13.** *If  $G$  is a nontrivial finite  $p$ -group with size  $p^n$  then there is a normal subgroup of size  $p^j$  for every  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $n$ . The result is clear if  $n = 1$ . Suppose  $n \geq 2$  and the theorem is true for  $p$ -groups of size  $p^{n-1}$ . If  $\#G = p^n$  then it has a normal subgroup  $N$  of size  $p$  by the preceding corollary. Then  $\#(G/N) = p^{n-1}$ , so for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  there is a normal subgroup of  $G/N$  with size  $p^j$ . The pullback of this subgroup to  $G$  is normal and has size  $p^j \cdot \#N = p^{j+1}$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.14.** Let  $G = D_4$ . Its subgroups of size 2 are  $\langle s \rangle$ ,  $\langle rs \rangle$ ,  $\langle r^2 s \rangle$ ,  $\langle r^3 s \rangle$ , and  $\langle r^2 \rangle$ . The last one is normal. The subgroups of size 4 are  $\langle r \rangle$  and  $\langle r^2, s \rangle$ . Both are normal.

## APPENDIX A. CONJUGACY IN PLANE GEOMETRY

We will show that all reflections in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  are conjugate to reflection across the  $x$ -axis in an appropriate group of transformations of the plane.

**Definition A.1.** An *isometry* of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is a function  $f: \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  which preserves distances: for any points  $P$  and  $Q$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , the distance between  $f(P)$  and  $f(Q)$  is the same as the distance between  $P$  and  $Q$ .

Isometries include: reflections, rotations, and translations. Isometries are invertible (this requires proof, or include it in the definition if you want to be lazy about it), and under composition isometries form a group.

There are two ways to describe points of the plane algebraically, using vectors or complex numbers. We will work points as complex numbers. The point  $(a, b)$  is considered as the complex number  $a + bi$ . We measure the distance to  $a + bi$  from 0 with the absolute value

$$|a + bi| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2},$$

and the distance between  $a + bi$  and  $c + di$  is the absolute value of their difference:

$$|(a + bi) - (c + di)| = \sqrt{(a - c)^2 + (b - d)^2}.$$

To each complex number  $z = a + bi$ , we have its complex conjugate  $\bar{z} = a - bi$ . By an explicit calculation, complex conjugation respects sums and products:

$$\overline{z + z'} = \bar{z} + \bar{z'}, \quad \overline{zz'} = \bar{z}\bar{z'}.$$

Two important algebraic properties of the absolute value on  $\mathbf{C}$  are its behavior on products and on complex conjugates:

$$|zz'| = |z||z'|, \quad |\bar{z}| = |z|.$$

In particular, if  $|w| = 1$  then  $|wz| = |z|$ .

An example of a reflection across a line in the plane is complex conjugation:

$$s(z) = \bar{z}.$$

This is reflection across the  $x$ -axis. It preserves distance:

$$|s(z) - s(z')| = |\bar{z} - \bar{z'}| = |\overline{z - z'}| = |z - z'|.$$

We will compare this reflection with the reflection across any other line, first treating other lines through the origin and then treating lines which may not pass through the origin.

Pick a line through the origin which makes an angle, say  $\theta$ , with respect to the positive  $x$ -axis. We can rotate the  $x$ -axis onto that line by rotating the  $x$ -axis counterclockwise around the origin through an angle of  $\theta$ . A rotation around the origin, in terms of complex numbers, is multiplication by the number  $\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ , which has absolute value 1. Let's denote counterclockwise rotation around the origin by  $\theta$  by  $r_\theta$ :

$$(A.1) \quad r_\theta(z) = (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)z, \quad |\cos \theta + i \sin \theta| = 1.$$

Every rotation  $r_\theta$  preserves distances:

$$|r_\theta(z) - r_\theta(z')| = |(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)(z - z')| = |(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)||z - z'| = |z - z'|.$$

Composing rotations around the origin amounts to adding angles:  $r_\theta \circ r_\varphi = r_{\theta+\varphi}$ . In particular,  $r_\theta^{-1} = r_{-\theta}$  since  $r_\theta \circ r_{-\theta} = r_0$ , which is the identity ( $r_0(z) = z$ ).

Now let's think about some reflections besides complex conjugation. Let  $s_\theta$  be the reflection across the line through the origin making an angle of  $\theta$  with the positive  $x$ -axis. (In particular, complex conjugation is  $s_0$ .) Draw some pictures to convince yourself visually the reflection  $s_\theta$  is the composite of

- rotation of the plane by an angle of  $-\theta$  to bring the line of reflection onto the  $x$ -axis,
- reflection across the  $x$ -axis,
- rotation of the plane by  $\theta$  to return the line to its original position.

This says

$$(A.2) \quad s_\theta = r_\theta s r_{-\theta} = r_\theta s r_\theta^{-1}.$$

So we see, in this algebraic formula, that a reflection across any line through the origin is *conjugate*, in the group of isometries of the plane, to reflection across the  $x$ -axis. The conjugating isometry is the rotation  $r_\theta$  which takes the line through the origin at angle  $\theta$  to the  $x$ -axis.

In order to compare complex conjugation to reflection across an arbitrary line, which need not pass through the origin, we bring in additional isometries: translations. A translation

in the plane can be viewed as adding a particular complex number, say  $w$ , to every complex number:  $t_w(z) = z + w$ . This is an isometry since

$$|t_w(z) - t_w(z')| = |(z + w) - (z' + w)| = |z - z'|.$$

Note  $t_w \circ t_{w'} = t_{w+w'}$ , and the inverse of  $t_w$  is  $t_{-w}$ :  $t_w^{-1} = t_{-w}$ .

In order to describe reflection across an arbitrary line in terms of complex conjugation, we need to describe an arbitrary line. A line makes a definite angle with respect to the positive  $x$ -direction (how far it tilts). Call that angle  $\theta$ . Now pick a point on the line. Call it, say,  $w$ . Our line is the only line in the plane which passes through  $w$  at an angle of  $\theta$  relative to the positive  $x$ -direction.

We can carry out reflection across this line in terms of reflection across the line parallel line to it through the origin by using translations, in 3 steps:

- translate *back* by  $w$  (that is, apply  $t_{-w}$ ) to carry the original line to a line through the origin at the same angle  $\theta$ ,
- reflect across this line through the origin (apply  $s_\theta$ ),
- translate by  $w$  to return the line to its original position (apply  $t_w$ ).

Putting this all together, with (A.2), reflection across the line through  $w$  which makes an angle of  $\theta$  with the positive  $x$ -direction is the composite

$$(A.3) \quad t_w s_\theta t_{-w} = t_w (r_\theta s r_\theta^{-1}) t_w^{-1} = t_w r_\theta s (t_w r_\theta)^{-1}.$$

This is a *conjugate* of complex conjugation  $s$  in the group of isometries in the plane.

Let's summarize what we have shown.

**Theorem A.2.** *In the group of isometries of the plane, reflection across any line is conjugate to reflection across the  $x$ -axis.*

**Example A.3.** Reflection across the horizontal line  $y = b$  corresponds to  $\theta = 0$  and  $w = bi$ . That is, this reflection is  $t_{bi} s t_{-bi}$ : translate down by  $b$ , reflect across the  $x$ -axis, and then translate up by  $b$ .

## APPENDIX B. BOUNDING SIZE BY NUMBER OF CONJUGACY CLASSES

Obviously there are only a finite number of groups, up to isomorphism, with a given size. What might be more surprising is that there is also a finite number of groups, up to isomorphism, with a given number of conjugacy classes.

**Theorem B.1.** *The size of a finite group can be bounded above from knowing the number of its conjugacy classes.*

*Proof.* When there is only one conjugacy class, the group is trivial. Now fix a positive integer  $k > 1$  and let  $G$  be a finite group with  $k$  conjugacy classes represented by  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  (this includes  $g_i$ 's in the center). We exploit the class equation, written as

$$(B.1) \quad \#G = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\#G}{\#Z(g_i)}.$$

Dividing (B.1) by  $\#G$ ,

Dividing by  $\#G$ ,

$$(B.2) \quad 1 = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n_k},$$

where  $n_i = \#Z(g_i)$ . Note each  $n_i$  exceeds 1 when  $G$  is nontrivial. We write the  $n_i$ 's in increasing order:

$$n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_k.$$

Since each  $n_i$  is at least as large as  $n_1$ , (B.2) implies

$$1 \leq \frac{k}{n_1},$$

so

$$(B.3) \quad n_1 \leq k.$$

Then, using  $n_i \geq n_2$  for  $i \geq 2$ ,

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{k-1}{n_2}.$$

Thus  $1 - 1/n_1 \leq (k-1)/n_2$ , so

$$(B.4) \quad n_2 \leq \frac{k-1}{1 - 1/n_1}.$$

By induction,

$$(B.5) \quad n_m \leq \frac{k+1-m}{1 - (\frac{1}{n_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_{m-1}})}$$

for  $m \geq 2$ .

Since (B.3) bounds  $n_1$  by  $k$  and (B.5) bounds each of  $n_2, \dots, n_k$  in terms of earlier  $n_i$ 's, there are only a finite number of such  $k$ -tuples. The ones which satisfy (B.2) can be tabulated. The largest value of  $n_k$  is  $\#G$  (since 1 has centralizer  $G$ ), so the solution with the largest value for  $n_k$  gives an upper bound on the size of a finite group with  $k$  conjugacy classes.  $\square$

**Example B.2.** Taking  $k = 2$ , the only solution to (B.2) satisfying (B.3) and (B.5) is  $n_1 = 2$ ,  $n_2 = 2$ . Thus  $G \cong \mathbf{Z}/(2)$ .

**Example B.3.** When  $k = 3$ , the solutions  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  to (B.2) satisfying (B.3) and (B.5) are 2,4,4 and 2,3,6. Thus  $\#G \leq 6$  and  $S_3$  has size 6 with 3 conjugacy classes.

**Example B.4.** When  $k = 4$ , there are 14 solutions, such as 4,4,4,4 and 2,3,7,42. The second 4-tuple is actually the one with the largest value of  $n_4$ , so a group with 4 conjugacy classes has size at most 42. In actuality, the groups with 4 conjugacy classes are  $\mathbf{Z}/(4)$ ,  $(\mathbf{Z}/(2))^2$ ,  $D_{10}$ , and  $A_4$ .

**Example B.5.** When  $k = 5$ , there are 148 solutions, and the largest  $n_5$  which occurs is 1806. Groups with 5 conjugacy classes include  $\mathbf{Z}/(5)$ ,  $D_4$ ,  $Q_8$ ,  $\text{Aff}(\mathbf{Z}/(5))$ , the nonabelian group of size 21,  $S_4$ , and  $A_5$ . I'm not sure if these are all the possibilities.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bensaid and R. W. van der Waall, On finite groups all of whose elements of equal order are conjugate, *Simon Stevin* **65** (1991), 361–374.
- [2] P. Fitzpatrick, Order conjugacy in finite groups, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **85** (1985), 53–58.