

RELATED ALIGNED BASES

KEITH CONRAD

Let R be a PID, n be a positive integer, and M be a finite free R -module of rank n . By the structure theorem for modules over a PID, for any submodule M' of M also having rank n (to be called a *full submodule* of M) we can find a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M and nonzero scalars a_1, \dots, a_n in R such that a_1e_1, \dots, a_ne_n is a basis of M' . We call this basis of M and basis of M' *aligned bases*.

Pick two full submodules of M , say M' and M'' . If there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M and two sets of n nonzero a'_1, \dots, a'_n and a''_1, \dots, a''_n in R such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Re_i, \quad M' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra'_ie_i, \quad M'' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra''_ie_i.$$

we'll call $\{a'_1e_1, \dots, a'_ne_n\}$ and $\{a''_1e_1, \dots, a''_ne_n\}$ a pair of *related aligned bases* for the two submodules of M . Do such bases always exist? Of course if R is a field then they do because the only full submodule of M is M , so the situation is trivial. To keep things interesting, we assume from now on that R is not a field, so R contains prime elements.

The following example shows such a pair of bases does not always exist for submodules of R^2 .

Let π be prime in R . Inside R^2 set

$$(1) \quad M' = R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + R \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : y \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2} \right\}$$

and

$$(2) \quad M'' = R \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} : y \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}, \pi x \equiv y \pmod{\pi^2} \right\}.$$

First we determine a pair of aligned bases for M' and M'' separately as submodules of R^2 . The first one is easy since it's given to us in the definition: $M' = R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + R\pi^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so $R^2/M' \cong R/(\pi^2)$. For M'' , we rewrite it as

$$M'' = R \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pi^2 \end{pmatrix} + R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} = R\pi^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + R \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix},$$

so $R^2/M'' \cong R/(\pi^2)$.

Suppose there is a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of R^2 and nonzero a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 in R such that $\{a_1e_1, a_2e_2\}$ is a basis of M' and $\{b_1e_1, b_2e_2\}$ is a basis of M'' . We are going to get a contradiction. From the known structure of R^2/M' and R^2/M'' ,

$$(3) \quad (a_1a_2) = (\pi^2), \quad (b_1b_2) = (\pi^2).$$

Write $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$, so being a basis of R^2 is equivalent to

$$(4) \quad x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \in R^\times.$$

Granting (3), to have $\{a_1e_1, a_2e_2\}$ be a basis of M' and $\{b_1e_1, b_2e_2\}$ be a basis of M'' is equivalent to having a_1e_1 and a_2e_2 lying in M' and b_1e_1 and b_2e_2 lying in M'' .

Having $a_1e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1x_1 \\ a_1y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $a_2e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2x_2 \\ a_2y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in M' is equivalent to $a_1y_1, a_2y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$. By (4), y_1 and y_2 can't both be divisible by π , so one of a_1 or a_2 is divisible by π^2 . Therefore

by (3), $\{(a_1), (a_2)\} = \{(1), (\pi^2)\}$. So far the roles of e_1 and e_2 have been symmetric, so without loss of generality we can take

$$(a_1) = (1), \quad (a_2) = (\pi^2).$$

Therefore $y_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$, so $y_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ (because y_1 and y_2 are relatively prime).

Having $b_1 e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 x_1 \\ b_1 y_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $b_2 e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 x_2 \\ b_2 y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in M'' implies $b_1 y_1, b_2 y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$, so $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$. It also implies, by (2), that $\pi b_1 x_1 \equiv b_1 y_1 \pmod{\pi^2}$ and $\pi b_2 x_2 \equiv b_2 y_2 \pmod{\pi^2}$. Since y_1 is a multiple of π^2 and b_2 is a multiple of π , these congruences mod π^2 become $\pi b_1 x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$ and $0 \equiv b_2 y_2 \pmod{\pi^2}$. Since y_2 is not a multiple of π , $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$, so from (3) we have $(b_1) = (1)$ and $(b_2) = (\pi^2)$. Therefore $\pi b_1 x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2} \Rightarrow x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$. But x_1 and y_1 can't both be multiples of π since they are relatively prime, so we have a contradiction.

This example raises some questions. Are there related aligned bases for two full submodules M' and M'' of R^2 when R^2/M' and R^2/M'' are isomorphic to $R/(\pi)$? What about when R^2/M' and R^2/M'' have relatively prime R -cardinality (that is, the products of the moduli in a cyclic decomposition of each quotient module are relatively prime to each other)?

In a positive direction, there are related aligned bases for any finite set of nonzero ideals in the ring of integers of a number field, viewed as \mathbf{Z} -submodules of the ring of integers. This is proved in [1], which also includes the above example for the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $\pi = 3$.

We now seek a criterion on pairs of full submodules which determines when they have a pair of related aligned bases. We will use a description of full submodules as images of linear operators. When M is a finite free R -module and M' is a full submodule with aligned bases $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{a_1 e_1, \dots, a_n e_n\}$, the linear operator $A: M \rightarrow M$ where $A(e_i) = a_i e_i$ has image M' and $\det A = a_1 \cdots a_n \neq 0$. Conversely, if $L: M \rightarrow M$ is a linear operator with nonzero determinant, then $L(M)$ is a full submodule of M . So the full submodules of M are the same thing as images of linear operators on M with nonzero determinant. How much does a full submodule determine an operator having it as an image? If A and A' are two linear operators on M with nonzero determinant such that $A(M) = A'(M)$, then $A = A'U$ where $U \in \text{GL}(M)$. The converse is easy, so A is determined by $A(M)$ up to right multiplication by some element of $\text{GL}(M)$.

Pick two full submodules of M , say $A(M)$ and $B(M)$. There is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M and two sets of n nonzero a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n in R such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R e_i, \quad A(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R a_i e_i, \quad B(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R b_i e_i.$$

Let $D: M \rightarrow M$ and $D': M \rightarrow M$ be determined by $D(e_i) = a_i e_i$ and $D'(e_i) = b_i e_i$. Written as matrices with respect to the basis e_1, \dots, e_n , D and D' become diagonal matrices. Easily $A(M) = D(M)$ and $B(M) = D'(M)$, so $D = AU$ and $D' = BV$ for some U and V in $\text{GL}(M)$. Obviously D and D' commute, so AU and BV commute. We now show the converse is true too.

Theorem 1. *Choose A and B in $\text{End}(M)$ with $\det A \neq 0$ and $\det B \neq 0$. Suppose there are U and V in $\text{GL}(M)$ such that AU and BV commute. Then the submodules $A(M)$ and $B(M)$ of M admit related aligned bases.*

Proof. Set $A' = AU$ and $B' = BV$, so $A'(M) = A(M)$ and $B'(M) = B(M)$. From the structure theorem for modules over a PID, there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M and nonzero

a_1, \dots, a_n in R such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Re_i, \quad A'(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra_ie_i.$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_k be the distinct values among a_1, \dots, a_n . Then

$$M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k,$$

where $M_i = \{v \in M : A'(v) = a_iv\}$ (and $M_i \neq \{0\}$).

For $v \in M_i$, $A'(B'v) = B'(A'v) = B'(a_iv) = a_i(B'v)$, so $B'(M_i) \subset M_i$ for all i . Let d_i be the rank of M_i . Since M_i is a finite free R -module, the structure theorem for modules over a PID says there is a basis e_{i1}, \dots, e_{id_i} of M_i and nonzero c_{i1}, \dots, c_{id_i} in R such that

$$M_i = Re_{i1} \oplus \dots \oplus Re_{id_i}, \quad B'(M_i) = Rc_{i1}e_{i1} \oplus \dots \oplus Rc_{id_i}e_{id_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} Re_{ij}, \\ B(M) &= B'(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k B'(M_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} Rc_{ij}e_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A(M) = A'(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A'(M_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} RA'(e_{ij}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} Ra_ie_{ij}.$$

So we have found related aligned bases for $A(M)$ and $B(M)$. \square

Let's consider now any finite number of full submodules, not just two. The definition of related aligned bases for more than two submodules is clear.

Corollary 1. *For $r \geq 2$ and A_1, \dots, A_r in $\text{End}(M)$ with nonzero determinants, the submodules $A_1(M), \dots, A_r(M)$ of M admit related aligned bases if and only if there are U_1, \dots, U_r in $\text{GL}(M)$ such that A_1U_1, \dots, A_rU_r are pairwise commuting.*

In particular, if A_1, \dots, A_r are pairwise commuting in $\text{End}(M)$ with nonzero determinants then the submodules $A_1(M), \dots, A_r(M)$ of M have related aligned bases.

Proof. If there are related aligned bases for the submodules then the same argument as before leads to U_1, \dots, U_r such that A_1U_1, \dots, A_rU_r are pairwise commuting. Conversely, if there are U_1, \dots, U_r in $\text{GL}(M)$ such that A_1U_1, \dots, A_rU_r are pairwise commuting, set $A'_1 = A_1U_1, \dots, A'_r = A_rU_r$.

From the structure theorem for modules over a PID, there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of M and nonzero a_1, \dots, a_n in R such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Re_i, \quad A_1(M) = A'_1(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ra_ie_i.$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_k be the distinct values among a_1, \dots, a_n . Then

$$M = M' \oplus \dots \oplus M_k,$$

where $M_i = \{v \in M : A'_1(v) = a_iv\}$ (and $M_i \neq \{0\}$). As before, each M_i is preserved by A'_2, \dots, A'_r and the restrictions of these operators¹ to M_i are pairwise commuting with nonzero determinant, so by induction on the number of operators there are related aligned bases for $A'_2(M_i), \dots, A'_r(M_i)$ as submodules of M_i (that is, each M_i has a basis which

¹We have no reason to expect A_2, \dots, A_r preserve the M_i 's.

can be scaled termwise to provide a basis of those submodules). All elements of M_i are eigenvectors for A'_1 , so stringing together the bases of M', \dots, M_k to give a basis of M , we have a related aligned basis for $A'_1(M), \dots, A'_r(M)$, which are the same submodules as $A_1(M), \dots, A_r(M)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. B. Mann and K. Yamamoto, “On canonical bases of ideals,” *J. Combinatorial Theory* **2** (1967), 71–76.