Name: Fan Wu

Collaborators: None

Problem 1-1.

(a) Simplify these functions using exponentiation and logarithm rules, we have:

$$\Theta(f_1) = \Theta(nlogn), \Theta(f_2) = \Theta((logn)^n), \Theta(f_3) = \Theta(logn), \Theta(f_4) = \Theta((logn)^{6006}), \Theta(f_5) = \Theta((log(log6006n))).$$

It is easy to conclude that the result is $\{f_5, f_3, f_4, f_1, f_2\}$

(b) Convert all the exponent bases to 2, we have:

$$f_1 = 2^n, f_2 = 2^{nlog6006}, f_3 = 2^{6006^n}, f_4 = 2^{2^nlog6006}, f_5 = 2^{n^2log6006}$$

It is obvious to conclude that the result is $\{f_1, f_2, f_5, f_4, f_3\}$

(c) $\Theta(f_1) = \Theta(n^n), \Theta(f_2) = \Theta(n^6) = \Theta(n^c), \Theta(f_5) = \Theta(n^c)$

Using Sterling's approximation, we can simplify f_3 and f_4 to:

$$\Theta(f_3) = \Theta(\sqrt{2\pi(6n)}(\frac{6n}{e})^{6n}), \Theta(f_4) = \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\frac{6}{5^{5/6}})^n) \approx \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1.57)^n)$$

So, f_3 is the largest, and f_1 is larger than f_4 , while f_2 and f_5 are equal. The result is $\{\{f_2, f_5\}, f_4, f_1, f_3\}$

(d) Take the logarithms of these functions, we have:

$$\Theta(log f_1) = \Theta((n+4)log n) = \Theta(nlog n), \Theta(log f_2) = \Theta(\sqrt{nlog n}), \Theta(log f_3) = \Theta(nlog n), \Theta(log f_4) = \Theta(n^2), \Theta(log f_5) = \Theta(log n)$$

It seems that f_1 and f_3 are asymptotically equal. Transform f_3 into:

$$f_3 = (4^{\log n})^{3n} = (n^{\log 4})^{3n} = n^{6n}$$

So f_3 is asymptotically larger than f_1 (by about a factor of n^{5n}), the result is $\{f_5, f_2, f_1, f_3, f_4\}$

Problem 1-2.

(a) Method 1: Use a for loop

Use variants x1 and x2 to record the first and last item which are about to be swapped in this loop. We use D.delete_ at function to get the item deleted, then use the D.insert_ at function to swap the position of x1 and x2. After swapping, make the index of x1 move forward 1 step and x2 backward 1 step. The loop ends after k//2 times. This procudure would be correct by induction.

D.delete_at and D.insert_at function cost O(logn) time, so swapping two items needs O(logn) time, the loop takes k//2 steps, so overall the algorithm takes O(klogn) time.

```
for j in range(k//2):
    x1 = D.delete_at(i+j)
    x2 = D.delete_at(i+k-1-j)
    D.insert_at(i+j,x2)
    D.insert at(i+k-1-j,x1)
```

Method 2: Recursion

In order to reverse all the k items in the sequence, we can swap the item at index i and i+k-1, and then recursively reverse the rest of the items. As a base case, no work needs to be done to reverse a subsequence containing less than 2 items. The procudure would be correct by induction.

The swapping process is the same as Method 1. The swapping process takes O(logn) time, the recursive procedure takes $k/\!\!/2$ recursive calls , so the algorithm takes in O(klogn) time.

```
def reverse(D,i,k):
    if k<2:
        return

x2 = D.delete_at(i+k-1)
    x1 = D.delete_at(i)
    D.insert_at(i+k-1,x1)
    D.insert_at(i, x2)
    reverse(D, i+1, k-2)</pre>
```

(b) Use recursion to solve this problem. To move the k-item subsequence starting at i in front of the item at index j, it suffices to move the item A at index i in front of the item B at index j, and recursively move the remainder infront of the item A. As a base case, no work needs to be done if k = 0.

If j < i, use a variant x to contain the deleted item A at index i, then use insert function to put x in front of index j, then we recursively call the function move(). Because we have moved A in front of index j, and the number of items does not change before index i + 1, so we need to move the next item at index i + 1, and the total number of

items we want to move become k-1, the next move requires us to move the item in front of the item A, whose index have become j+1, so the move() function goes like this: move(D, i+1, k-1, j+1).

If j > i, use a variant x to contain the deleted item A at index i, then use insert function to put x in front of index j, then we recursively call the function move(). Because we have moved A in front of index j, there is one less item before original index j, so before the insert function, change j into j-1. When we use the move() function, we do not need to change index i. But we need to change j into j+1 because we minus 1 before, and the total number of items we want to move becomes k-1. So the move function goes like this: move(D, i, k-1, j+1).

The problem has assumed that the expression $i \leq j < i + k$ is false, so we have discussed all the possible situations, that makes the algorithm correct.

As for the running time, the delete and insert steps only cost O(logn) time, and the recursive call takes no more than k steps, so the total running time is O(klogn).

```
def move(D,i,k,j):
    if k<1:
        return
    if i>j:
        x = D.delete_at(i)
        D.insert_at(j,x)
        move(D,i+1,k-1,j+1)
    if i<j:
        x = D.delete_at(i)
        D.insert_at(j,x)
        move(D,i+1,k-1,j+1)
    if i<j:
        x = D.delete_at(i)
        j = j-1
        D.insert_at(j,x)
        move(D,i,k-1,j+1)</pre>
```

Problem 1-3. Use a dynamic array of size 3n to store the pages. To build the array:

- 1. Place items before bookmark A in the first n places, leaving some empty places, we name these n items P_1
- 2. Place items between bookmark A and bookmark B starting from index n, we name these n items P_2
- 3. Place items after bookmark B starting from index 2n, we name these items P_3 Build a dynamic array of n items costs O(n) time, so build a dynamic array of 3n items costs O(n) time too.

If we want to place a bookmark between index i and i + 1 and these indices are in P_1 , we need to move items from index i + 1 to the last non-empty item in P_1 to the head of P_2 , we use $delete_last$ and $insert_last$ function cost O(n) time.

Problem 1-4.

- (a)
- **(b)**
- **(c)**
- (d) Submit your implementation to alg.mit.edu.