

# History of data models

## Repeating the history?

- Hierarchical model
  - IMS (Information Management System) by IBM in the 1970s
  - Tree structure, similar to the JSON model used by document database
  - Worked well for one-to-many (but NOT many-to-many) relationships
    - Not support JOINS
- Better solutions
  - Network model - the CODASYL model
    - Support many-to-many relationships with more than one parent
    - Query through access paths, but very complex for developer to code and change
  - Relational model
    - query optimizer is the key to win in the long run
      - automatically choose 'access path' for data operations
      - complicated, but provides a general solution (no programming)
- Document databases use hierarchical model
  - have nested records with their parent record (rather than in a separate table)
  - document reference as a unique identifier, resolved at read time by JOIN or follow-up queries

# Relational v.s. Document Databases

What's going on today?

|                                  | Document Databases  | Relational Databases  |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Simpler application code?</b> | a document-like structure with not-too-deep nesting   | highly interconnected data, multiple tables involved and JOIN is needed |
| <b>Schema flexibility</b>        | schema-on-read; implicit schema; dynamic type checking; heterogeneous data                              | schema-on-write   |
| <b>Data locality for queries</b> | the entire document is loaded for access; the size should be small and fairly constant (write-in-place) | more disk seeks may require for multiple index lookups                  |

- Convergence of both — A hybrid of relational and document models
  - Relational databases (e.g., PostgreSQL) support to save documents (like JSON)
  - Document databases (e.g., RethinkDB) support JOIN and other relational queries