1. Consider the finite difference discretization of the equation

$$\begin{cases} -(a(x)u'(x))' = f(x), & \text{for } x \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The discretized linear system is Au = f, where

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & -a_1 \\ -a_1 & a_1 + a_2 & -a_2 \\ & -a_2 & a_2 + a_3 & -a_3 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & -a_{n-2} & a_{n-2} + a_{n-1} & -a_{n-1} \\ & & & -a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} + a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are a(x) on the grid points. Assume  $C_1 \leq a(x) \leq C_2$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants.

- (a) Prove that  $\boldsymbol{A}$  is symmetric positive definite.
- (b) Show that both Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel converges for solving  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}.$
- (c) Prove that

$$4C_1\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_n \le 4C_2,$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_n$  are the smallest and largest eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  respectively.

(d) Since A is SPD, we may use the preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG) to solve Au = f. A candidate preconditioner will be

$$m{P} = egin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & & \ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimate the number of iterations needed for a solution with  $\epsilon$  precision. Your answer should be as tight as possible. (This preconditioner will be practically useful for 2D case, because P is diagonalizable by discrete sine transform and inverted very efficiently by fast Fourier transform.)

(a) It is obvious that A is symmetric.

Now we prove A is positive definite.

$$\forall x \in [R^{n}], \quad x^{T}A_{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_{i}) x_{i}^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} x_{i} x_{i+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} x_{i+1}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}^{2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} x_{i} x_{i+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} (x_{i+1} - x_{i})^{2} + \Omega_{0} x_{i}^{2} + \Omega_{n} x_{n}^{2}$$

$$x^{T}Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Omega_{i}(x_{i+1}-x_{i})^{2} + \Omega_{0}x_{i}^{2} + \Omega_{N}x_{n}^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_{i}=0 \quad i=1,2,...,n$$

So A is SPD.

(b) O For Jacobi:

$$2D-A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 + a_1 & a_1 & & \\ a_1 & a_1 + a_2 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & a_{n-1} \\ & & a_{n-1} & a_{n-1} + a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x^{T}(20 - A)x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_{i}(x_{i} + x_{i+1})^{2} + Q_{0}x_{i}^{2} + Q_{n}x_{n}^{2} \ge 0$$
 ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ 

$$x^{T}(2D-A)x = 0 \iff X_{i}=0 , i=1,2,...,n$$

So 2D-A is SPD, indicating that Jacobi method converges.

@ For Gauss-Seidel:

 $X_{(K+1)} = (D+\Gamma)_{-1}P - (D+\Gamma)_{-1} \cap X_{(K)}$ 

Now we show that  $P((D+L)^{-1}U) < 1$ .

By contradiction, if there exists an eigenvalue of  $(D+L)^{-1}U$  such that  $\lambda \geqslant 1$ , and the corresponding eigenvector is x, then

 $(D+L)^{-1}U \times = \lambda \times$ , i.e.  $[U-\lambda(D+L)]_{X=0}$ .

strictly 1) if  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $U = \lambda(D+L)$  is diagonally dominant, indicating  $U = \lambda(D+L)$  is invertible and x must be 0

It contradicts with x is an eigenvector.

2) if  $\lambda=1$ , U=(D+L) is diagonally dominant and irreducible as  $0; \pm 0, i=0,1,...,n$ . So U=(D+L) is invertible, and  $\times$  must be 0. It contradicts with  $\times$  is an eigenvector.

In conclusion, P((D+L)-'U) < 1. So Gauss-Seidel method converges.

 $\lambda_{n} \leq \|A\|_{1} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} 2\alpha_{i} + 2\alpha_{i-1} \leq 4c_{2}$   $\lambda_{1} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x^{T}Ax$ 

$$= \min_{\|X\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + \alpha_0 x_i^2 + \alpha_n x_n^2$$

$$> C_1 \min_{|DC||=1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (X_{i+1} - X_i)^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2$$

$$= C_1 \underset{||\mathbf{x}||=1}{\min} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{x}$$

$$= C_1 \quad \lambda_1(p) = 4C_1 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)$$

Hence,  $4 c_1 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2(n+1)}) \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_n \leq 4 c_2$ 

(d) Since it converges at k=n.

kan , || xk - x\* || A = 0

 $1 \le k < n$ ,  $\|x_k - x_*\|_{A} \le 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{r-1}}{\sqrt{r+1}}\right)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|_{A}$ , here  $r = \frac{\lambda_{max}(P^T A)}{\lambda_{min}(P^T A)}$ 

11 Xk-X\*11A ≤ €, we can choose k such that

$$k \geq \frac{\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2||\chi_0 - \chi_*||_A}\right)}{\log\left(\frac{\delta r - 1}{\delta r + 1}\right)}$$

2. The singular value decomposition (SVD) is a fundamental decomposition with numerous applications. In this question, we derive the SVD by the eigenvalue decomposition, and develop an algorithm for it. Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $m \geq n$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is square and symmetric positive semi-definite (SPSD), there exists an eigenvalue decomposition

$$A^T A = V \Lambda V^T,$$

where  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  are eigenvalues, and  $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are corresponding eigenvec-

- (a) Prove that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  has at most n nonzero eigenvalues, which are also  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .
- (b) Therefore,  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^T$  has an eigenvalue decomposition

$$AA^T = U\Lambda U^T,$$

where  $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  are eigenvectors of  $AA^T$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  respectively. Assume all eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are all simple (though this assumption can be removed). Prove that there exists  $\sigma_i \geq 0$ , i = 1, ..., n, such that

$$egin{cases} oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{v}_i = \sigma_i oldsymbol{u}_i, \ oldsymbol{A}^Toldsymbol{u}_i = \sigma_i oldsymbol{v}_i, \ \sigma_i^2 = \lambda_i, \end{cases} i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

(c) Define  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ , i = 1, ..., n. Prove that **A** has a decomposition

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$
.

where  $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . This decomposition is SVD, and  $(\sigma_i, u_i, v_i)$  are called singular value, left and right singular vectors of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  respectively.

(d) Similar to eigenvalues of symmetric matrices, singular values also have many nice variational properties. Prove

$$\sigma_1 = \max_{\|oldsymbol{u}\|_2 = 1, \|oldsymbol{v}\|_2 = 1} oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{A} oldsymbol{v},$$

where  $\sigma_1$  is the largest singular value of A. (There are other identities similar to the min-max theorem of eigenvalues.)

(e) Use (or not use) (d) to prove

$$\sigma_1 oldsymbol{u}_1 oldsymbol{v}_1^T = rg \min_{ ext{rank}(oldsymbol{B}) = 1} \|oldsymbol{A} - oldsymbol{B}\|_F^2.$$

(That is, SVD gives the best rank-1 approximation. This can be extended to any best rank-r approximation, and this makes SVD a fundamental tool in many applications.)

- (f) Propose a power iteration to compute the leading left and right singular vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Your algorithm should use fewest possible matrix-vector products in each iteration. (All eigenvalue algorithms can be extended to SVD.)
- (a) O since  $rank(AA^T) \le rank(A) \le n$ ,  $AA^T$  has at most n nonzero eigenvalues.
  - 2) For any AEIR<sup>mxn</sup>, BEIR<sup>nxm</sup>, we know that AB and BA have the same nonzero eiopnimizer. the same nonzero eigenvalues, we know the nonzero eigenvalues are also di, dz, ..., dn
- $A^T A = V \Lambda V^T = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i V_i V_i^T$ **(b)**

Since  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$  are simple,  $V = (V_1, ..., V_n)$  is orthogonal.

Let 
$$u_i = \frac{Av_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} = \frac{Av_i}{\sigma_i}$$
,  $i=1,...,n$  (here  $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$ )

$$A^T u_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A^T A v_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \lambda_i v_i = \sigma_i v_i$$
,  $i=1,...,n$ 

A'  $u_i = \overline{\sigma_i}$  A'  $Av_i = \overline{\sigma_i}$   $\lambda_i U_i - v_i v_i$ ,  $i=1,\cdots,n$ Since  $AA^Tu_i = \sigma_i^2 u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ ,  $i=1,\cdots,n$ ,  $u_i$  is the eigenvectors of  $AA^T$ .

In conclusion, we have

$$\begin{cases} Av_i = \sigma_i u_i \\ A^T u_i = \sigma_i v_i \end{cases} \quad i=1,...,n$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = \lambda_i$$

(c) From th), we know that

$$AU = A(w, v_0, ..., v_n) = (Av_1, ..., Av_n)$$

$$= (u_1, u_1, ..., u_n) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= U \Sigma V^T$$

$$= U \Sigma V^T$$
(d) 
$$A = U \Sigma V^T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i v_i v_i^T$$
For  $u \in IR^n$ ,  $v \in IR^m$  such that  $uuu = 1, uv_{ii} = 1$ .

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j v_j v_j \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_k v_k$$

$$u^T Av = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j (c_j d_j) \int_{0}^{n} u_j v_j^T (c_j d_k v_k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i (c_j d_i)$$

$$\leq \sigma_i (c_j c_j^T)^{\frac{1}{2}} (c_j d_j^T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sigma_i (c_j c_j^T)^{\frac{1}{2}} (c_j d_j^T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
The equation can be reached since  $\sigma_i = u_i^T A v_i$ .

Thus we have  $\sigma_i = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1}$ 

(| u ||2 = (| v ||2 = | ,

end

 $\lambda_{(k)} = \frac{\|\Lambda_{(k)}\|^2}{\Lambda_{(k)}}$ 

3. We have used QR decomposition for solving the least squares (LS) problems in GMRES method

$$\min_{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{b}\|_2^2$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is rank r with  $m \geq n$ . Actually, LS problems arises in many other applications in applied mathematics and engineering, and there are other solvers for them. We consider to use the singular value decomposition (SVD) to solve LS problems.

(a) If we take only the non-zero singular values, then we obtain the compact SVD of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ 

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$
,

where  $\boldsymbol{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$  satisfies  $\boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{I}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$  satisfies  $\boldsymbol{V}^T \boldsymbol{V} = \boldsymbol{I}$ , and  $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r)$  with  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$ . Prove that

$$\operatorname{Ran}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \operatorname{Ran}(\boldsymbol{U}), \qquad \operatorname{Ker}(\boldsymbol{A}) = \operatorname{Ran}(\boldsymbol{V})^{\perp},$$

where  $(\cdot)^{\perp}$  stands for the orthogonal complementary.

(b) Assume r = n. Prove that the solution of LS is unique and is given by

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{b}.$$

(c) Continuing (b): Let  $\tilde{x}$  be the solution of the LS when the input **b** is perturbed to  $\tilde{b}$ . Prove that

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_2} \le C \frac{\|\boldsymbol{b} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{b}}\|_2}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_2},$$

where  $C = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}$  if the LS is solved by formula in (b) and  $C = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_n^2}$  if the LS solution is obtained by solving the normal equation.

(d) Assume r < n. Prove that all solutions of LS are given by

$$x = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b + y, \quad y \in \text{Ker}(A),$$

and  $\boldsymbol{x}_0 := \boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{b}$  is the solution of LS with the minimum 2-norm among all solutions.

(a) 
$$\begin{cases} A = U \sum V^{T} \implies Ran(A) \subseteq Ran(U) \\ U = A V \sum^{-1} \implies Ran(U) \subseteq Ran(A) \end{cases} \implies Ran(A) = Ran(U)$$

$$\begin{cases} A = U \sum V^{T} \implies Ran(V)^{\perp} = \ker(V^{T}) \subseteq \ker(A) \\ V^{T} = \sum^{-1} U^{T} A \implies \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(V^{T}) = Ran(V)^{\perp} \end{cases} \implies \ker(A) = Ran(V)^{\perp}$$

$$(b) \quad ||Ax - b||_{2}^{2} = ||U \sum V^{T} x - b||_{2}^{1}$$

$$= ||\sum V^{T} x - U^{T} y - U^{T} y$$

X= VITUTA => 6= UIVTX

$$||b||_{2} = ||U \Sigma V^{T} x||_{2}$$

$$\leq ||U \Sigma V^{T}||_{2} ||x||_{2}$$

$$= ||\Sigma ||_{2} ||x||_{2}$$

$$= \sigma_{i} ||x||_{2}$$

$$Thus \frac{||x - \widehat{x}||_{2}}{||x||_{2}} \leq \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{n}} \frac{||b - \widehat{b}||_{2}}{||b||_{2}}$$

© For solving  $A^TAx = A^Tb$ ,  $x = (A^TA)^{-1}A^Tb$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\chi - \widetilde{\chi})\|_{2} &\leq \|(A^{T}A)^{-1}A^{T}\|_{2} \|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|(A^{T}A)^{-1}\|_{2} \|A^{T}\|_{2} \|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} \|b - \widetilde{b}\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$

and by IlbII2 & or IlxIl2,

$$\frac{\|\chi - \widehat{\chi}\|_{2}}{\|\chi\|_{2}} \leq \frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}} \frac{\|b - \widehat{b}\|_{2}}{\|b\|_{2}}$$

(d)  $||Ax - b||_2^2 = x^T A^T A x - 2 x^T A^T b + b^T b$ 

Let its derivative be 0, i.e.  $A^TAX = A^Tb$  (\*)

Extending the columns of U and V to make it an orthonormal basis, we have

A= 
$$\widetilde{U}\widetilde{\Sigma}\widetilde{V}^{T}$$
, where  $\widetilde{U}=\{U,U_{r+1},...,U_{m}\}$ ,  $\widetilde{V}=\{V,V_{r+1},...,V_{n}\}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Sigma}=\{\Sigma,V\}_{m\times n}$   
Then (\*) becomes  $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{T}\widetilde{\Sigma}\widetilde{V}^{T}x=\widetilde{\Sigma}^{T}\widetilde{U}^{T}b$ .

Let  $Z = \widehat{V}^T \times$  and  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_f \\ Z_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ , then by solving the equation,

we have  $Z_r = \Sigma^T U^T b$  and  $Z_{n-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ 

$$\chi = \int_{\Delta} S = (\Lambda \cdot \Lambda^{N-L}) \left( \sum_{i} \bigcap_{j} P \right)$$

= V5-1UTb + Vn-r Zn-r

By the construction of Un-r, we know that

 $Ran(V_{n-r}) = Ran(V)^{\perp} = Ker(A)$ .

Since Zn-r E IRn-r is arbitrary, y= Vn-r Zn-r E Ker(A).

In conclusion, all the solutions are

$$X = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b + y$$
,  $y \in \text{Ker}(A)$ .

Since 
$$V\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}b \in \mathbb{R}$$
 an  $(V) = \ker(A)^{\perp}$ ,
$$\|X\|_{2}^{2} = \|V\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}b + y\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \|V\Sigma^{-1}U^{T}b\|_{2}^{2} + \|y\|_{2}^{2}$$

In order to minimize 2-norm of X, we choose y=0.

Thus  $X_0 = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T b$  is the solution of LS with the minimum 2-norm.