Math 154: Probability Theory, Lecture Notes

Kevin Yang

CONTENTS

1. Week 1, starting Tue. Jan. 23, 2024	2
1.1. Probability spaces and events	2
1.2. Conditional probability	3
1.3. Independence	2 2 3 4 5
1.4. Some examples	5
2. Week 2, starting Tue. Jan. 30, 2024	6
2.1. Random variables	6
2.2. Independence of random variables	8
2.3. Expectation	9
2.4. Variance and higher moments	11
2.5. Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities	13
3. Week 3, starting Tue. Feb. 6, 2024	13
3.1. Law of the unconscious statistician	13
3.2. Continuous random variables	14
3.3. Independence	16
3.4. Change of variables	17
3.5. Random vectors	17
3.6. Multivariate Gaussians	18
4. Week 4, starting Tue. Feb. 13, 2024	20
4.1. Triangle inequality	20
4.2. Laplace and Fourier transforms, i.e. moment generating functions	and
characteristic functions	20
4.3. How to compute moments	22
4.4. Some inequalities	23
4.5. The Law of Large Numbers	23

1.1. Probability spaces and events.

Definition 1.1. Take a set Ω . A σ -algebra \mathcal{F} is a collection of subsets of Ω such that

- $\bullet \ \Omega, \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}.$
- If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a collection of sets in \mathscr{F} , then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{F}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{F}$.

Sets in \mathscr{F} are called *events*. A probability measure \mathbb{P} on (Ω, \mathscr{F}) is a function $\mathbb{P}: \mathscr{F} \to \mathbb{P}$ [0,1] such that

- $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
- If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a pairwise disjoint collection of sets in \mathscr{F} , then $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_n)$. If $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are in \mathscr{F} and $E_1\subseteq E_2\subseteq\ldots$, then $\mathbb{P}(E_n)\to\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}E_k)$. If $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are in \mathscr{F} and $B_1\supseteq B_1\supseteq\ldots$, then $\mathbb{P}(B_n)\to\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}B_n)$.

- The previous two bullet points are necessary parts of the definition. They must follow

The data $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called a *probability space*.

Example 1.2. A coin is tossed. In this case, $\Omega = \{H, T\}$ (heads or tails). We can take $\mathcal{F}=2^{\Omega}$. It contains $\{H,T\}$ (the coin lands heads or tails), $\{H\}$ (the coin lands heads), $\{T\}$ (the coin lands tails), and \emptyset (the coin lands neither heads or tails). We have $\mathbb{P}(H)=$ $1 - \mathbb{P}(T)$, and $\mathbb{P}(\{H, T\}) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$. If it is a fair coin, then $\mathbb{P}(H)$, $\mathbb{P}(T) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 1.3. A six-sided dice is thrown. $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. We can take $\mathcal{F} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. 2^{Ω} . In general, if Ω is finite, one should always take $\mathscr{F}=2^{\Omega}$. If $X\in\mathscr{F}$ has size 1, then $\mathbb{P}(X) = \frac{1}{6}$. Then, use the additivity property to extend all of \mathbb{P} . (For example, $\mathbb{P}(\{1,2\}) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}.$

Lemma 1.4. (1) $\mathbb{P}(A^C) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$, where $A^C = \Omega \setminus A$.

- (2) If $B \supseteq A$, then $\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \setminus A) \geqslant \mathbb{P}(A)$.
- (3) If $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_{i}) - \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{P}(A_{i} \cap A_{j}) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mathbb{P}(A_{i} \cap A_{j} \cap A_{k}) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap \dots \cap A_{n}).$$

For n=2, this reduces to $\mathbb{P}(A\cup B)=\mathbb{P}(A)+\mathbb{P}(B)-\mathbb{P}(A\cap B)$. (4) If $A_1,\ldots,A_n,\ldots\in\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)\leqslant \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(A_n)$. This is the union bound

Proof. Take the sequence $A_1 = A$ and $A_2 = A^C$ (and $A_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \ge 3$). We have $\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^C) = 1$, so point (1) follows. For point (2), write $B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$. Set $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = B \setminus A$, and $A_n = \emptyset$ for $n \geqslant 3$. Thus $\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \setminus A) = \mathbb{P}(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = \mathbb{P}(B)$, so point (2) follows. We will not prove point (3), since it is not really useful, but it's the same general principle as point (2). For point (4), we first define an auxiliary sequence $B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$ and $B_1 = B$. Then B_n are pairwise disjoint. So $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_n)$. But $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, and $B_n \subseteq A_n$, so $\mathbb{P}(B_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_n)$, and point (4) follows.

Lemma 1.5. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be in \mathscr{F} . Then $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)^C=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n^C$ and $(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)^C=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n^C$. One can take $A_n=\emptyset$ or $A_n=\Omega$ for all $n\geqslant N$ for some N to take finite unions and intersections.

Proof. Take $x \in (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)^C$. Thus, $x \not\in A_n$ for any n. So $x \in A_n^C$ for all n, which means $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n^C$. Now, take $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n^C$, so $x \not\in A_n$ for all n. This means $x \not\in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$, thus $x \in (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)^C$. This shows $(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)^C = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A_n^C$. The other claim follows by the same argument. \square

Example 1.6. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{3}{4}$ and $\mathbb{P}(B) = \frac{1}{3}$. We can bound $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$ as follows. First,

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cup B).$$

We know $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) \leqslant 1$, so $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) \geqslant \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - 1 = \frac{1}{12}$. Also, we know $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) \geqslant \mathbb{P}(A)$, so $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) \leqslant \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{3}$.

1.2. Conditional probability.

Definition 1.7. Take $B \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$. The *conditional probability of A given B* is

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

The idea is that one takes Ω , and restricts to a smaller probability space with set B. The σ -algebra is just given by taking \mathcal{F} and intersecting with B (feel free to try to show that this is a σ -algebra). $\mathbb{P}(\cdot|B)$ is the "natural" probability measure on this probability space.

Example 1.8. Two fair dice are thrown. Condition on the first showing 3. What is the probability that the sum of the two rolls is > 6? Let A be the event where the sum of the two rolls is > 6 and B is the event where the first roll is a 3. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Note that $A \cap B$ is the event where the second roll is 4, 5, 6, and the first roll is a 3. In particular, there are 3 outcomes out of 36 that are okay, so the probability of $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \frac{3}{36}$. This shows $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Example 1.9. A coin is flipped twice independently. What is the probability that both are heads, given that one is a heads. It is not $\frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, let A be the event of two heads, and B is the event where one is a heads. There are four total outcomes, three of which have at least one heads. So $\mathbb{P}(B) = \frac{3}{4}$. On the other hand, $A \cap B$ is just the event of two heads, so its probability is $\frac{1}{4}$. This shows $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{1}{3}$.

Lemma 1.10 (Law of total probability). We say that $B_1, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{F}$ form a partition of Ω if they are pairwise disjoint, positive probability, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$. For any partition B_1, \ldots, B_n and any event A, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A|B_i)\mathbb{P}(B_i).$$

In particular, for any events A, B (where $B \neq \Omega, \emptyset$), we have $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A|B)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A|B^C)\mathbb{P}(B^C)$.

Proof. Since B_1, \ldots, B_n is a partition, the collection $A \cap B_1, \ldots, A \cap B_n$ are disjoint and $\bigcup_{k=1}^n A \cap B_k = A$. (To see this, note that clearly $A \cap B_k \subseteq A$, so it suffices to show that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n A \cap B_k$. Take $x \in A$. Then $x \in \Omega$, and since B_1, \ldots, B_n is a partition, we know $x \in B_k$ for some k. Thus $x \in A \cap B_k$, and thus $x \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n A \cap B_k$.) From the first sentence, we get $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A \cap B_{kj}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A \cap B_k)$. By the definition of conditional probability, we have $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B_k) = \mathbb{P}(A|B_k)\mathbb{P}(B_k)$. Combining the previous two sentences finishes the proof.

Theorem 1.11 (Bayes' formula). This will be helpful for the homework For any events A, B of positive probability, we have $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A)\mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$.

Proof. It suffices to combine $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A|B)\mathbb{P}(B)$ and $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B|A)\mathbb{P}(A)$. Indeed, this implies $\mathbb{P}(A|B)\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(B|A)\mathbb{P}(A)$. Now, divide by $\mathbb{P}(B)$ on both sides (which one can do because B has positive probability!).

1.3. Independence.

Definition 1.12. We say events A, B are independent if $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)$. Independent and disjoint are totally different notions! This is the same as $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$. We say a family of events $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are jointly independent if $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i)$. We say it is pairwise independent if A_i, A_j are independent for all $i \neq j$.

Example 1.13. Let $\Omega = \{abc, acb, cab, cba, bca, bac, aaa, bbb, ccc\}$. Each element in Ω occurs with probability $\frac{1}{9}$. Let A_k be the event where the k-th letter (for k = 1, 2, 3) is a. We know that A_1, A_2, A_3 are pairwise independent. Indeed, $A_1 \cap A_2$ is the event where the first and second letter are both a. Thus, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \{aaa\}$, so $\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \frac{1}{9}$. Note that $\mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2) = \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$. Similar arguments apply to A_1, A_3 and A_2, A_3 (try it!).

that $\mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2)=\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{9}$. Similar arguments apply to A_1,A_3 and A_2,A_3 (try it!). But, A_1,A_2,A_3 are not jointly independent. Indeed, $A_1\cap A_2\cap A_3=\{aaa\}$, so its probability is $\frac{1}{9}$. But $\mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2)\mathbb{P}(A_3)=\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{27}$.

Example 1.14. We pick a card uniformly at random from a deck of 52. Each has probability $\frac{1}{52}$. Let A be the event where a king is picked, and B is the event where a spade is picked. Then $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{4}{52} = \frac{1}{13}$, and $\mathbb{P}(B) = \frac{1}{4}$. Also, $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{52}$. So A, B are independent.

Lemma 1.15. If A, B are independent, then A^C, B are independent and A^C, B^C are independent.

Proof. We claim $\mathbb{P}(A^C \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B)$. (This follows because $A^C \cap B$ and $A \cap B$ are disjoint and union to B.) Since A, B are independent, this implies $\mathbb{P}(A^C \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B) = (1 - \mathbb{P}(A))\mathbb{P}(B)$. But $\mathbb{P}(A^C) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$, so we get $\mathbb{P}(A^C \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A^C)\mathbb{P}(B)$, which means A^C , B are independent. To show that A^C , B^C are independent, use the first result (but replace A by B and B by A^C). \Box

Example 1.16. Two fair dice are rolled independently. Let A be the event where the sum of the rolls is 7. Let B be the event where the first roll is 1. Then A, B are independent.

Indeed, $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{1}{6}$ (since a six is needed on the second roll). But $\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{6}{36}$, since for any value of the first roll, there is exactly one value of the second roll to realize A. If we change 7 to 1, then A, B are no longer independent.

Definition 1.17. Fix an event B with positive probability. We say that A_1 , A_2 are conditionally independent (given/conditioning on B) if $\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 | B) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 | B)\mathbb{P}(A_2 | B)$.

Lemma 1.18. Fix B. Then A_1, A_2 are conditionally independent given B if and only if $\mathbb{P}(A_1|A_2, B) = \mathbb{P}(A_1|B)$.

Proof. Suppose conditional independence of A_1, A_2 . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1|A_2, B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(A_2 \cap B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2|B)\mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(A_2|B)\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1|B)\mathbb{P}(A_2|B)\mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(A_2|B)\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(A_1|B).$$

Now suppose that $\mathbb{P}(A_1|A_2,B) = \mathbb{P}(A_1|B)$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 | B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1 | A_2, B) \mathbb{P}(A_2 \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(A_1 | B) \mathbb{P}(A_2 | B) \mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \mathbb{P}(A_1 | B) \mathbb{P}(A_2 | B).$$

This finishes the proof.

Example 1.19. Suppose I have two coins. One is fair, and the other one has probability of heads equal to $\frac{1}{3}$. I choose one of the two coins uniformly at random, and I toss it twice (independently). Let X be the value of the first flip and Y be the value of the second flip. Then X and Y are conditionally independent given that I choose the fair coin. (Same is true if I condition on choosing the non-fair coin.)

1.4. Some examples.

(1) (Symmetric random walk, "gambler's ruin") Let's play a game. We flip a coin repeatedly. If it lands heads, I get one dollar. If it lands tails, I lose a dollar. (Suppose this is a fair coin for now.) I want to save N dollars, at which point I stop the game, so that I can retire happily. But if I end up with zero dollars at any point, we stop the game, since I can't play anymore.

Suppose I start with 0 < k < N dollars. What is the probability that I win?

• Let $p_k = \mathbb{P}_k(A)$ be the event that I win if we start at k dollars. By the law of total probability, if B is the event that we toss a heads, then

$$\mathbb{P}_k(A) = \mathbb{P}_k(A|B)\mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}_k(A|B^C)\mathbb{P}(B^C).$$

We have $\mathbb{P}_k(A|B) = p_{k+1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_k(A|B^C) = p_{k-1}$ and $\mathbb{P}(B), \mathbb{P}(B^C) = \frac{1}{2}$. So $p_k = \frac{1}{2}(p_{k+1} + p_{k-1})$. But also $p_0 = 0$ and $p_N = 1$. We will talk later in this class about how to solve this equation efficiently, but one can check that $p_k = 1 - \frac{k}{N}$ solves this equation.

- (2) (Testimonies) We are in court over whether or not Kevin stole the piece of chalk. We have two witnesses Alf and Bob. Alf tells the truth with probability α and Bob commits perjury with probability β . There is no collusion between these two (as in whether Kevin did it or not, their testimonies are independent). Let A be the event where Alf says Kevin stole it, and B be the event where Bob says Kevin stole it. Let T be the event where Kevin stole it. What is probability that Kevin stole it given that Alf and Bob said so, in terms of $\tau = \mathbb{P}(T)$?
 - We need to compute $\mathbb{P}(T|A \cap B)$. By Bayes' rule, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T|A\cap B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A\cap B|T)\mathbb{P}(T)}{\mathbb{P}(A\cap B)}.$$

We have $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B|T) = \mathbb{P}(A|T)\mathbb{P}(B|T) = \alpha\beta$, so the numerator is $\alpha\beta\tau$. For the bottom, by the law of total probability, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B|T)\mathbb{P}(T) + \mathbb{P}(A \cap B|T^C)\mathbb{P}(T)$$
$$= \alpha\beta\tau + (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\tau).$$

So,
$$\mathbb{P}(T|A \cap B) = \frac{\alpha\beta\tau}{\alpha\beta\tau + (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)(1-\tau)}$$
.

- (3) (Simpson's paradox)
 - 2. WEEK 2, STARTING TUE. JAN. 30, 2024

2.1. Random variables.

Definition 2.1. A random variable is a function $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the event $\{X \leq x\}$ is in \mathscr{F} . The function $F(x) := \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)$ is the distribution function associated to X.

We say X is *discrete* if it only takes values in a countable set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\}$ of \mathbb{R} . We say X is *continuous* if its distribution function can be represented as

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leqslant x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(u)du,$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ is called the *probability density function* (it needs to be integrable, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) du < \infty$).

It is a fact that if X, Y are random variables and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then aX + bY is a random variable!

Lemma 2.2. A distribution function F satisfies

- (1) If $x \leq y$, then $F(x) \leq F(y)$ (even if x < y, we can still have F(x) = F(y)!)
- (2) $F(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$ and $F(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$.
- (3) $F(x+h) \rightarrow F(x)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ from above.

Proof. (1) If $x \leqslant y$, then $\{X \leqslant x\} \subseteq \{X \leqslant y\}$.

- (2) Let $A_n := \{X \leqslant -a_n\}$, where $a_n \to \infty$ is strictly increasing. Then $F(a_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_n)$. But $A_n \supseteq A_m$ for all $m \geqslant n$. So $F(a_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_n) \to \mathbb{P}(\cap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m) = \mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$. Let $B_n := \{X \geqslant b_n\}$, where $b_n \to \infty$ is strictly increasing. Note that $B_n \subseteq B_m$ if $m \geqslant n$. Then $F(b_n) = \mathbb{P}(B_n) = \mathbb{P}(\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m) = \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$.
- (3) Let $A_n = \{X \leqslant x + h_n\}$, where $h_n \to 0$ is strictly decreasing. Then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{X \leqslant x\}$, and $A_n \supseteq A_m$ if $m \geqslant n$. So $F(x+h_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_n) \to \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \mathbb{P}(X \leqslant x) = F(x)$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose X is a discrete random variable. Its *probability mass function* (or pmf) is the function $f: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ such that $f(x) = \mathbb{P}(X=x)$. This is generally much easier to compute than the distribution function!

Example 2.4 (Bernoulli distribution). Any random variable which is valued in $\{0,1\}$. For example, the outcome of flipping a coin is Bernoulli, if we interpret heads as 1 and tails as 0. If the probability of heads is p, then its pmf if p(1) = p and p(0) = 1 - p and p(x) = 0 for $x \neq 0, 1$. The distribution function is F(x) = 0 for all x < 0, and F(x) = 1 - p for all $x \in [0,1)$, and F(x) = 1 for all $x \geqslant 1$.

For shorthand, we write $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$.

Example 2.5 (Binomial distribution). Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be *independent* Bernoulli random variables. Set $Y = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$. This is a *binomial* random variable. It is discrete, since it takes values in $\{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. Its probability mass function satisfies p(x)=0 if $x \notin \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$. For any $k \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}$, p(k) is the probability of flipping exactly k heads. There are $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ ways to choose k out of n flips to be heads. The probability of flipping this particular sequence of heads and tails is $p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$. So $p(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$.

For shorthand, we write $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$.

Example 2.6 (Poisson distribution). X takes values in the set $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. Its pmf is defined to be

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = p_{\lambda}(k) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

Here, $\lambda > 0$ is a fixed parameter. Note that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{\lambda}(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{\lambda} e^{-\lambda} = 1,$$

so $p_{\lambda}(\cdot)$ is indeed a probability mass function. For shorthand, we write $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$.

Example 2.7 (Geometric distribution). Flip a coin repeatedly with probability of heads being p. Let X be the first time that the coin turns up heads. This takes values in $\{1,\ldots\}$. Its pmf is $\mathbb{P}(X=k)=p(k)=(1-p)^{k-1}p$. This is called the geometric distribution, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(k) = p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^k = p \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = 1$$

is a geometric series.

Definition 2.8. A random vector of dimension n is a vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ such that $X_1, \dots, X_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are random variables. If X_1, \dots, X_n are discrete random variables, then the pmf of \mathbf{X} is defined to be the function

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n).$$

2.2. Independence of random variables.

Definition 2.9. A collection of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. on the same probability space) are *jointly independent* if for open or closed subsets $A_1, \ldots, A_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{X_i \in A_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(X_i \in A_i).$$

We say they are pairwise independent if X_i, X_j are independent for all $i \neq j$.

Lemma 2.10. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent discrete random variables with pmfs p_1, \ldots, p_n . Then X_1, \ldots, X_n are jointly independent if and only if for any $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(x_i).$$

Proof. If X_1, \ldots, X_n are jointly independent, just take the formula for joint independence above and set $A_i = \{x_i\}$ for all i. For the other direction, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{X_{i} \in A_{i}\}\right) = \sum_{x_{1} \in A_{1}, \dots, x_{n} \in A_{n}} \mathbb{P}(X_{1} = x_{1}, \dots, X_{n} = x_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1} \in A_{1}} \dots \sum_{x_{n} \in A_{n}} p_{1}(x_{1}) \dots p_{n}(x_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{x_{1} \in A_{1}} p_{1}(x_{1}) \dots \sum_{x_{n} \in A_{n}} p_{n}(x_{n})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(X_{1} \in A_{1}) \dots \mathbb{P}(X_{n} \in A_{n}).$$

Example 2.11. A coin flips heads with probability p and tails with probability 1-p. Let X be the number of heads and Y be the number of tails. These are *not* independent. (As for the details why, $\mathbb{P}(\{X=1\} \cap \{Y=1\}) = 0$ but $\mathbb{P}(X=1)\mathbb{P}(Y=1) = p(1-p)$.)

Suppose that N is a Poisson random variable of parameter λ (it is independent of the coin). Then X and Y are independent! Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(X=x,Y=y) &= \mathbb{P}(X=x,Y=y|N=x+y) \mathbb{P}(N=x+y) \\ &= \binom{x+y}{x} p^x (1-p)^y \frac{\lambda^{x+y}}{(x+y)!} e^{-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{(\lambda p)^x (\lambda (1-p))^y}{x! y!} e^{-\lambda}. \end{split}$$

Since this has the form of f(x) f(y), this means independence. To see this exactly,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = y)$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda p)^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda p} \sum_{y=0} \frac{(\lambda (1-p))^y}{y!} e^{-\lambda (1-p)}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda p)^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda p}.$$

In particular, the number of heads and the number of tails are Poisson random variables of parameters λp and $\lambda(1-p)$, and they are independent of each other!

Lemma 2.12 (Convolution formula). Suppose X, Y are independent discrete random variables that take values in \mathbb{Z} . Let p_X and p_Y be their pmfs. Then Z = X + Y takes values in \mathbb{Z} , and its pmf is

$$p_Z(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p_X(z - k) p_Y(k).$$

Proof. For any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, the event $\{Z = z\}$ is equal to $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{X = z - k\} \cap \{Y = k\}$. These events in the union are disjoint, since X, Y cannot obtain two values simultaneously. So, by independence, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z=z) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{X=z-k\} \cap \{Y=k\}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}(X=z-k, Y=k)$$
$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}(X=z-k) \mathbb{P}(Y=k).$$

Example 2.13. Take X_1, X_2 independent Bernoullis of parameter p (so $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1), \mathbb{P}(X_2 = 1) = p$). Let $Z = X_1 + X_2$. By the convolution formula and the fact that X_1, X_2 cannot attain values other than 0 and 1, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Z=0) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}(X=-k) \mathbb{P}(Y=k) = \mathbb{P}(X=0) \mathbb{P}(Y=0) = (1-p)^2, \\ \mathbb{P}(Z=1) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}(X=1-k) \mathbb{P}(Y=k) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X=1) \mathbb{P}(Y=0) + \mathbb{P}(X=0) \mathbb{P}(Y=1) = 2p(1-p), \\ \mathbb{P}(Z=2) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}(X=2-k) \mathbb{P}(Y=k) = \mathbb{P}(X=1) \mathbb{P}(Y=1) = p^2. \end{split}$$

In particular, $Z \sim \text{Bin}(2, p)!$

Lemma 2.14. If X, Y are independent, then so are f(X) and g(Y) (for any functions f, g).

2.3. Expectation.

Definition 2.15. Let X be a discrete random variable with pmf p. Its *expectation* is $\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{x: p(x) > 0} xp(x)$.

Lemma 2.16. (1) If $X \ge 0$ with probability 1, then $\mathbb{E}(X) \ge 0$.

- (2) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{E}(aX + bY) = a\mathbb{E}(X) + b\mathbb{E}(Y)$ (linearity of expectation; note that X, Y do not have to be independent!).
- (3) If X = c with probability 1, then $\mathbb{E}(X) = c$.

Proof. (1) We have $\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{x:p(x)>0} xp(x)$. Since p(x)>0 only if $x\geqslant 0$ by assumption, we know $xp(x)\geqslant 0$, so $\mathbb{E}(X)\geqslant 0$.

(2) We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(aX+bY) &= \sum_{z} z \mathbb{P}(aX+bY=z) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(aX+bY=z|Y=w) \mathbb{P}(Y=w) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(aX+bw=z) \mathbb{P}(Y=w) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \sum_{s} \mathbb{P}(aX+bw=z|X=s) \mathbb{P}(X=s) \mathbb{P}(Y=w) \\ &= \sum_{w,s} (as+bw) \mathbb{P}(X=s) \mathbb{P}(Y=w) \\ &= \sum_{s} \left(\sum_{w} (as+bw) \mathbb{P}(Y=w) \right) \mathbb{P}(X=s) \\ &= \sum_{s} (as+b\mathbb{E}(Y)) \mathbb{P}(Y=s) \\ &= a\mathbb{E}(X) + b\mathbb{E}(Y). \end{split}$$

(3) By definition, we have $\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{x:p(x)>0} xp(x)$. Only x=c has p(x)>0, so $\mathbb{E}(X)=cp(c)=c$ since p(c)=1.

Example 2.17. If $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$, then $\mathbb{E}(X) = p$. If $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, then $X = Y_1 + \ldots + Y_n$ where $Y_i \sim \text{Bern}(p)$, so $\mathbb{E}(X) = np$. If $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!} e^{-\lambda}$$
$$= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda.$$

Now, suppose X has pmf $p(k)=Ak^{-2}$ for $k\geqslant 1$ (where A is a "normalization constant", so that $\sum_{k\geqslant 1}p(k)=1$). Then $\mathbb{E}X=\sum_{k=1}^\infty Ak^{-1}=\infty$.

2.4. Variance and higher moments.

Definition 2.18. Given a random variable X, its *variance* is $Var(X) = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2$. Its k-th moment (for any $k \ge 0$) is $\mathbb{E}X^k$. We will often take k to be an integer.

Given any random variables X,Y, the *covariance* between X and Y is $Cov(X,Y) := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}(X))(Y - \mathbb{E}(Y))]$. In particular, we have Cov(X,X) = Var(X). We say X,Y are *uncorrelated* if $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$.

Lemma 2.19. (1) For any random variables X and Y, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}(X))^2, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}(XY) - \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y).$$

In particular, if X, Y are uncorrelated, then Cov(X, Y) = 0.

- (2) If X, Y are independent, then X, Y are uncorrelated.
- (3) If X_1, \ldots, X_n and Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are random variables, and a_1, \ldots, a_n and b_1, \ldots, b_n are real numbers, then

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j Y_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i b_j \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, Y_j).$$

This is often called bilinearity of the covariance.

- (4) For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $Var(aX) = a^2Var(X)$. (In words, the variance is "quadratic".)
- (5) There exists a constant c such that X = c with probability 1 if and only if Var(X) = 0.
- *Proof.* (1) By definition, we have $Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY \mathbb{E}(X)Y X\mathbb{E}(Y) + \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[XY] \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$, since $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ is always a constant (we also use linearity of expectation here). The formula for variance follows by taking Y = X.
- (2) If X, Y are independent, then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[XY] &= \sum_{z} z \mathbb{P}(XY = z) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(XY = z | Y = w) \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(wX = z | Y = w) \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \mathbb{P}(wX = z) \\ &= \sum_{z} z \sum_{w} \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \sum_{s} \mathbb{P}(wX = z | X = s) \mathbb{P}(X = s) \\ &= \sum_{w,s} w s \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \mathbb{P}(X = s) \\ &= \sum_{w} w \mathbb{P}(Y = w) \sum_{s} \mathbb{P}(X = s) = \mathbb{E}(Y) \mathbb{E}(X). \end{split}$$

(3) We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j Y_j\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i b_j X_i Y_j\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i b_j \mathbb{E}[X_i Y_j]$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j Y_j\right] = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{E}[X_i]\right\} \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \mathbb{E}[Y_j]\right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_i b_j \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[Y_j].$$

Plug this into $\operatorname{Cov}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^n b_j Y_j) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \sum_{j=1}^n b_j Y_j\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n b_j Y_j\right]$ to get the formula.

- (4) Use part (3) with n = 1 and $a_1, b_1 = a$ and $X_1, Y_1 = X$.
- (5) If X = c with probability 1, then $\mathbb{E}(X) = c$ and $X \mathbb{E}(X) = 0$ with probability 1. So $\mathbb{E}[(X \mathbb{E}(X))^2] = \mathbb{E}[(c c)^2] = 0$. If $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X \mathbb{E}(X))^2] = 0$, then $X = \mathbb{E}(X)$ with probability 1. Indeed, if X = d for $d \neq \mathbb{E}(X)$ with positive probability p, since $(X \mathbb{E}(X))^2 \geqslant 0$ with probability 1, we would get $\mathbb{E}[(X \mathbb{E}(X))^2] \geqslant p(d \mathbb{E}(X))^2 > 0$, a contradiction.

Example 2.20. Let $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. We saw before that $\mathbb{E}X = p$. Now, note that $X^2 = X$, since $X \in \{0, 1\}$, so that $\mathbb{E}X^2 = \mathbb{E}X = p$ as well. Thus, its variance is $\mathbb{E}X^2 - (\mathbb{E}X)^2 = p - p^2$. Now, assume that $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$. We saw that $\mathbb{E}X = \lambda$. We compute

$$\mathbb{E}X^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2} \lambda^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2} \lambda^{k}}{k!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k \lambda^{k}}{(k-1)!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)\lambda^{k}}{k!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k+1}}{k!} \right)$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\lambda e^{\lambda} \right)$$

$$= \lambda^{2} + \lambda.$$

Hence, the variance of $X \sim \operatorname{Pois}(\lambda)$ is $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}X^2 - (\mathbb{E}X)^2 = \lambda^2 + \lambda - \lambda^2 = \lambda$. Notice how this does not scale quadratically in λ !

2.5. Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities.

Lemma 2.21. Suppose X,Y are two random variables. Then for any a>0, we have $|\mathbb{E}(XY)|\leqslant \frac{a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{2}+\frac{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}{2a^2}$. We also have $|\mathbb{E}(XY)|\leqslant (\mathbb{E}(X^2))^{1/2}(\mathbb{E}(Y^2))^{1/2}$.

Proof. For the first inequality, we first note $(aX - \frac{1}{a}Y)^2 = a^2X^2 + \frac{Y^2}{a^2} - 2XY \geqslant 0$ (it is non-negative because it is the square of something). Thus, $XY \leqslant \frac{a^2X^2}{2} + \frac{Y^2}{2a^2}$. Now, take expectations to get $\mathbb{E}(XY) \leqslant \frac{a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}{2a^2}$. In the case where $\mathbb{E}(XY) \geqslant 0$, this is the first claim. If $\mathbb{E}(XY) < 0$, use the claim after replacing X by -X. To prove the second claim, use the first claim for $a = \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)}}$.

Lemma 2.22. Suppose $p \in [1, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ and suppose $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then $|\mathbb{E}(XY)| \leq (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}(\mathbb{E}|Y|^q)^{1/q}$. (Note that if p = q = 2, this recovers Cauchy-Schwarz.)

Proof. It suffices to instead use $XY \leqslant \frac{a^p|X|^p}{p} + \frac{|Y|^q}{a^q q}$ for any a > 0, take expectation, and choose a appropriately.

3. WEEK 3, STARTING TUE. FEB. 6, 2024

3.1. Law of the unconscious statistician. Here's a quick trick that we introduced last week.

Lemma 3.1. Take any function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (piecewise continuous, say). Take any random variable X with pmf p. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \sum_{x:p(x)>0} f(x)p(x).$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \sum_{w} w \mathbb{P}[f(X) = w]$$

$$= \sum_{w} w \sum_{s:f(s)=w} \mathbb{P}[X = s]$$

$$= \sum_{w} \sum_{s:f(s)=w} w \mathbb{P}[X = s]$$

$$= \sum_{w} \sum_{s:f(s)=w} f(s) \mathbb{P}[X = s]$$

$$= \sum_{s} f(s) \mathbb{P}[X = s].$$

3.2. Continuous random variables.

Definition 3.2. A random variable X is said to be *continuous* if its distribution function can be written as $\mathbb{P}(X \leqslant x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(u) du$ for an integrable function p. This function pis the *density* or *probability density* function (or pdf for short).

Lemma 3.3. Suppose X has pdf p. Then

- (1) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(x) dx = 1$
- (2) $\mathbb{P}(a \leqslant X \leqslant b) = \int_a^b p(x)dx$
- (3) If p is continuous, then $p(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- (4) $\mathbb{P}(X=x)=0$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$

Proof. (1) $\mathbb{P}(X \leqslant A) = \int_{-\infty}^{A} p(u) du$. Now send $A \to \infty$. The LHS converges to 1.

- (2) We have $\mathbb{P}(a \leqslant X \leqslant b) = \mathbb{P}(X \leqslant b) \mathbb{P}(X \leqslant a) = \int_{-\infty}^{b} p(u)du \int_{-\infty}^{a} p(u)du = 0$ $\int_a^b p(u)du.$
- (3) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can pick h > 0 small enough so that $|p(y) p(x)| \le \varepsilon$ for all $y \in [x, x+h]$. In particular, for the sake of contradiction, suppose p(x) < 0 at x. Then p(y) < 0 for all $y \in [x, x + h]$ if h is small enough. But $\mathbb{P}(x \leqslant X \leqslant x + h) =$ $\int_{x}^{x+h} p(y)dy < 0 \text{ if this were to be the case, which is ridiculous.}$ (4) Use part (2) and the fact that the integral of any function on an interval of length 0 is

Remark 3.4. There is the issue now of which σ -algebra to take, since \mathbb{R} is not a finite set. This is a delicate issue of "measure theory", which is beyond the scope of this course (and, to be honest, kind of besides the point of probability theory and statistics; it's just a necessary evil to be *fully general*). For the purposes of this course (and really most situations one finds themselves in), as long as events are constructed by countable unions and intersections of events of the form $\{X \leq A\}$, one can integrate on them.

Example 3.5. There are three "main" examples of continuous random variables that we will be interested in. The first is the *normal* or Gaussian distribution. We say $X \sim$ $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (where $\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$) if its pdf is

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

 μ is called the "mean" (for a reason we will see shortly), and σ^2 is the variance (we will prove this shortly). We also call σ the standard deviation. (Pretend $\sigma > 0$. If $\sigma = 0$, then $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ just means $X = \mu$ with probability 1.) From this formula, it is not hard to see that if $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, then $X + \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $cX \sim N(0, c^2\sigma^2)$. Proving this requires a little something, but you can take this for granted. (We will see a proof soon.)

The fact this integrates to 1 over $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is not easy to see! Let us do this really quickly. First, it suffices to assume that $\mu = 0$, since by change of variables, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(u)du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(u+\mu)du$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by change of variables $u = x/\sigma$, it suffices to assume that $\sigma = 1$. So, we need to show that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx\right)^2 = 1.$$

The LHS is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}} dx dy.$$

If we use polar coordinates $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and $dxdy = rdrd\theta$, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}} dx dy &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} r dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d}{dr} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 1. \end{split}$$

Example 3.6. We say $X \sim U([a,b])$ (or X is uniform on [a,b]) if its density function is $p(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}$ if $x \in [a,b]$, and p(x) = 0 if $x \notin [a,b]$. (If a=b, then this just means X=a with probability 1.)

Example 3.7. We say $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ if its pdf is $p(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \ge 0$ and p(x) = 0 for x < 0. (This is called an exponential random variable.)

Example 3.8. Here is another family of examples to keep in mind. We say X has a *power law* tail if its pdf satisfies $p(x) = A(1+x)^{-m}$ for some $m \ge 0$. Note that we must take m > 1 for this to even have finite integral on \mathbb{R} ! The bigger m is, the less likely this random variable is going to be big.

Definition 3.9. Let X be a continuous random variable with pdf p. Take any function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Its *expectation* is $\mathbb{E} f(X) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) p(u) du$, provided that this integral converges absolutely. Its k-th moment is $\mathbb{E} X^k$. Its variance is $\mathrm{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}(X))^2 = \mathbb{E} X^2 - (\mathbb{E} X)^2$. The covariance of X, Y is still $\mathrm{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y]$.

Example 3.10. Let $X \sim N(0,1)$. Choose f(x) = x. Then $\mathbb{E} f(X) = \mathbb{E} X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx = 0$, since the integrand is odd. In particular, this agrees with calling μ (which in this case is 0) the mean. Next, choose $f(x) = x^2$. How do we compute its expectation? Well, first write

$$\mathbb{E}f(X) = \mathbb{E}X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x^2 - 1) \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx + 1.$$

One can verify directly that $(x^2-1)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}=\frac{d^2}{dx^2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}=-\frac{d}{dx}(xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}})$. Thus, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, the integral on the far RHS is 0, since $xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$ vanishes as $x\to\pm\infty$. In general, if $X\sim N(\mu,\sigma^2)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}X = \mu, \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2.$$

Of course, one can play a similar game to prove this, but we'll see a much easier way to do it. In particular, we will show that if $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$ (provided $\sigma \neq 0$).

Example 3.11. As this and the previous example indicate, computing expectations often involve integration-by-parts. Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}X = \int_0^\infty \lambda x e^{-\lambda x} dx = -\int_0^\infty x \frac{d}{dx} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda},$$

where the last step uses u-substitution $u = \lambda x$. For the second moment $\mathbb{E}X^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}X^2 = \int_0^\infty \lambda x^2 e^{-\lambda x} dx = -\int_0^\infty x^2 \frac{d}{dx} e^{-\lambda x} dx$$
$$= 2 \int_0^\infty x e^{-\lambda x} dx = \frac{2}{\lambda^2},$$

where the last step uses our knowledge of $\mathbb{E}X = \lambda^{-1}$. Continuing in similar fashion, we can compute $\mathbb{E}X^k$ for any integer $k \ge 0$.

3.3. Independence.

Definition 3.12. Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are continuous random variables with pdfs p_1, \ldots, p_n . We say they are *jointly independent* if for any open or closed intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^{n} \{X_i \in I_i\}) = \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(X_i \in I) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{I_i} p_i(x) dx.$$

We say they are *pairwise independent* if X_i, X_j are independent for all choices of $i \neq j$. Again, these notions are not the same!

Lemma 3.13. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be any random variables. Then they are jointly independent if and only if for any functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_i)\right] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[f_i(X_i)].$$

Note that in the previous lemma, the random variables do not have to be continuous!

Lemma 3.14 (Convolution formula). Let X_1, X_2 be independent continuous random variables with pdfs p_1, p_2 . Then $Z = X_1 + X_2$ is a continuous random variable with pdf

$$p(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_1(z - u) p_2(u) du.$$

Proof. Same as in the discrete variable case.

Lemma 3.15. Lemma 2.19 is still true if the random variables therein are continuous random variables!

3.4. Change of variables.

Theorem 3.16. Let X be a continuous random variable with pdf p. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth, strictly monotone function. Then the random variable Y = h(X) is continuous with pdf q given by

$$q(y) = p(h^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{1}{h'[h^{-1}(y)]} \right|.$$

If F is instead strictly decreasing, then q(y) = p(-F(y))|F'(y)|.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and the proposed choice of q, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant A) = \int_{-\infty}^{A} q(y)dy.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant A) = \mathbb{P}(F(X) \leqslant A) = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}: F(x) \leqslant A\}} p(x) dx.$$

Since F is strictly increasing, we know that F is invertible, and the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \le A\}$ is equal to $[-\infty, F^{-1}(A)]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant A) = \int_{-\infty}^{F^{-1}(A)} p(x) dx.$$

Now, make the change of variables $u=F^{-1}(x)$, i.e. x=F(u). We have dx=F'(u)du. Moreover, this change of variables sends $[-\infty,F^{-1}(A)]$ to $[-\infty,A]$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \leqslant A) = \int_{-\infty}^{A} p(F(u))F'(u)du.$$

Example 3.17. Suppose X is uniform on [0,1], and $h(x)=-\log x$. This is smooth on x>0 and strictly decreasing. Its inverse is $h^{-1}(x)=e^{-x}$. Its derivative is $h'(x)=-\frac{1}{x}$. So, the previous theorem tells us how to compute the distribution of h(X); it turns out to be $\mathrm{Exp}(1)!$ (This is on the HW.)

Example 3.18. This is one of the first ways we are taught how to sample from a distribution. Suppose X has pdf p. Recall $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(u)du$. To find its inverse, we need to know, given any $x \in [0, 1]$, for what value c is $F(c) = \int_{-\infty}^{c} p(u)du = x$. This c(x) function is known as a *quantile* of x. In general, closed forms for quantiles are not available. Nevertheless, it turns out that F(X) is uniform on [0, 1] anyway; this is on the HW.

Example 3.19. Suppose $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. We claim that $X + \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. To see this rigorously, note $X + \mu = h(X)$, where $h(x) = x + \mu$. Its derivative is h'(x) = 1, and its inverse is $h^{-1}(x) = x - \mu$. Thus, the previous formula says that the pdf of $X + \mu$ is $p(x - \mu)$, where p is the pdf for N(0, 1). But $p(x - \mu)$ is the pdf for $N(\mu, 1)$. Similarly, one can use the function $h(x) = \sigma x$ to show that $\sigma X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

3.5. Random vectors.

Definition 3.20. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be continuous random variables, so that $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a random vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The pdf of \mathbf{X} is the function $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that for any

open or closed subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in E) = \int_{E} p(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_1 \dots du_n.$$

Now, suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are discrete random variables. The pmf of **X** is the function $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n)$.

Finally, if X_1, \ldots, X_j are continuous and X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_n are discrete, then the *density* function of **X** is defined as follows (in which $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^j$ is any open or closed set):

$$\mathbb{P}((X_1, \dots, X_j) \in E, X_{j+1} = x_{j+1}, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \int_E p(x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_j.$$

Example 3.21. Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent with pdfs p_1, \ldots, p_n . Then $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$. Indeed, for any $E = E_1 \times \ldots \times E_n$ where $E_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are open or closed, by independence, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in E) = \mathbb{P}(\cap_{i=1}^{n} \{X_i \in E_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(X_i \in E_i).$$

Now, for any open or closed $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we can always approximate E by a disjoint union of rectangles. This requires some work, but it can be done. This example applies to continuous or discrete random variables.

Definition 3.22. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be continuous random variables, so that $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ has pdf $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. For any function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the expectation of f is

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) p(x_1,\ldots,x_n) dx_1 \ldots dx_n.$$

If X_1, \ldots, X_n are instead discrete and **X** has pmf $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) p(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_j are continuous and X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_n are discrete. Then

$$\mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^j} \sum_{\substack{(x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ (x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)}} f(x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) p(x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_j.$$

Example 3.23. Suppose X_1, X_2 are continuous pdfs such that $X_1 = X_2$, and X_1, X_2 have pdf p. Then the pdf of $\mathbf X$ is a little funny; it has the form $p(x_1, x_2) = p(x_1)$ " $\delta_{x_1 = x_2}$ ". This $\delta_{x=y}$ vanishes whenever $x \neq y$, and it reduces to integration only when x = y. In particular, for any $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, let E_1 be the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $(x, x) \in E$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in E) = \int_{E} p(x, y) \delta_{x=y} dx dy = \int_{E_1} p(x) dx.$$

This example is not too important, since we will never use it in this class, but I want to mention it just to let you know that things can be a little weird if one is too reckless and does not throw out complete redundancies in X.

3.6. Multivariate Gaussians.

Definition 3.24. Recall that a square matrix is positive definite if it is real symmetric and all its eigenvalues are strictly positive.

We say a random vector $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a multivariate Gaussian, written as $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$ (where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Σ is a positive-definite matrix of dimension $n \times n$), if its pdf is given by (for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$)

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}) \cdot \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m})}{2}\right\}$$

Because Σ is positive definite, it is invertible.

Example 3.25. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent $N(m_i, \sigma_i^2)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a multivariate Gaussian with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ and Σ diagonal with $\Sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$. Indeed, by independence, the pdf of \mathbf{X} is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - m_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} 2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - m_i)\sigma_i^{-2}(x_i - m_i)}{2}\right\}.$$

One can check that the determinant of $2\pi\Sigma$ is the product of its diagonal entries $2\pi\sigma_i^2$, and that $(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})\cdot\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{m})=\sum_i(x_i-m_i)\sigma_i^{-2}(\sigma_i-m_i)$, since the inverse of a diagonal matrix with positive entries is the diagonal matrix given by inverting the diagonal entries.

Lemma 3.26. The pdf $p(\mathbf{x})$ for $N(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$ is, in fact, a pdf (so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$.

Proof. As in the n = 1 case, one can shift $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}$ and assume $\mathbf{m} = 0$. We must show

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}}{2}\right\} d\mathbf{x} = 1.$$

Since Σ is real symmetric with positive eigenvalues, by the spectral theorem in linear algebra, we can write $\Sigma = O^T D O$, where O is orthogonal (so $OO^T = O^T O = I$) and D is diagonal with positive diagonal entries D_1, \ldots, D_n . In particular, $\Sigma = O^T D^{-1} O$ and $\det \Sigma = \det D$. So, the LHS of the previous display is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{O\mathbf{x} \cdot D^{-1}O\mathbf{x}}{2}\right\} d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since O is orthogonal, the change of variables $\mathbf{u} = O\mathbf{x}$ satisfies $d\mathbf{u} = d\mathbf{x}$. So, the previous display equals

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot D^{-1}\mathbf{x}}{2}\right\} d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2D_i}} dx_i$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi D_i}} e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2D_i}} dx_i.$$

We used the fact that the determinant of a diagonal matrix is the product of its entries above. The last integral is the product of integrals of pdfs of one-dimensional Gaussians, which are all 1, so the proof is complete.

Lemma 3.27. Let $X \sim N(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$.

- (1) If $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$, then $\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{w} \sim N(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{w}, \Sigma)$ and $M\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{m}, M^*\Sigma M)$.
- (2) For any i = 1, ..., n, we have $\mathbb{E}X_i = m_i$.
- (3) For any i, j = 1, ..., n, we have $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \Sigma_{ij}$.

Proof. (1) Omitted.

- (2) Set $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{m}$. Then $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(0, \Sigma)$. But the pdf for $N(0, \Sigma)$ is symmetric about the origin, so $\mathbb{E}Y_i = -\mathbb{E}Y_i = 0$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}X_i = \mathbb{E}Y_i + m_i = m_i$.
- (3) For notational convenience, let us assume $\mathbf{m} = (0, \dots, 0)$, so that $\mathbb{E}X_i, \mathbb{E}X_j = 0$ and thus $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \mathbb{E}X_i X_j \mathbb{E}X_i \mathbb{E}X_j$. We want to show that

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i x_j p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Because Σ is real symmetric and positive definite, by the spectral theorem in linear algebra, we can write $\Sigma = O^T D O$, where D is diagonal with entries $D_1, \ldots, D_n > 0$ and O is an orthogonal matrix satisfying $OO^T = O^T O = I$. So, we have $\Sigma^{-1} = O^T D^{-1}O$. Moreover, we have $\det \Sigma = \det D$. Hence, we have

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D)}} \exp\left\{-\frac{O\mathbf{x} \cdot DO\mathbf{x}}{2}\right\}.$$

Now, let A be the $n \times n$ matrix such that $A_{ij} = A_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $x_i x_j = \mathbf{x} \cdot A\mathbf{x} = O\mathbf{x} \cdot OAO^TO\mathbf{x}$. Thus, we want to show

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D^{-1})}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} O\mathbf{x} \cdot OAO^T O\mathbf{x} \exp\left\{-\frac{O\mathbf{x} \cdot DO\mathbf{x}}{2}\right\} d\mathbf{x}.$$

The multivariable change-of-variables formula implies that the u-substitution $u = O\mathbf{x}$ implies $d\mathbf{u} = d\mathbf{x}$. Thus, the RHS of the previous display is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi D^{-1})}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x} \cdot OAO^T \mathbf{x} \exp\left\{-\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot D\mathbf{x}}{2}\right\} d\mathbf{x}$$
(3.1)

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x} \cdot OAO^T \mathbf{x} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi D_i^{-1}}} e^{-\frac{D_i x_i^2}{2}} dx_i.$$
 (3.2)

If $Z=(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$ where $Z_i\sim N(0,D_i^{-1})$ are independent, then the previous display is equal to the expectation of $Z\cdot OAO^TZ$. It requires a linear algebra, but this can be shown to equal $(O^TDO)_{ij}=\Sigma_{ij}$.

4. WEEK 4, STARTING TUE. FEB. 13, 2024

4.1. Triangle inequality.

Lemma 4.1. We have $|\mathbb{E}X| \leq \mathbb{E}|X|$ for any random variable X.

Proof. Suppose X is discrete. Then $|\mathbb{E}X| = |\sum_x xp(x)| \leqslant \sum_x |x|p(x) = \mathbb{E}|X|$. If X is continuous, we have $|\mathbb{E}X| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} xp(x)dx\right| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|p(x)dx = \mathbb{E}|X|$.

4.2. Laplace and Fourier transforms, i.e. moment generating functions and characteristic functions.

Definition 4.2. For any random variable X, we define its Laplace transform/moment generating function (MGF) to be the function $m_X(\xi) := \mathbb{E} e^{\xi X}$. We define its Fourier transform/characteristic function to be $\chi_X(\xi) := \mathbb{E} e^{i\xi X} = m_X(i\xi)$.

Lemma 4.3. (1) If X, Y are independent, then $m_{X+Y}(\xi) = m_X(\xi)m_Y(\xi)$ and $\chi_{X+Y}(\xi) = \chi_X(\xi)\chi_Y(\xi)$.

- (2) We have $m_X(0) = \chi_X(0) = 1$.
- (3) We have $|\chi_X(\xi)| \leq 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (1) We have $m_{X+Y}(\xi) = \mathbb{E}e^{\xi(X+Y)} = \mathbb{E}e^{\xi X}e^{\xi Y} = \mathbb{E}e^{\xi X}\mathbb{E}e^{\xi Y} = m_X(\xi)m_Y(\xi)$. For the other identity, use $\chi(\xi) = m(i\xi)$.

- (2) We have $m_X(0), \chi_X(0) = \mathbb{E}e^{0X} = \mathbb{E}1 = 1$.
- (3) Since $|e^{ix}| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|\xi_X(\xi)| = |\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X}|$. Now, by the *triangle inequality*, we have $|\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X}| \leq \mathbb{E}|e^{i\xi X}| = 1$.

Theorem 4.4 (An inversion theorem). Suppose X,Y are random variables such that $m_X(\xi) = m_Y(\xi)$ for all ξ in a neighborhood of 0. Then X,Y have the same distribution, i.e. $\mathbb{P}[X \in A] = \mathbb{P}[Y \in A]$ for all open, closed, half-open, or half-closed subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The same is true for χ in place of m.

Example 4.5. Let $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. Then $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} = (1-p) + pe^{\xi}$. Now, suppose $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n,p)$. We can compute

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\xi Y} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} e^{k\xi} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} [pe^{\xi}]^k (1-p)^{n-k} = (pe^{\xi} + (1-p))^n.$$

On the other hand, we know $Y = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$, so $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi Y} = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}e^{\xi X_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n [pe^{\xi} + (1-p)] = [pe^{\xi} + (1-p)]^n$. This is another illustration that $Y = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$ for independent $X_j \sim \text{Bern}(p)$.

Example 4.6. The sum of independent Gaussians is Gaussian. Let $X \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ and $Y \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2)$. In HW3, you showed that $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} = e^{\frac{\xi^2 \sigma_1^2}{2}}$ and $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi Y} = e^{\frac{\xi^2 \sigma_2^2}{2}}$. From this, we know that $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi(X+Y)} = e^{\frac{\xi^2(\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2)}{2}}$. This shows that X+Y has the same Laplace transform as $N(0, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$. So, by the inversion theorem, we know that $X+Y \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.

Theorem 4.7 (Another inversion theorem). Let X be a discrete random variable with $pmf\ p(x)$. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function that satisfies $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi) e^{-ix\xi} d\xi = p(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\chi_X(\xi) = \mathbb{E} e^{i\xi X} = f(\xi)$. The same is true if X is a continuous random variable with $pdf\ p(x)$.

Example 4.8. On HW4, you are introduced to the Cauchy distribution, which is a continuous one with pdf $p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$. Its Fourier transform $\chi_X(\xi)$ is not so easy to compute, but it turns out to equal $e^{-|\xi|}$ (you are asked to do this computation). It is noticeably easier to show that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|\xi|} e^{-ix\xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$. The inversion theorem now shows $\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X} = e^{-|\xi|^2}$ if X is Cauchy.

4.3. How to compute moments.

Lemma 4.9. For any random variable X and integer $k \ge 0$, we have

$$\frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \mathbb{E}e^{\xi X}|_{\xi=0} = \mathbb{E}X^k,$$
$$\frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \mathbb{E}e^{i\xi X}|_{\xi=0} = i^k \mathbb{E}X^k.$$

Proof. By the chain rule, we have $\frac{d^k}{d\xi^k}e^{\xi X}=X^k$ and $\frac{d^k}{d\xi^k}e^{i\xi X}=i^kX^k$. Now take expectation on both sides.

Example 4.10. If $X \sim \operatorname{Bern}(p)$. Then $\mathbb{E}X^k = \mathbb{E}X$ for all $k \geqslant 0$ because X is either 0 or 1. On the other hand, $\mathbb{E}e^{\xi X} = (1-p) + pe^{\xi}$, and e^{ξ} stays put whenever we take derivatives.

Example 4.11. If $X \sim N(0,1)$, then $\mathbb{E} e^{\xi X} = e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$. We have $\frac{d}{d\xi} e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}} = \xi e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$ and $\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}} = (\xi^2 + 1)e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$ and $\frac{d^4}{d\xi^4} e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}} = (\xi^4 + 3\xi^2 + 3)e^{\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$, so if we set $\xi = 0$, we get $\mathbb{E} X = 0$ and $\mathbb{E} X^2 = 1$ and $\mathbb{E} X^4 = 3$. This is what you showed on HW3, but in an easier way!

4.4. Some inequalities.

Lemma 4.12. Suppose X,Y are two random variables. Then for any a>0, we have $|\mathbb{E}(XY)|\leqslant \frac{a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{2}+\frac{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}{2a^2}$. We also have $|\mathbb{E}(XY)|\leqslant (\mathbb{E}(X^2))^{1/2}(\mathbb{E}(Y^2))^{1/2}$.

Proof. For the first inequality, we first note $(aX - \frac{1}{a}Y)^2 = a^2X^2 + \frac{Y^2}{a^2} - 2XY \geqslant 0$ (it is non-negative because it is the square of something). Thus, $XY \leqslant \frac{a^2X^2}{2} + \frac{Y^2}{2a^2}$. Now, take expectations to get $\mathbb{E}(XY) \leqslant \frac{a^2\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}{2a^2}$. In the case where $\mathbb{E}(XY) \geqslant 0$, this is the first claim. If $\mathbb{E}(XY) < 0$, use the claim after replacing X by -X. To prove the second claim, use the first claim for $a = \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)}}$.

Example 4.13. Given two random variables X,Y, the correlation coefficient between them is $\sigma(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)\operatorname{Var}(Y)}}$. Note that this does not change if we replace X,Y by $\bar{X} = X - \mathbb{E}X$ and $\bar{Y} = Y - \mathbb{E}Y$, respectively. By Cauchy-Schwarz, we know that $|\sigma(X,Y)| \leqslant 1$. This means the correlation coefficient is a way to measure dependence of X,Y on each other without their size influencing anything.

Lemma 4.14. Suppose $p \in [1, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ and suppose $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then $|\mathbb{E}(XY)| \leq (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}(\mathbb{E}|Y|^q)^{1/q}$. (Note that if p = q = 2, this recovers Cauchy-Schwarz.)

Proof. It suffices to instead use $XY \leq \frac{a^p|X|^p}{p} + \frac{|Y|^q}{a^q q}$ for any a > 0, take expectation, and choose a appropriately.

Lemma 4.15 (Chebyshev inequality). Let X be a random variable. Then for any $p \ge 1$ and C > 0, we have $\mathbb{P}[X \ge C] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}|X|^p}{C^p}$.

More generally, if $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function, then $\mathbb{P}[X \geqslant C] \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}\varphi(X)}{\varphi(C)}$.

This is sometimes called Markov's inequality if p = 1. Although the first claim is true if p > 0, it is not useful if p < 1.

Proof. We prove the general version; for the first statement, take $\varphi(x)=x^p$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geqslant C] \leqslant \mathbb{P}[\varphi(X) \geqslant \varphi(C)] = \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\varphi(X) \geqslant \varphi(C)} \leqslant \mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\varphi(X) \geqslant \varphi(C)} \frac{\varphi(X)}{\varphi(C)}.$$

Since $\varphi(X)/\varphi(C) \geqslant 1$, we can drop the indicator for an upper bound.

4.5. The Law of Large Numbers.

Theorem 4.16. Let X_1, \ldots, X_N be independent random variables such that $\mathbb{E}X_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Define $Y = N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} X_j$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon] \leqslant \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}|X_j|^2}{N^2 \varepsilon^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{N \varepsilon^2} \sup_{j=1,\dots,N} \mathbb{E}|X_j|^2.$$

In particular, if X_1, \ldots, X_N have the same distribution, then $\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon] \leqslant \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_1)}{N\varepsilon^2}$.

Proof. By Chebyshev, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon] \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}|Y|^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathbb{E}X_i X_j}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Since X_i, X_j are independent, we know $\mathbb{E} X_i X_j = \mathbb{E} X_i \mathbb{E} X_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon] \leqslant \varepsilon^{-2} N^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} |X_i|^2$.

Example 4.17. If X_1, \ldots, X_N are independent N(0, 1), then we have already shown that $Y = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \sim N(0, \frac{1}{N})$. In this case,

$$\mathbb{P}[|Y| \geqslant \varepsilon] = 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N^{-1}}} e^{-\frac{Nx^2}{2}} dx.$$

This vanishes as $N\to\infty$ if $\varepsilon>0$ is fixed. Indeed, we know that $N^{1/2}\exp[-Nx^2/2]\leqslant C_\varepsilon\exp[-\sqrt{N}x]$ for all $x\geqslant \varepsilon$ if $C_\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently large depending only on ε . But the integral of $\exp[-\sqrt{N}x]$ from $x=\varepsilon$ to $x=\infty$ is $\leqslant \exp[-\sqrt{N}\varepsilon]$, which vanishes as $N\to\infty$.

Example 4.18. Let X_1, \ldots, X_N be Cauchy random variables (independent!), i.e. continuous with pdf $p(u) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+u^2)}$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$. You will show on HW4 that $Y = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ is also Cauchy for all N. Thus, the law of large numbers does not apply! Why?