$$=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\left|\overrightarrow{\nabla}\right|\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(\nu_{(i)}-\nu_{(j)}\right)^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right]^{2}\geq\frac{1}{2}\left[\left|\left|\overrightarrow{\nabla}\right|\right|^{2}$$

Thus,  $\nabla^2(\beta N fl) \ge \frac{1}{2}\beta N$ . Here, we use a different normalization for the Dirichlet form: Du(f) 0 = 1 SINFII2du. Then, the Bakmy - Emery estimate gives Sulf) < 4 July.

This shows that the relaxation time is of order 1. (This is the global olynamics.) We will show that the local dynamics converges to equilibrium set time of order N-1+E

Thm 6.6. Assume the following conditions:

(i) There exists a constant 3 70 such that  $Q := \sup_{0 \le t \le N} \frac{1}{N} \int_{j=1}^{N} (\lambda_{j} - \sigma_{j})^{2} f_{t}(\vec{x}) \mu_{G}(d\vec{x}) \le CN^{-2+23}$ 

(ii) After time 1/N, the solution to the equation of ft = 1ft, t>0, satisfies Su(fin) < CNm for some fixed m.

Fix any B≥1 and nEIN. Let F: IRn > IR be a smooth function with compact support.

F(x) = F(N(xi+1 - xi), N(xi+2-xi), ..., N(xi+n-xi))

Then, for any 36(0, 1) and any sufficiently small constant 600, there exist constants C, c>o depending only on & and T, such that for any JCf1, 2, ..., N-n3

In particular, if  $t \ge N^{-1+2\frac{2}{3}+2\frac{8}{6}\epsilon+\delta}$ , we have

$$\left|\int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} F_{i,n}(\vec{x}) \left( f_t d\mu - d\mu \right) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|J|^{\delta-1}} + Ce^{-cN^{\epsilon}}$$

Hence, the gap distribution, averaged over I indices, coincides for fodu and du if 1J1NJ-1 -> +00

Averaged gap distribution on scale J cornesponds to averaged energy distribution on scale J~ Nb.

Lemma. Under the letting of Thin 66, suppose \ \fin \(\frac{1}{121}\) \(\frac{5}{121}\) \(\frac{5}{121 for some constant 5>0

Suppose the eigenvalue rigidity holds:  $\forall \kappa>0$ , sup  $|\lambda j-r_j| < N^{-1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ .

Then, for any constant E>O and N-1 << by << 1, we have that

$$\left| \int_{E-b}^{E+b} \frac{dE'}{2b} \int d\vec{a} O(\vec{a}) \left( p_{\mu,N}^{(n)} - p_{\mu,N}^{(n)} \right) \left( E' + \frac{\vec{a}}{N \text{fsc}(E)} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq N^{2\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{N^{-1+3}}{b} + \sqrt{\frac{N^{-\delta}}{b}} \right]$$
.  $N^{-1+3+2\varepsilon}$ 

Hence, the averaged energy distribution over  $b >> \sqrt{1500} \sqrt{11512} \times N^{-1+3+2} \times N^{-1+3+2+2} \times N^{-1+3+2} \times N^{-1+3+2}$ for field and for and in.

The above two results establish the averaged gap/energy universality for Under the optimal rigidity, 3 can be chosen as small as possible. Furthermore, & as and & are arbitrary. This establishes the Dyson's conjecture of the local dynamics relaxes to equilibrium for t= N-1+C V constant c>0.

Main ideas for the proof: Recall that

$$\langle \vec{v}, \nabla^2 \mathcal{H} \vec{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{\Sigma} \sum_{i} V(i)^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} \frac{\left( V(i) - V(j) \right)^2}{\left( \lambda_i - \lambda_j \right)^2} \ge \frac{1}{\Sigma} ||\vec{v}||^2$$

The key intuition is that the pelaxation time is in fact much shorter than order 1 for local variables that depend only on the eigenvalue differences. In particular, with the intuition that 7i-1; are close, the relaxation time in the direction veir-vij) should be much smaller than 1. However, this effect is hard to use directly in the real proof.

An idea is to add an "auxiliary strongly convex to potential" to fl to "speed up" the relaxation to local equilibrium. On the other hand, we will show that the effect of this spending up on the local statistics can be controlled provided with the estimate (+) sup  $\frac{1}{N}\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_j - \lambda_j)^2 \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\bar{\lambda}) \mu_0(d\bar{\lambda}) \lesssim N^{-2+23}$ 

We introduce the following auxiliary potential  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{\lambda}) := \frac{1}{2\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i^2 - \delta_i^2)^2$ ( We will choose  $T = tN^{-E}$  in the proof.)

Then, the new Hamiltonian is  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ , and the corresponding measure is  $dw = \omega(\vec{x}) d\vec{x}$ ,  $\omega(\vec{x}) := e^{-\beta N \hat{x}} / \tilde{z}$ , called the 'local relaxation

The "local relaxation flow" with the generator characterized by the natural Dirichlet form w.r.t.  $\omega$ :  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} - \sum_{j} b_{j} \partial_{j}$ ,  $b_{j} | x_{j} \rangle = \frac{x_{j} - y_{j}}{z}$ 

For small t, it will substantially increase the lower bound on the Hessian, hence speeding up the dynamics so that the relaxation time is at most z. In addition, we will compare the local statistics of the original system with those of the modified one.

It turns out that the difference is governed by \$6 (PA)2, which can be controlled with the estimate (t). Proof of Theorem 6.6 Proposition 1. Fix any  $\beta \ge 1$ . Consider the equation  $2t g_t = \tilde{I} g_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , with the teversible measure  $w = e^{-\beta N \mathcal{H}}/Z_N$ . Suppose the initial condition go satisfies I godw = 1. Then, we have that (1)  $\partial_{t} D_{w}(\sqrt{q_{t}}) \leq -\frac{2}{\tau} D_{w}(\sqrt{q_{t}}) - \frac{1}{\beta N^{2}} \int_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{(\partial_{i} \sqrt{q_{t}} - \partial_{j} \sqrt{q_{t}})^{2}}{(x_{i} - x_{j}^{2})^{2}} dw$ ,

(2)  $\frac{1}{\beta N^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \int_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_{i} \sqrt{q_{s}} - \partial_{j} \sqrt{q_{s}})^{2}}{(x_{i} - x_{j}^{2})^{2}} dw \leq D_{w}(\sqrt{q_{s}})$ , and the LSI Sw190) = CTDw(Jgo). Hence, the relaxation time to equilibrium is of order T: (3) Sw (9t) < e - Ct/t Sw (90), Dw (\$\overline{F}\_t) < e - Ct/t Dw (\$\overline{F}\_0\$) Proof: Performing the same calculation as in the proof of the Bakry - Emery estimate, we obtain that for  $h_t = \sqrt{7}t$ ,  $\frac{2}{5N}\int (\nabla h_t)^2 e^{-\beta N\widetilde{H}} d\widetilde{x} \leq \frac{2}{5N}\int (\nabla h_t) \cdot (\nabla^2 \widetilde{H}) \cdot (\nabla h_t) e^{-\beta N\widetilde{H}} d\widetilde{x}$ For H, we can calculate its Hessian as Plugging it into the above inequality, we conclude (1). Integrating over t, we conclude (2). The LSI and (3) can be derived in the same way as in the proof of Baking-Emery.  $\square$ The extra term on the RHS of (1) plays a key role in the proof of the following prop Proposition II. Let q be a probability density was with respect to the local relaxation measure w, i.e., I gdw = 1. Fix any n > 1 and a smooth function F with compact support. Recall that Fin(文):= F(N(xi+1-xi), ..., N(xim-xi)) Then, for any J = {1,2,..., N-n3 and +>0, we have  $\left|\int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} f_{i,n}(\hat{x}) \left(q d\omega - d\omega\right)\right| \leq C \left(t \frac{D\omega(Jg)}{|J|}\right)^{1/2} + C J_{S\omega}(g) e^{-ct/T}.$ Proof: For simplicity of notation, we take n=1, so Fin(x) = ( (N(xi+)-xi)) let q be a solution to  $2t \ gt = I \ gt$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , with initial condition  $g_0 = g$ . Then,  $\int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} G(N(x_i + x_{i+1})) (q-1) dw = \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} G(N(x_{i+1} - x_{i})) (q-q_{+}) dw +$ 1 11 5 G(N(xi+1-xi)) (g,-1) dw (64)

Exp. decay of entry given by the last prop The second term can be bounded by ∫ |9+-1|dw < C ∫Sw(3+) < Ce-ct/t ∫Sw(20). Pinsker inequality To estimate the first term, using  $\partial_t g_t = \widetilde{L} g_t$ , we get that J = = = G(N(xi+1-xi)) q+ dw - J = = = G(N(xi+1-xi)) qodw = \int ds \frac{1}{131 ist G(N(x+1-xi))} \frac{q\_+ dw}{q\_+ dw} \gamma\_s \quad q\_s dw = St ds S IJI E G(N(xi+1-xi)) Iqs dw  $= \int_0^t ds \int \frac{1}{|J|} \sum_{i \in J} G^i(N(x_{i+1} - x_{i})) \left(\partial_{i+1} g_s - \partial_{i} g_s\right) d\omega \qquad (*)$ Using 2 & 2: 9 = 259 2:57 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain that  $|(*)| \leq 2 \left[ \int_0^t ds \int \frac{N^2}{|II|^2} \frac{Z}{i \in I} |G'(N(X_{i+1} - X_i))|^2 (X_{i+1} - X_i)^2 q_s dw \right]^{1/2} \times$ [ ] ds ] \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{7}{i} \frac{1}{(x\_i - x\_{i+1})^2} (\frac{\partial i + \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}s}}{2} - \partial i \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}s})^2 dw ] \frac{1}{2} < C DW (570) Moreover, |G'(N(xi+1-xi))|2 |xi+1-xi|2 < CN-2 because G is smooth and compactly supported. So (\*) 国 ( C JRulfo) ( t) 1/2 Proposition II. Consider the local relaxation measure w for a given 2>0. Set 4 = w/m and  $g_t = f_t/4$  with  $f_t$  solving at  $f_t = Lf_t$ . Suppose there is a const. C>0 such that S(finiw) < NC Fix any small &>0. For any te[zNE, N], the entropy of and Dirichlet form satisfy S(gtw/w) = CN2Qt-1, Dw (Jgt) = CN2Qt-2, where recall that  $Q = \sup_{0 \le t \in N} \frac{1}{N} \int_{\delta=1}^{N} (\lambda_j - y_j)^2 \int_{\delta=1}^{\delta} (\lambda_j - y_j)^$ We calculate of S(ft, u|w) = of S(ft, u|4µ) Broof:  $= \int (f_t)^{\log g_t} d\mu + \int f_t \frac{df_t}{f_t} d\mu = \int (f_t)^{\log g_t} d\mu$ = [ft & (10g gt) du = [ gt 4 & (10g gt) du = [ gt 4 [ gt & (10g gt) - gt & gt] du + ( 4 1 g+ du

```
We can check directly that \mathcal{L}(\log g_t) - \frac{\mathcal{L}g_t}{g_t} = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \frac{|\nabla g|^2}{g_t^2} = -\frac{4}{\beta N} |\nabla g_t|^2 \cdot \frac{1}{g_t}
  Hence, we have 2+ S(ft,u|w) = - 4/5N J4/VSgt/2du + J4lgtdu , (bj = xj+xj)
                                     Using diff = 2 Jgt dt Jgt and C-S, we get
                      < - 2 Dw ( Jat ) + ( N I ) bi at dw
                                         =-2 Dw (5gt) + CN2 + S (xj-xj)2 gt dw
                                          < -2 Dw (Jgt) + CN2QT-2.
  Applying the LSI w.r.t. dw, we get that
                         2+ S (ftm w) 5-CT-1S (ftm w) + CN2QT-2
   Applying the Gronwall's mequality, integrating this inequality from I to t and using the
   assumption S(f_{z,\mu}|w) \leq N^C and t \geq \tau N^E, we obtain that
                     S (g+w|w) = S(f+ n|w) = CN2Qz-1.
   To prove the second estimate, we notice
D_{\mu}(Jf_s) = \frac{1}{\beta N} \int \frac{|\nabla (g_s \psi)|^2}{g_s \psi} \frac{dw}{\psi} < \frac{c}{\beta N} \int \left[ \frac{|\nabla g_s|^2}{g_s} + |\nabla \log \psi|^2 g_s \right] dw
                              = C Dw (Jgs) + C (2) x3-8; 12 ft du
                              5 C P_{w} (J_{35}) + C N^{2} Q z^{-2} (*)
the Taking integral over [t-z,t] own get and my, we get
                           \int_{t-\tau}^{t} D_{w}(Jg_{s}) ds \ge \int_{t-\tau}^{\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{c} D_{w}(Jf_{s}) - cN^{2}Q\tau^{2} \right] ds
           (Dulfe) is decreasing in t) \leq \geq \frac{\tau}{C} Du(Jf_{\bullet}) - CN^2Q\tau^{-1}.
On the other hand, with (+) and (++), we get that
                            [+-z Dw (5gs) ds < CN2QZ-1 + [S(feplw) - S(fo-zplw)] < CN2QZ-1
From the above two inequalities, we obtain that
                                   Dul Ft) < CN2QZ-2
```

(66)

With a similar argument as in (\*), we get that

Theorem 6.6 is a consequence of the above three propositions. We choose  $\tau = t N^{-\epsilon}$  (recall that  $t = N^{-1+2\delta} + 2\epsilon + \delta$ ), Y = W/M and  $Q := g_t = f_t/Y$ . To apply hop.  $\square$ , we still need to verify the assumption  $S(f_t M | W) \leq N^C$ . By definition,  $S(f_t M | W) = \int f_t \log f_t \, d\Phi_M - \int f_t \log Y \, dM$ 

 $= S_{\mu}(f_{\overline{z}}) - \int f_{\overline{z}} \log \psi \, d\mu,$ where  $-\log \psi = \frac{\beta N}{2\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_{j} - y_{j})^{2} + \log \frac{\overline{z}}{\overline{z}}$ . We know  $\widetilde{z} \le \overline{z}$  since  $\widetilde{A} \ge H$ . Hence,

 $-\int f_{\zeta} \log \psi \, d\mu \leq CN\zeta^{-1} \int \int_{\delta}^{N} (x_{\delta} - x_{\delta})^{2} \, f_{\zeta}(\vec{x}) \, d\mu(\vec{x}) \leq CN^{2}\zeta^{-1}Q \leq N^{C}.$  It remains to bound Sulfa) for a "good initial condition" as a Wigner matrix.

Lemma: let  $\beta=1,2$ . Suppose the initial data for of the DBM is given by the eigenvalue distribution of a Wigner matrix. Then,  $\forall 270$ , we have

Su(fo) < CN=[1- log(1-e-t)]

Boof: We only consider the real case with  $\beta=1$ . Recall that fight is the eigenvalue distribution of  $H_{7}=e^{-\tau/2}H_{0}+(1-e^{-\tau})^{1/2}$  GOE. Notice that fight is the marginal of MHz by integrating out the eigenvector distribution, and  $\mu$  is the marginal of GOE. Then, using the fact that the entropy is decreasing with taking a marginal, we get

Su (fr) < Slung ( ) MGOE).

Notice that both MHz and MGOE are product measures of the laws of matrix elements. Then, using the additivity of entropy,  $S(Mn_{\rm T} \mid \mu_{\rm GOE})$  is equal to the sum of the relative entropies of the matrix elements. Let's consider the off-diagonal elements: for  $V = 1 - e^{-V}$ , let for be the probability density of  $(1-V)^{1/2}S = e^{-V/2}S$ , where S is the random variable for an off-diagonal matrix element. Then, the prob. density of an off-diagonal element of Hz is given as:  $S_T = f_V \times g_{aV/N}$ , where  $g_A$  is denoted the Gaussian distribution

 $g_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2a}\right)$ 

Then, we calculate that

S(Sel gols giln) = S ( J dy Pr 14) goln ( - 4) | giln)

(Jensen) < = 5 dy Pary) S (gr/n (· - y) | g1/n)

A direct calculation yields that

 $S(g_{62}(\cdot-y)|g_{52}) = \log \frac{s}{6} + \frac{y^2}{2s^2} + \frac{6^2}{2s^4} - \frac{1}{2}$ 

67

Applying it to our case, we get  $S\left(g_{3/N}\left(\cdot-g\right)\middle|g_{1/N}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(Ny^2-\log r+r-1\right).$  Thus,  $S\left(S_{z}\middle|g_{1/N}\right)\leq\int dy\ \rho_{\sigma}(y)\ \frac{1}{2}\left(Ny^2-\log r+r-1\right).$  Using  $S_{z}^{2}P_{\sigma}(y)My=\frac{1}{N},\ \text{we}$  conclude the proof.  $With\ \text{the above lemma,}\ \text{we can apply Prop. If let II to get that (with <math>g_{\sigma}(y)=\frac{1}{N}$ ) and  $\int \frac{1}{|J|}\sum_{i\in J} f_{i,n}(\frac{1}{x})\left(f_{t}d\mu-d\partial dw\right)\leq C\left(t\frac{D_{w}(J_{\theta}^{2})}{|J|}\right)^{1/2}+C\left(S_{w}(\frac{1}{x})e^{-CN^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{1$