Dyson Brownian Motion and Bulk Universality I. Various notions of Bulk universality The local eigenvalue statistics can either be expressed in terms of local correlation functions" rescaled around some energy E or the "gap statistics" for a gap hit - his with a given label j. They are called "fixed energy" and "fixed gap" universalites, and they do not coincide. In fact, eigenvalues fluctuate on a scale much larger than the typical eigenvalue spacing, the label j of the eigenvalue 2j closest to a fixed energy E is not a deterministic function of E. Moveover, the two concepts both have natural averaged versions, which are generall easier to establish. Recall that $\int_{S_{i}}^{S_{i+1}} P_{SC}(x) dx = \frac{1}{N} = \int_{S_{i+1}}^{S_{i+1}} - \delta_{i} \sim \frac{1}{NP_{SC}(S_{i})}$. Hence, the fluctuation gaps and correlation functions need to be rescaled by the local density $P_{SC}(S_{i})$ for to get an universal limit. This holds in more general setups, such as sample covariance matrices. ① Fixed energy universality: ∀n E/N, F: IRn > IR is Cco. For any const K>0, we have that uniformly in E E[-2+K, 2-K], $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho(E)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\vec{a} \ F(\vec{a}) \, p_N^{(n)} \left(E + \frac{\vec{a}}{N_{\text{Sc}}(E)}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\vec{a} \ F(\vec{a}) \, q_{\text{GOE}/\text{GUE}}^{(n)} (\vec{a}),$ where $\vec{a} = (d_1, \cdots, d_n)$, $p_N^{(n)}$ is the repoint correlation function, and $q_{GOE|GHE}^{(n)}$ (\vec{a}) = det (S(d: -d;))ij=1 is the @ Averaged bulk universality (on scale N-HE): determinant of sine-kenel we derive before. lim 1 Pro Pro(E)" SE-b 2b Iph da F(Z) PN (x+ \frac{1}{NPSC(E)}) = \int da Fla) \quad \qu where b= N-1+E & const &>0.

3 Fixed gap universality: Fixed any small constant \$70 and n∈/N. For any F: IRN → IR, F∈Co and any k, m∈ [185 &N, (1-5)N], we have that

lim | IEHN F(Ngc(8K) NGCXIK (NKHI - NK), ..., Ngc(8K) (NKHI - NK))

N→20 | (8m) Nm+1-Nm

- IEGOE/GUE F(Ngc(182N) (NGCXIK) (NGC) (NG

Averaged gap universality: For $l = N^{\epsilon}$, \forall const $\epsilon > 0$, $\begin{cases}
lim & | \frac{1}{2l+1} \sum_{j=k-l} |E_{HN} F(N fsc(\delta_{K})(\lambda_{MN}), \dots, N fsc(\delta_{K})(\lambda_{MN}), \dots, N fsc(\delta_{K})(\lambda_{MN}) \\
\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_{j}
\end{cases}$ $- |E_{GOE}/GUE F(N fsc(\delta_{M})(\lambda_{MN}), \dots, N fsc(\delta_{M})(\lambda_{MN}) - \lambda_{MN})| = 0.$

Fixed energy Averaged energy, Fixed gap Averaged gap, Fixed energy #> Fixed gap, Averaged energy (=> Averaged gap. We will focus on proving the averaged energy universality.

Theorem 6.1 The averaged energy universality holds on scales N-1+E V 0<E<1. This is a version of the famous "Wigner-Dyson-Mehta conjecture". II. The three-step strategy Step 1: Local semicircle law. At this step, we get precise estimates on the matrix elements of the resolvent, the rigidity of eigenvalues, and delocalization of eigenvectors. Step 2: Universality for Gaussian divisible consembles ensembles. Gaussian divisible se ensembles are random matrices that can be written as Hot It Ho, where Ho is Wigner, Ho is GOE / GUE of Ho and to is a parameter. A convenient way to generate Ht is the "matrix Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) Process": dHt = JN dBt - Ht dt, Ht = Ho, Where Bt is a matrix Brownian motion whose entries are independent BMs up to symmetry Bt = Bt, and =Bt = JF GOE/GUE. For each entry, dhij (t) = Indbij (t) - I hij (t) dt. It has a unique strong solution:

high= high= high= $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{dt-t'}{dt} = \frac{dt-t'}{dt}$ Note that $\int_0^t \frac{e^{-(t-t')/2}}{\sqrt{N}} dh_{ij}(t')$ is centered Gaussian of variance $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')} dt' = \frac{1}{N}(1-e^{-t0})$. Hence, with a slight abuse of notation, we write it as $h_{ij}^G \nabla h \cdot \sqrt{1-e^{-t}}$. This gives a solution Ht = e-t/2 Ho + JI-e-t HG A big advantage of this form is that variances are preserved throughout the process: |E|hijit)|2 = et |E|hijio)|2 + (1-et) |E|hij|2 = E|hijio|2, for IElhijio) 12 = 1+ dij in the real case, and IElhijio) 12 = 1 in the complex case.

The purpose of Step 2 is to show that the bulk universality of Ht for t=N-1+E

for any 0< E< 1.

Approximation by a Gaussian de divisible ensemble

Step 3: Given a Wigner matrix, H, there exists a Wigner Ho such that Ht has asymptotically the identical local eigenvalue statistics as H. This is usually done through a Green's function comparison argument by using certain moment matching conditions. Alternatively, one can also use a continuity estimate of a the matrix OU process.

The "three - step strategy" is now (one of) the most standard in proving the bulk universality of random matrices (for edge universality, the Step 2 sometimes is not necessary).

Here, Step 1 is model-specific and generally, is "hardest" step. The Steps 2 and 3 are more standard, where general methods/proofs/arguments are known and work for "most" models. In particular, the strongest result for Step 2 has been established for very general initial conditions. Ho (not necessarily a random matrix).

III. Dyson Brownian Motion

The matrix Brownian motion introduces ± 2 SPDE tools to study the evolution of the eigenvalues of H_t : $J_1(t) \le J_2(t) \le \cdots \le J_N(t)$. A classical theorem below will guarantee that the eigenvalues are simple and continuous functions of t. So the labelling is preserved along the evolution.

In principle, the eigenvalues [rilt] and eigenvectors [vilt] of Ht are correlated strongly, and we expect a couple system of stochastic differential equations for them (which is indeed the case if Bt is not chosen to have the law of R GOE/GUE).

But Dison observes that the eigenvalues themselves somice are order above to severe of some

But, Dyson observe that the eigenvalues themselves satisfy an autonomous system of SDEs that does not involve eigenvectors, which is called the Dyson Brownian Motion (DBM).

Theorem 6.2 The eigenvalues {2:(t)} of Ht satisfy the following system of SDEs:

$$d\lambda_i = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\beta N}} dB_i(t) + \left(-\frac{\lambda_i}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}\right) dt , \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad \begin{cases} \beta = 1 & \text{for real}, \\ \beta = 2 & \text{for complex.} \end{cases}$$

{Bilt): IsisN} is a collection of independent BMs. The solution to the above equation is called DBM (where there is not necessarily an underlying matrix model).

Reof: let λa be an eigenvalue of $H(t) = (h_i j(t))$ with eigenvector $\tilde{u}_a(t)$. Almost surely, all eigenvalues are simple. We apply 1 + o's formula to $\lambda_a(t)$ to derive the DBM. We only consider the real case with $\beta = 1$.

Differentiating: Hua = laud, until = sap, we obtain that

(1)
$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial h_{ij}}\vec{u}_{k} + H \frac{\partial \vec{u}_{k}}{\partial h_{ij}} = \frac{\partial \lambda_{k}}{\partial h_{ij}}\vec{u}_{k} + \lambda_{k} \frac{\partial \vec{u}_{k}}{\partial h_{ij}}$$

(51)

Taking inner product with (1) and using (2), we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial k_{ij$$

(52)

IE[(dBa),(dBp)] = A I I kali) uz(k) up(l) up(j)[abik, abaj]

= # I I Lik Lij Walk) UBIL) UBIL) UBIG) (Siebkj + Sij TKL) dt

=2# I [Walis Up (i) Walk) Up (k) to and at = 2 Sap at

Thus, $Bu = J_2 B_d$, where Bu(t) is a standard real BM and Bu's are independent of each other. This gives the DBM with $\beta = 1$.

A standard SPDE argument shows that there is a strong solution to the DBM:

(#) $d\lambda_i = \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma}}{\sqrt{\beta N}} dB_i(t) + (-\frac{\lambda_i}{2} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}) dt$, $1 \le i \le N$, $\beta \ge 1$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $\Delta N := \{\vec{\lambda}: \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N \}$. Let $\beta \geq 1$ and suppose that the initial cond. $\vec{\lambda}(0) \in \Delta N$. Then, there exists a unique strong solution to (f) in the space of continuous functions $(\vec{\lambda}(1))_{1 \geq 0} \in C(1R_+, \Delta_N)$. Moreover, $\forall +>0$, we have $\vec{\lambda}(1) \in \Delta_N$ and $\vec{\lambda}(1)$ depends continuously on $\vec{\lambda}(0)$. In particular, if $\vec{\lambda}(0) \in \Delta_N$, then $(\vec{\lambda}(1))_{1 \geq 0} \in C(1R_+, \Delta_N)$, i.e., the eigenvalues are separated for all times along the evolution.

Rmk: The DBM can be regarded as a Itô drift-diffusion process. Hence, we can mimic the proof of the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution there. But, one needs to deal with the signlarities (\(\lambda_i - \lambda_j\) -1. The "level tepulsion mechanism" will play a significant role in the proof.

IV. Strong local ergodicity of DBM

The Gaussian measure is the only stationary measure of DBM and the DBM (GOE | GUE) dynamics converges to this equilibrium from any initial condition.

Recall the invariant β -ensemble: $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, $\mu_N(d\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \exp(-\beta N \mathcal{H}_N(\vec{\lambda}_1)) d\vec{\lambda}$

 $\mathcal{H}_{N}(\bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} V(\lambda_{i}) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i < j} \log |\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j}|$. For us, we are interested in the GOE/GUE case with $V(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N}\lambda^{2}$.

Then, we define the Dirichlet form associated with un:

53)

The symmetric operator associated with the Dirichlet form is called generator and denoted by $\text{dy} \equiv \text{L}$. It is defined through (<,>: inner product) $\text{Du}(f) = \langle f, (-L)f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = -\int f \, \text{L} \, f \, \text{d} \mu_N \; . \; (-L \text{ is a positive operator})$

Note that L can be chosen as $L = \frac{1}{\beta N} \Delta - (\nabla L L) \cdot \nabla$;

 $-\int f L f = \exp(\beta N \mathcal{U}_{N}(\vec{x})) d\vec{x} = -\int f \frac{1}{\beta N} \Delta f = \exp(-\beta N \mathcal{U}_{N}) d\vec{x}$ $+ \int f(\partial \mathcal{U}) \cdot \nabla f = \exp(-\beta N \mathcal{U}_{N}) d\vec{x}$

= $P_{\omega}(f) + \frac{1}{\rho N} \int f(pf) \cdot p(\frac{1}{2N} exp(-\beta NP(N))) d\vec{\lambda} + \int f(pg() \cdot pf) dy = D_{\omega}(f)$

In components: $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\beta N} \partial_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1}{2} V'(\lambda_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} \right) \partial_i$

For $V(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2$, we have $L_G = \frac{1}{\beta N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \partial_i^2 + \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_i + \prod_{j \neq i} \prod_{j \neq i} \lambda_{i \rightarrow j} \right) \partial_i$.

God With the DBM and Ito's formula, we notice that for any twice differentiable g, $\partial_t IE g(\vec{\lambda}(t)) = IE L_G g(\vec{\lambda}(t))$.

Write the distribution of \$\frac{7}{1}(t) at time t as \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}) \mu\left| \distribution. We have

 $\partial_t \int g(\vec{x}) f_t(\vec{x}) \mu_N(d\vec{x}) = \int u(deg(\vec{x})) f_t(\vec{x}) \mu_N(d\vec{x})$

= a [g(x)[&cf+(x)] M(dx).

In other words, the density $f_t(\vec{x})$ satisfies $\partial_t f_t(\vec{x}) = \partial_t f_t(\vec{x})$. (A)

Note that $f(\vec{x}) \equiv 1$ is a solution to this equation, i.e., $\mu_N(d\vec{x})$ is a stationary measure of the DBM. Our goal is to show that for any initial condition f_0 , $f_t \rightarrow f_\infty \equiv 1$. A much harder and more important question is: how fast the dynamics teach equilibrium?

Dyson's conjecture The global equilibrium of DBM is reached in time of order 1 and the local equilibrium (in the bulk) is reached in time of order to.

From Ht = e^{-t/2}Ho + JI-e^t HG, we see that the global equilibrium is indeed reached within a time of order 1. The key is that the local equilibrium is an achieved much faster if an a priori estimate on the initial locations of the ego eigenvalues holds, which verifies Pyson's conjecture.

This a priori estimate is the "rigidity of eigenvalues". Theorem 64 (Relaxation of DBM) Suppose for some exponent $3 \in (0, \pm)$, the rigidity of the eigenvalues in the holds on scale N^{-1+3} , i.e., max $|\lambda_j|(1) - \delta_j(1) | \langle N^{-1+3} \rangle = \frac{44}{12} | \langle N^{-1+3} \rangle =$ Let $E \in [-2+\kappa, 2-\kappa]$ and $b_N > 0$ such that $[E-b, E+b] \in (-2, 2)$. Then, $\forall n \ge 1$ and FØEC° : IR" →IR, we have < NE[N-1+3] | TIT] | | F||c1, Y const E>0 and tE[N-1+825, N] Here, 1/2 is the n-point correlation function of Ht, | ||Feller = ||F||_0 + HORNER XEIR" THE HAP RMK: The upper bound N on t is not essential. It can be replaced by NE, VE>O, where Ht = $e^{-t/2}$ Ho + $\sqrt{1-e^{-t}}$ H6 is super-close to HG with an exponentially error $e^{-O(N^{\epsilon})}$ For us, the most interesting case will be t<<1. The above thm says that if we have rigidity on scale N^{-1+3} , then the DBM has averaged bulk universality for any $t>> N^{-1+23}$ on scales by max $\{N^{-1+3}, (Nt)^{-1}\}$. If Ho is a Wigner matrix, we can choose 3 as small as possible. This gives theorem 6.1.

Back of theorem 6th Tor any constant 800, we choose 3= 8 and by t= N-1+28, b= N-1+38. As long as & is sufficiently small teg., & 2 In the sense of large energy windows of size $b=N^{-\epsilon}$, the above theorem essentially establishes the Dyson's conjecture the time to local equilibrium is $N^{-1+\epsilon}$ $\forall \epsilon > 0$. V: Entropy To & analyze the convergence of ft to foo, a classical tool is entropy and the log - Sobolev inequality (LSI). Def: Given two probability measures to and v, we define the relative entropy of v w.r.t. μ is as $S(\nu | \mu) = \int \log \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\nu = \int \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \log \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \qquad \text{derivative})$

if v is absolutely continuous w.r.t. u. (Otherwise, we set S(V)u) = 00.)

(55)

```
If v=f\mu, then \frac{dv}{d\mu}=f. Then we write S_{\mu}(f):=S(v)(\mu)=\int f\log f d\mu, the entropy
                                                                                       of f
 Since the function XI-> Xlog X is convex on IR+, by Jensen's inequality,
                               If logf du > (Ifdu) log(Ifdu) = 0, i.e., the relative
                                                                       entropy is always positive.
 We now present some important inequalities related to the entropy.
Prop (Gibbs inequality) Let X be a random variable defined on the probability space of
      \mu and \nu. For any d>0, we have:
                            [EV[X] < 2-15(V) + 2-1 log (EMedX
  Broof: Without loss of generality, we can take d=1 by setting X > d X. By Jensen's ineq,
                     (E"X - S(V)w) = S x du - S du log du du
                                       = Slog[ex dy ] dv = log[Sex dy dv] = log[Emex] =
 Rmk: In fact, we have S(x|y) = \sup_{x} [iE^{y}x - \log_{i}E^{\mu}e^{x}].
 Recall that the 1° distance between fur and u is defined by: [ ] 1f-11 du ] 7
 When p=1, it is also called total variation (TV) norm. Entropy is a weaker
  measure of distance between two probability measures than the LP distance VP>1:
                        |f logf| = |[1+(f-1)] log[1+(f-1)] | < Cp( |f-1| + |f-1|)
                    => If logf du < Cp (SIf-11 du) + Cp SIf-11 du.
(This can be chosen as 2) (This can be chosen as \frac{2}{p-1}) But, it is stronger than the TV norm. Also, notice the following simple helation:
                              dp/p=1 [ ft du] = ft log f du.
Prop (Pinsker inequality) Suppose If du=1 and fzo. Then, we have that
                              1 [ ] If-1 | du] = 2 f log f du.
Proof: Recall the equivalent form of TV norm: [If-11 du = sup[[fgdu - [gdu]]
Using the Gibbs inequality ( with x = g and v = fu), we get tt>0,
              (*) Sfgdn - Sgdn < t-1 log Setgdn + t-1 Sflogfdn - Sgdn.
   Penote h(t): = log fetg du, t20. A direct calculation gives that
                                                                                      (56)
```

$$R'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g d\nu t , \text{ where } d\nu t := \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}^{13}} d\mu ,$$

$$R'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} d\mu = -\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g d\nu t\right)^2 = Var_{ur}(g) \le 1 \text{ Since } |g| \le 1 .$$

$$R_{ur}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} d\mu = -\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g d\nu t\right)^2 = Var_{ur}(g) \le 1 \text{ Since } |g| \le 1 .$$

$$R_{ur}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} d\mu = -\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g d\nu t\right) + \frac{i}{2}t^2 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}} d\mu \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{\frac$$

S(f) = If log f du = of losf 1 du = ver D(sf)

such that:

(57)

for any smouth density

fro with Sfdu=1.

The smallest such or is called the LSI constant of M For our purpose, we focus on Gibbs measure defined by a Hamiltonian H: $d\mu(x) = e^{-\frac{2\pi(x)}{x}}dx$. (*) The Dirichlet norm is defined by Dulf) = \$ 10f12 du. The generator associated with u is L = D - (PSL). V. Recall that L satisfies Sf(2g) = Sufig = - Sof. og du. A Theorem 65 (Baky - Emery) Suppose & in (*) satisfies a uniform convexity condition: for some constant K>0 and any x. (∇^2 denotes the Hessian, and ">" is used in the following sense: for two-Hermitian matrices the smallest eigenvalue of orth is at least K.) Then the LSI holds for u with an LSI const 852/K, i.e., S(f) < = D(sf) for any density f with I foly = 1. 2 ft = &ft, t>0, relaxes to equilibrium on the time scale 1/2 Furthermore, the dynamics in the following senses: $S(f_t) \leq e^{-2tK} S(f_0), \quad D(Jf_t) \leq \frac{a!}{2t} e^{-tK} S(f_0)$ Proof: Let ft be a solution to deft = left with a given smooth initial condition fo We can check that at S(ft) = ot ff log ft du = f(Lft) log ft du + fft du = - \int (Pft) \cdot \int (log ft) du = - \int \frac{|\nabla ft|}{ft} du = -4 \nabla (\mathfrak{f}t) \cdot (t) Let he: = Ift, then other = The of (he) = It L(he) = Lht + It | Dht |2. Then, we can compute the evolution of the Dirichlet form: 2+ D(Ft) = 2+5/Oht/2du = 25(Vht)·(V2+ht)du = 1 = 2 \((\forall ht) \cdot (\forall Lht) du + 2 \((\forall ht) \cdot \forall \forall ht \) du = 25 (Pht). (Ph-20) htdu + 25 (Pht). 2(Pht) du + 25 I (it (it) [26; h)(3;3;h) (3;3;h) (3;1) 1/2 =-2 \(\(\nabla \text{ht} \) \cdot \(\nabla^2 \text{fl} \) \cdot \(\nabla \text{fl} \) \cdot \(\nabla \text{fl} \) \cdot \(\nabla \text{fl} \) \(\nabla \t

= -2 \(\bar{ph} \) \(\bar{p}^2 \mathred{R} \) \(\bar{p} Integrating With Granw In sum, of D(Ft) < -2 KD(Ft) => D(Ft) < e^-2tKD(F6) This shows that the equilibrium is achieved at too with foo = 1, where both the entropy and Dirichlet form are zero. Integrating the Obequality from to to too, we get $-S(f_0) = -4 \int_0^\infty D(f_t) dt \ge -4 D(f_0) \int_0^\infty e^{-2tK} dt = -\frac{2}{K} D(f_0).$ This proves the LSI for any f=fo. In particular, it also holds for f=ft. Then, 2+ S(ft) = -4 D(Jft) < -2KS(ft) => S(ft) < e^-2Kt S(fo). Finally, $S(f_t) = -4\int_{t/2}^t D(f_t)dt' = 0$ ⇒ 1 f D(玩) ≤ S(fth) ⇒ D(玩) ≤ ½ S(fth) ≤ ½ e^{-tk} S(fo) Example (LSI for Gaussian measure) Consider a Gaussian measure on IRN, $d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi 6^2)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{26^2}} dx$ By Bakry - Emery, 151 holds for u with $y \le 26^{\circ 2}$. This is actually sharp: $y = 26^{\circ 2}$. Prop (LSI implies Spectral gap/Poincaré inequality) let u satisfy LSI with LSI const &. Then, & f & L^2(u) with I fdu=0, we have Sf2du = = [lof12du = = D(f), he., u has a spectral gap of size at least of Pf: By def, V density u, Julogudu < & D(Ju). Define u=1+ &f for small &>0 Then, $\int (1+\epsilon f) \log(1+\epsilon f) d\mu \leq \frac{8}{4} \int \frac{\epsilon^2 |\nabla f|^2}{1+\epsilon ||g||} d\mu$ 1 52 du = 4 5 lof12 du. Prop (LSI implies large deviation): Herbst bound) Suppose u satisfies LSI with const r. let F be a function with ExF=0. Then, 1EneF = exp(4110F110), 110F110:= sup 10F(x)1. In particular, we have IPu(IFIZd) = exp(- 2/2).

(59)

```
This shows that LSI implies a much stronger large deviation estimate than spectral gap:
  with spectral gap, we only get IPM (IFIZA) < TIPF 11/20.
Pf: Denote ult) = expletf) . With LSI, we get that
                        dt [et log iEmexpletF)] = etiEm[ulogu] set & iEm[voul2, (*)
  where IEM | DILI' = IEM + 10112 = 41EM [ et OF - WW IEM [ UH) et OF]
                                  < 2 11VF112 .
  Integrating (x) from any tco to t=0 yields that
                       log IEM exp(F) - et log IEM exp(etF) < 7/107/100 (1-et).
  Taking t > -00, we get et log IEM exp(etF) > # IEMF = 0. Hence, we get
                           log IEM exp(F) < 4 11 OF1120 .
   With the bound on the exponential moment, we apply Markov's inequality to get that
                           1PM ( F≥2) ≤ 1EM exp( + F - +d) ≤ exp(-dt + t2 x 110 F1102).
  Optimizing over t gives
                          IPM (FEZ) < exp(- 22 ). Similar bound holds for
                                                         1PM(F ≤ - 2).
Bop: (LSI under "perturbations") Suppose v= qu for some bounded function q. Let
      The and or denote the LSI constants for m and v. Then
Proof: Take any smooth f \ge 0 with \int f dy = 1. Denote \alpha := \int f dy \le 11g^{-1}11_{00}.
           S_{\mu}(f/d) = \int \frac{f}{dt} \log f d\mu, where \int \frac{f}{dt} d\mu = 1. For a 20, b 30 (Since \times \log x is convex)
    Using the inequality, aloga - blogb - (1+logb) (a-b) 20, we get
            [flogf-2logd-11+log2)(f-2)]dv = liglion [[flogf-2logd-11+logd)(f-2)]dn
                                                     = 1191100 · 25/(f/d), (log(1+x) = x)
 While the LHS is = Su(f) - dlogd - (1+logd)(1-d) = Su(f) - [logd-(a-1)] > Su(f)
 Thus, we get
              Su(f) = 1191100 - 25m (f/d).
  If LSI holds for u with const. Tu, then
                Su(f) < 119 1100 KD1000. 8p a f 10 F/2 12 du
```

```
TU S
       This concludes the proof.
   Brop (Tensorial Property of LSI) Consider two probability measures \mu, \nu that satisfy
      the LSI with LSI constants you and To, respectively. Then, \mu \otimes \nu satisfies LSI with
      LSI constant & < max { xu, xu}
   of. With a density argument, it suffices to show that
                                                                     Spor(f) < marfor, 80} [ 125] 12 duov
           for f of the form f(x,y)= g,(x) g_2(y), with a = fg,(x) to, a= fg,2y) v(dy).
            Given fix,y), we we define the marginal fix = ffix,y) vldy), and conditional
           density fx(y) = f(x,y)/f(x). Then, we can calculate that
                                     Show (f) = I fix,y) log fix,y) m(dx) v(dy) = I fix)fxiy) [ log fix) + log fxiy) ] midx).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                          vldy)
                                     = Su(f) + fix) [ fxiy) log fxiy) vidy) pidx)
                                     < σμ [ | [x sf(x) | μ(dx) + γν f(x) μ(dx) [ | σy sfx(y) | 2 ν(dy)
                                                                                                                                                              ( (x) (fing) 12/f(x)
                                   = \frac{1\int \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}
                                                                                                     Cauchy - Schwarz
  ( \int \text{Txf(x,y) \name(dy))^2 = ( \int 2(\text{Txf}) \text{f} \name(dy))^2 < ( \int \frac{f(x,y) \name(dy)}{\text{()}} \left( \int \frac{1}{2} \name(dy) \right)
                         = max{xu, xu} [ | DJf(x,g) 12 m(dx) W(dy).
PAR VII. Universality of DBM
        Recall that the stationary measure for GOE/GUE is \mu(\bar{n}) = \frac{1}{2\nu} \exp(-\beta N \beta \mu(\bar{n})) d\bar{n},
                       HU(x) = = = \frac{1}{\Sigma} V(\lambdai) - \frac{1}{\Sigma} \frac{\Sigma}{\sigma} \log \lambda_i - \lambda_i \left]
      We have dynamics \partial_t f_t = L f_t, L = \frac{1}{\beta N} \Delta - (D f_t) \cdot D, and Dirichlet form
                                                  Ou (f) = - Strfdu = = 5NS 10f12du.
```

We now consider the Hessian of Pl: $\langle \vec{r}, \nabla^2 f \hat{l}_N \vec{r} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{N_i^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{V(i)V(j)}{(2i-2j)^2} \right) \right) (1)$