

Are iPhone users more secure when using mobile enterprise systems?*

The difference of the perceived security of Enterprise Systems between IOS and Android users

Yang Wu

09 April 2021

Abstract

Organizations are adopting mobile technologies for various business applications including Enterprise system (ES) to increase the flexibility and to gain sustainable competitive advantage. At the same time, end-users are exposed to security issues when using mobile technologies. Users' usage habits and users' attitudes towards those potential security issues would have a significant impact to the perceived security of ES. Here comes the question: will iPhone users have higher perceived security than Android users? Through the propensity score matching and regression model, we have the answer.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Data	3
2.1	Data collection	3
3	Model	3
3.1	T-test	3
3.2	correlation model	3
3.3	propensity score matching	3
3.4	regression model	3
4	Results	3
5	Discussion	3
5.1	First discussion point	3
5.2	Second discussion point	3
5.3	Third discussion point	3
5.4	Weaknesses and next steps	3

*Code and data are available at: <https://github.com/yangg1224/Security-of-Enterprise-System.git>.

Appendix	4
A Additional details	4
References	5

1 Introduction

Mobile Enterprise System (ES) has more unique advantages than traditional enterprise systems. With a mobile enterprise platform, the entire company can be moved to the internet. Therefore, users who have permission can remotely access the enterprise database with any device equipped with a browser. Easy and convenient accessibility of data from mobile devices anywhere and anytime has made a significant change in people’s working style (Al Bar et al. 2011). People are no longer confined to working in the office, and any place can be their workstation. Besides, increasing business applications take advantage of mobile devices features such as a touchscreen, camera, video, voice, and other advanced functions to maximize the productivity. (Rodrigues, Ruivo, and Oliveira 2021).

These advantages have created a vulnerability. The primary design purpose for the mobile device is its portability, not its security, which gives mobile enterprise systems weaker defense capabilities (He, 2013). There are many challenges and issues stemming from a lack of users’ awareness and negative attitudes. It is increasingly hard for an enterprise to maintain resource safety since the interaction between the user and device boost sharply. The features of these interactions are often unplanned and lack of supervision, which makes the leakages of the enterprise information possible(Matkerimov and Yakovlev 2020).

The dependent variable in my project is the perceived security of mobile ES. Many researchers have widely discussed the definition of mobile ERP system security. Although their understanding of the ERP security is strongly based on their specific domains, some aspects of the understanding of mobile ERP security are shared. (Siponen and Oinas-Kukkonen 2007) define security as the protection of resources to attain the objectives of integrity, availability, and confidentiality. With regards to “integrity”, the authors suggest the metadata cannot be modified without authorization, while “availability” means that authorized person can access information anytime and anywhere. Finally, “confidentiality” refers to certain actions being implemented to prevent information leakage.

As we all know, compared with Android devices, iPhone has relatively closed platform, which gives it higher security performance. But users’ usage habits also have a huge impact towards the perceived security. For example, if users tend to use public Wi-Fi at coffee shop, the perceived security of ES would be lower. Because the system has more risk of information leakage. (whether they update operating system; how they deal with pop up security warning ...) All those uncertainties raise my interest to figure it out.

The purpose of this project is to examine whether iPhone users (IOS) are securer than Android users when using mobile ES. The intervention is using iPhone as mobile device. The questionnaire survey is the chosen method for collecting data from mobile ES users, which has been done last year. **propensity score matching** will be used to avoid selection bias and evaluate the effect of the treatment by comparing the treaded and non-treated group. It allows me to easily consider many independent variables at once, and it can be constructed using logistic regression. Multiple regression will also be used to examine the impact of users’ attitudes towards perceived ES security. The research finding will help the security providers of ES to know the determinants of perceived security in the users’ perspectives and to take measures to improve the determinants.

The remainder of the paper is constructed as follows. Section 2 describes the dataset, data collection, and exploratory data analysis on feature visualization. Section 3 outlines the data analysis models, which is designed to discover relationships between features and the target variable. Section 4 summarizes the model results according to evaluation criteria. Finally, Section 5 discusses the research findings and provides directions for future research.

This paper uses R statistical programming language (R Core Team 2020). In particular, we use packages `tidyverse` (Wickham et al. 2019), `here` (Muller 2020), `ggpubr` (Kassambara 2020) to manipulate data and packages, `kableExtra` (Zhu 2020) to generate tables, and `ggplot2` (Wickham 2016), `ggthemes` (Arnold 2021) to adjust diagrams themes.

2 Data

2.1 Data collection

3 Model

3.1 T-test

3.2 correlation model

3.3 propensity score matching

3.4 regression model

4 Results

5 Discussion

5.1 First discussion point

5.2 Second discussion point

5.3 Third discussion point

5.4 Weaknesses and next steps

Weaknesses and next steps should also be included.

Appendix

A Additional details

References

- Al Bar, Adnan, Essam Mohamed, Mohd Khursheed Akhtar, and Faris Abuhashish. 2011. “A Preliminary Review of Implementing Enterprise Mobile Application in Erp Environment.” *International Journal of Engineering & Technology* 11 (4): 77–82.
- Arnold, Jeffrey B. 2021. *Ggthemes: Extra Themes, Scales and Geoms for 'Ggplot2'*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggthemes>.
- Kassambara, Alboukadel. 2020. *Ggpubr: 'Ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr>.
- Matkerimov, T, and K Yakovlev. 2020. “Ways to Solve the Optimal Mobility of Forest Complex Machines in the Formation of a Logging Enterprise.” In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 595:012064. 1. IOP Publishing.
- Muller, Kirill. 2020. *Here: A Simpler Way to Find Your Files*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=here>.
- R Core Team. 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Rodrigues, Jorge, Pedro Ruivo, and Tiago Oliveira. 2021. “Mediation Role of Business Value and Strategy in Firm Performance of Organizations Using Software-as-a-Service Enterprise Applications.” *Information & Management* 58 (1): 103289.
- Siponen, Mikko T, and Harri Oinas-Kukkonen. 2007. “A Review of Information Security Issues and Respective Research Contributions.” *ACM SIGMIS Database: The DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems* 38 (1): 60–80.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. <https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D’Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemond, et al. 2019. “Welcome to the tidyverse.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>.
- Zhu, Hao. 2020. *KableExtra: Construct Complex Table with 'Kable' and Pipe Syntax*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra>.