

## Section 9.2: The Two-sample $t$ Test and Confidence Interval

Assume

$$X_1, \dots, X_m \sim^{iid} N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$$

and

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim^{iid} N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2),$$

where either  $m$  or  $n$  is small and both  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are unknown.

## Case 1: Two Sample $t$ Procedure.

Let

$$\nu = \frac{(\frac{s_1^2}{m} + \frac{s_2^2}{n})^2}{\frac{(s_1^2/m)^2}{m-1} + \frac{(s_2^2/n)^2}{n-1}}.$$

If  $\nu$  is not an integer, we usually take the greatest integer  $\leq \nu$ . For example, if  $\nu = 10.9$ , we choose  $\nu = 10$ .

Then, the two sample  $t$  confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \pm t_{\alpha/2, \nu} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{m} + \frac{s_2^2}{n}}.$$

Let

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{m} + \frac{s_2^2}{n}}}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be the significance level. Then,

- (a) we reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$  when  $t > t_{\alpha, \nu}$  if we test

$$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_0 (\text{or } \leq \Delta_0) \leftrightarrow H_a : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \Delta_0;$$

- (b) we reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$  when  $t < -t_{\alpha, \nu}$  if we test

$$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_0 (\text{or } \geq \Delta_0) \leftrightarrow H_a : \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \Delta_0;$$

- (c) we reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$  when  $|t| > t_{\alpha/2, \nu}$  if we test

$$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_0 \leftrightarrow H_a : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \Delta_0.$$

Case 2: Pooled  $t$  procedure.

Assume  $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ . Let

$$S_p^2 = \frac{(m-1)S_1^2 + (n-1)S_2^2}{m+n-2}$$

and write  $s_p^2$  as the observed value of  $S_p^2$ . Then,

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{S_p^2(1/m + 1/n)}} \sim t_{m+n-2}$$

when  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = \Delta_0$ . Thus, the  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, m+n-2} s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}}.$$

Let

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \Delta_0}{s_p \sqrt{1/m + 1/n}}.$$

We reject  $H_0$  and conclude  $H_a$  if

$$\begin{cases} t > t_{\alpha, m+n-2} & \text{in the testing problem (a)} \\ t < -t_{\alpha, m+n-2} & \text{in the testing problem (b)} \\ |z| > z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, m+n-2} & \text{in the testing problem (c)} \end{cases}$$

First example of Section 9.2: example 9.6 on textbook.

Type	Sample Size	Sample Mean	Sample SD
Cotton	10	51.71	0.79
Triacetate	10	136.14	3.59

$$\nu = \frac{(\frac{0.79^2}{10} + \frac{3.59^2}{10})^2}{\frac{(0.79^2/10)^2}{9} + \frac{(3.59^2/10)^2}{9}} = 9.87.$$

Thus, we choose  $\nu = 9$ . Then,, the 95% confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$51.71 - 136.14 \pm t_{0.025,9} \sqrt{\frac{0.79^2}{10} + \frac{3.59^2}{10}} \\ = [-87.06, -81.80].$$

Note: Since  $s_1^2$  and  $s_2^2$  are not close, we cannot use pooled  $t$  procedure. Section 9.5 will give the detailed method for that.

Second example of Section 9.2: example 9.7 on textbook.

Type	Sample Size	Sample Mean	Sample SD
No fusion	10	2902.8	277.3
Fused	8	3108.1	205.9

- In the two-sample  $t$  method, we have

$$\nu = \frac{(\frac{277.3^2}{10} + \frac{205.9^2}{8})^2}{\frac{(277.3^2/10)^2}{9} + \frac{(205.9^2/8)^2}{7}} = 15.94.$$

Thus, we choose  $\nu = 15$ . The 95% confidence interval is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2902.8 - 3108.1 \pm t_{0.025,15} \\ & \quad \times \sqrt{\frac{277.3^2}{10} + \frac{205.9^2}{8}} \\ & = [-448.22, 37.62]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $H_0 : \mu_1 \geq \mu_2$  and  $H_a : \mu_1 < \mu_2$ ,

$$t = \frac{2902.8 - 3108.1}{\sqrt{\frac{277.3^2}{10} + \frac{205.9^2}{8}}} = -1.8$$

which is between  $-t_{0.05,15} = -1.753$  and  $-t_{0.01,15} = -2.602$ . Thus,  $H_0$  is rejected at  $\alpha = 0.05$  and not rejected at  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

- Pooled  $t$ -procedure.

$$s_p^2 = \frac{9 \times 277.3^2 + 7 \times 205.9^2}{10 + 8 - 2} = 248.6^2.$$

The 95% confidence interval is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2902.8 - 3108.1 \pm t_{0.025,16} \\ & \quad \times 248.6 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8}} \\ & = [-455.29, 44.68]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $H_0 : \mu_1 \geq \mu_2$  and  $H_a : \mu_1 < \mu_2$ ,

$$t = \frac{2902.8 - 3108.1}{248.6 \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{8}}} = -1.74.$$

Since  $-t_{0.05,16} = -1.746$ , we fail to reject  $H_0$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Note: since  $s_1^2$  is close to  $s_2^2$  here, we can use either the two-sample  $t$  procedure or pooled  $t$  procedure.