

1 Linearization of the FOC around the certainty-equivalent point

We start from the approximate first-order condition (FOC) derived from the second-order expansion of expected log utility:

$$\frac{1}{y_1 - s} = \beta \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{v}{m^3} \right], \quad m \equiv s + (1 + \lambda)x\mu, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu = e^{\sigma^2/2}$ and $v = (1 + \lambda)^2 x^2 K$ with $K = e^{\sigma^2}(e^{\sigma^2} - 1)$. The variable m is the mean of second-period consumption, and v scales with its variance.

1. Certainty-equivalent benchmark

When there is no risk ($v = 0$), the FOC becomes

$$\frac{1}{y_1 - s_{CE}} = \frac{\beta}{s_{CE} + (1 + \lambda)x\mu}.$$

Solving for s_{CE} gives:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{CE} + (1 + \lambda)x\mu &= \beta(y_1 - s_{CE}), \\ (1 + \beta)s_{CE} &= \beta y_1 - (1 + \lambda)x\mu, \\ s_{CE} &= \boxed{\frac{\beta y_1 - (1 + \lambda)x\mu}{1 + \beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let m_0 denote the certainty-equivalent mean of second-period consumption:

$$m_0 \equiv s_{CE} + (1 + \lambda)x\mu.$$

2. Define small deviations

Let the true optimum under risk be s^* with corresponding mean

$$m = s^* + (1 + \lambda)x\mu, \quad \delta m \equiv m - m_0.$$

From this definition,

$$s^* = s_{CE} + \delta m.$$

We assume both δm and v are small and linearize equation (1) around $(s_{CE}, m_0, v = 0)$.

3. Linearize the FOC

Equation (1) can be written as

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{y_1 - s^*}}_{LHS} = \underbrace{\beta \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{v}{m^3} \right]}_{RHS}.$$

Left-hand side (LHS). For small deviations $s^* = s_{\text{CE}} + \delta m$, expand:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{y_1 - s^*} &\approx \frac{1}{y_1 - s_{\text{CE}}} + \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{y_1 - s} \right)_{s=s_{\text{CE}}} (s^* - s_{\text{CE}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{y_1 - s_{\text{CE}}} + \frac{1}{(y_1 - s_{\text{CE}})^2} \delta m.\end{aligned}$$

Using the certainty-equivalent FOC $\frac{1}{y_1 - s_{\text{CE}}} = \frac{\beta}{m_0}$, we have:

$$\text{LHS} \approx \frac{\beta}{m_0} + \frac{\beta^2}{m_0^2} \delta m.$$

Right-hand side (RHS). Substitute $m = m_0 + \delta m$ and expand to first order in δm and v :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{m} &= \frac{1}{m_0} - \frac{\delta m}{m_0^2}, \\ \frac{1}{m^3} &= \frac{1}{m_0^3} - \frac{3\delta m}{m_0^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Ignoring higher-order terms ($v\delta m$), the RHS becomes:

$$\text{RHS} \approx \beta \left[\frac{1}{m_0} - \frac{\delta m}{m_0^2} + \frac{v}{m_0^3} \right] = \frac{\beta}{m_0} - \frac{\beta}{m_0^2} \delta m + \frac{\beta v}{m_0^3}.$$

4. Equate and solve for δm

Equating LHS and RHS and canceling β/m_0 gives:

$$\frac{\beta^2}{m_0^2} \delta m = -\frac{\beta}{m_0^2} \delta m + \frac{\beta v}{m_0^3}.$$

Combine like terms:

$$\delta m \left(\frac{\beta^2 + \beta}{m_0^2} \right) = \frac{\beta v}{m_0^3}.$$

Hence,

$$\boxed{\delta m = \frac{v}{(1 + \beta)m_0}}.$$

5. Approximate optimal saving

Using $s^* = s_{\text{CE}} + \delta m$, we obtain:

$$\boxed{s^* \approx s_{\text{CE}} + \frac{v}{(1 + \beta)m_0}.}$$

6. Interpretation

- The first term s_{CE} is the optimal saving under certainty.
- The additive correction $\frac{v}{(1+\beta)m_0}$ is positive for $v > 0$, reflecting the precautionary saving motive.
- The correction is smaller when β or m_0 is large: patient agents or richer agents exhibit a smaller marginal precautionary adjustment.

2 Apply a second-order Taylor expansion

We approximate $\mathbb{E}[\log C]$ using a second-order Taylor expansion of the function $g(c) = \log c$ around the mean of the random variable C .

Let

$$C = s + (1 + \lambda)xz_2, \quad m \equiv \mathbb{E}[C] = s + (1 + \lambda)x\mu, \quad v \equiv \text{Var}(C).$$

Then the second-order Taylor expansion of $g(C)$ around m is

$$g(C) \approx g(m) + g'(m)(C - m) + \frac{1}{2}g''(m)(C - m)^2.$$

Taking expectations and using $\mathbb{E}[C - m] = 0$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}[g(C)] \approx g(m) + \frac{1}{2}g''(m)\mathbb{E}[(C - m)^2].$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[(C - m)^2] = \text{Var}(C) = v$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\log C] \approx g(m) + \frac{1}{2}g''(m)v.$$

For $g(c) = \log c$,

$$g'(c) = \frac{1}{c}, \quad g''(c) = -\frac{1}{c^2}.$$

Substituting $g(m)$ and $g''(m)$ gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\log C] \approx \log m - \frac{v}{2m^2}.$$

Finally, recalling that $m = s + (1 + \lambda)x\mu$, the delta-method approximation is

$$\mathbb{E}[\log(s + (1 + \lambda)xz_2)] \approx \log(s + (1 + \lambda)x\mu) - \frac{v}{2(s + (1 + \lambda)x\mu)^2}.$$