Triadic Climate Mitigation: A Theoretical Synthesis

Figure 1: Conceptual illustration depicting the systemic interactions between renewable energy, carbon removal, hybrid energy storage systems, and governance mechanisms.

Abstract This study advances an integrated framework for climate stabilization, emphasizing the interdependence of energy decarbonization, carbon removal ecosystems, and governance innovation. The analysis identifies renewable energy scaling as foundational to systemic decarbonization, yet constrained by persistent structural barriers including grid integration challenges, land-use conflicts, and institutional inertia in fossil fuel subsidy reform. Breakthroughs in hybrid energy storage systems—combining electrochemical, thermal, and hydrogen technologies—emerge as critical enablers for managing renewable intermittency. Carbon removal strategies are reconceptualized through synergistic terrestrial-marine-industrial systems, where engineered solutions (direct air capture, marine alkalinity enhancement) amplify natural processes (blue carbon sequestration, mineral weathering) while addressing scalability and ecological safeguards. Governance imperatives center on synchronizing multilateral policy architectures, particularly through coordinated fossil fuel production limits and reformed climate finance mechanisms, alongside inclusive stakeholder engagement frameworks that bridge global targets with localized implementation. The paper demonstrates how clean energy infrastructure enables carbon removal operational demands, adaptive regulations accelerate technology diffusion, and participatory governance maintains social legitimacy during rapid transitions. Findings underscore the necessity of simultaneous innovation across technological, ecological, and institutional domains to address accelerating Earth system destabilization, proposing priority actions in hybrid storage deployment.

Keywords: Decarbonization, Ecosystems, Sequestration, Renewables

1 Introduction

Contemporary climate acceleration manifests across atmospheric, cryospheric, and ecological systems. Surface temperatures are now rising at unprecedented rates [1], amplified by accelerating Arctic albedo loss and intensifying permafrost feedbacks [2]. Despite renewable energy advances, atmospheric GHG concentrations show persistent increases, revealing fundamental mismatches between policy ambitions and technological implementation. Ecosystem reorganizations - including altered seasonal cycles and disrupted species distributions [3] - demonstrate accelerating climate disequilibrium, with current emission trajectories surpassing even pessimistic historical projections [4]. These cascading dynamics expose critical deficiencies in global governance systems [5], demanding coordinated responses to Earth system destabilization.

This paper argues that achieving climate stabilization requires three interdependent pillars: a systemic overhaul of energy infrastructure, strategic deployment of carbon removal systems, and institutional innovations enabling rapid decarbonization. The subsequent sections develop this tripartite framework through focused analyses of zero-carbon technological pathways (Section II), engineered carbon sink scalability (Section III), and governance architectures for accelerating emissions mitigation (Section IV). By examining these dimensions as interconnected components of Earth system stewardship, the analysis identifies critical leverage points for synchronizing technological, ecological, and political transitions.

2 Technological Decarbonization of Energy Systems

2.1. Renewable Energy Scaling

The systemic transition to renewable energy infrastructure forms the cornerstone of global decarbonization strategies. Solar, wind, hydroelectric, and bioenergy systems collectively demonstrate transformative potential to displace fossil fuel dependency within electricity generation systems. This energy transformation necessitates synergistic optimization across technological innovation, economic mechanisms, and regulatory frameworks.

Technological maturation has driven substantial reductions in renewable energy production costs, establishing cost competitiveness with conventional energy sources across multiple markets. Continuous advancements in manufacturing processes and material science reinforce these economic gains, creating self-sustaining adoption cycles when supported by policy interventions.

Spatiotemporal variability in renewable resource availability underscores the importance of integrated continental transmission networks. Strategic interconnection of complementary solar, wind, and hydro resources enhances grid stability and supply reliability. This systemic approach transforms renewable energy integration from localized solutions to comprehensive energy architecture redesign [6].

Policy frameworks must evolve to address emerging challenges in land-use conflicts and community engagement. Participatory planning processes balance energy security objectives with ecological preservation and social equity considerations [7]. Such multidimensional approaches ensure renewable scaling aligns with broader sustainable development goals while maintaining public acceptance momentum [8].

The renewable transition faces persistent structural barriers including legacy infrastructure inertia and fossil fuel subsidy regimes. Overcoming these obstacles requires coordinated action across financial institutions, regulatory bodies, and technology developers [9]. Long-term success hinges on maintaining policy consistency while adapting implementation strategies to regional technological and socioeconomic contexts [10].

2.2. Energy Efficiency Revolution

The energy efficiency revolution accelerates decarbonization through technological, behavioral, and institutional transformations. It reduces energy demand while maintaining productivity, enabling renewable infrastructure deployment [11]. Key sectoral approaches include:

Industry: Requires operational redesign with process optimization and smart manufacturing [12]. Waste heat recovery and material circularity face thermodynamic limits, necessitating output adjustments in energy-intensive subsectors [13].

Transport: Fleet electrification and operational efficiency cut emissions, especially in maritime/aviation sectors lacking alternative fuels [14]. Urban mobility benefits from integrated land-use planning.

Built Environment: Retrofitting strategies combine smart building systems with passive design, requiring policy solutions for split incentives [15].

Cross-cutting challenges include fragmented governance and rebound effects from digitalization. Thermal storage innovations and superconducting materials could overcome conversion losses, while standardized policies must address industrial competitiveness. Implementation requires sector-specific roadmaps considering technological readiness and SME inclusion.

2.3. Storage Technology Advances

Energy storage enables renewable integration by addressing intermittency. Key developments across mechanical, electrochemical, and thermal domains address spatiotemporal energy needs:

Mechanical: Pumped hydro dominates grid-scale capacity, while compressed air advances through geological adaptability [16]. Both require strategic siting near renewables.

Electrochemical: Lithium-ion leads short-duration markets via falling costs [17]. Flow batteries target medium durations, with hydrogen progressing via electrolyzer innovations [18].

Thermal: Phase-change materials enable compact heat storage; thermochemical systems achieve high energy density [16]. Durability challenges persist.

Hybrid systems like IANOS combine flywheels (inertia), hydrogen (seasonal), and batteries (daily cycling) [19]. Short-duration tech benefits from supply chains, while long-duration solutions face material/revenue gaps [20].

Policy needs: Expanded capacity markets and grid upgrades (bidirectional transmission, smart networks). Circular economy strategies (battery recycling, second-life uses) mitigate mineral supply risks.

3 Carbon Dioxide Removal Ecosystems

3.1. Enhanced Natural Sinks

Enhanced natural sinks provide critical pathways for atmospheric carbon drawdown through optimized management of terrestrial, oceanic, and industrial systems. These approaches leverage biogeochemical processes to amplify Earth's inherent carbon sequestration capacities while maintaining ecological functionality [21].

Industrial alkaline residues exhibit passive carbon sequestration potential through mineral weathering processes. Calcium-rich materials react with atmospheric CO_2 to form stable carbonates, creating decentralized carbon sinks near emission sources [22]. Strategic material handling could accelerate these geochemical interactions without requiring energy-intensive interventions.

Terrestrial ecosystems function as integrated carbon reservoirs through vegetation-soil interactions. Agroforestry systems enhance carbon stocks by combining woody species with agricultural production, improving soil structure and microclimate regulation simultaneously [23]. Conservation-oriented land management further supports carbon retention while preserving agricultural productivity.

Oceanic systems offer carbon storage through coupled physicochemical and biological mechanisms. Alkalinity enhancement techniques increase seawater CO_2 absorption capacity while counteracting acidification effects [24]. Complementary approaches utilize marine biomass cultivation to transfer carbon into durable materials or sedimentary storage.

Implementation challenges require resolution through adaptive governance frameworks. Spatial variability in sequestration potential demands localized strategy adaptation to ecological and socioeconomic contexts [25]. Ocean-based interventions necessitate rigorous environmental safeguards, while terrestrial methods must align carbon storage objectives with food production requirements.

Synergistic integration with engineered removal systems enhances overall carbon drawdown efficiency. Hybrid approaches prove particularly effective in industrial-agricultural zones where material flows and land resources enable coordinated carbon management. Maintaining ecosystem resilience remains fundamental as climate stressors threaten existing carbon stock stability.

3.2. Engineered Removal Solutions

Engineered carbon dioxide removal systems enable targeted atmospheric carbon extraction through advanced technological interventions, operating synergistically with natural carbon cycles. These solutions address residual emissions through controlled processes requiring systemic integration across energy, material, and governance domains.

Direct air capture systems utilize chemical sorbents for atmospheric CO_2 concentration reduction, with modular designs enabling deployment flexibility near renewable energy hubs and geological storage sites [26]. Bioenergy with carbon capture systems leverage sustainable biomass conversion coupled with permanent sequestration, optimizing carbon flows through integrated heat and power utilization [27].

Marine carbon removal approaches enhance oceanic storage capacities via accelerated mineral weathering and alkalinity enhancement, requiring rigorous environmental monitoring to prevent ecosystem disruptions [28]. These marine techniques complement terrestrial systems through spatial diversification of carbon sinks.

Critical implementation barriers emerge from material supply constraints and energy-intensive processes, particularly affecting sorbent regeneration and mineral supply chains [29]. Lifecycle emissions assessments must validate net carbon negativity across production, operation, and decommissioning phases to ensure environmental integrity.

Effective deployment necessitates international policy frameworks establishing standardized monitoring protocols and technology certification mechanisms [30]. Phased funding strategies should prioritize scalable pilot projects while maintaining alignment with emissions reduction targets to prevent mitigation deterrence.

3.3. Blue Carbon Development

Coastal marine ecosystems provide critical carbon sequestration services through sediment stabilization and biomass accumulation processes. Mangrove forests, tidal marshes, and seagrass meadows demonstrate exceptional carbon storage capacity due to anaerobic soil conditions that reduce organic matter decomposition [31]. These ecosystems deliver co-benefits including coastal protection and biodiversity conservation, positioning them as multifunctional climate solutions.

The carbon sequestration potential of blue carbon systems originates from continuous organic deposition and vertical sediment accretion. Comparative analyses reveal superior per-unit-area carbon burial efficiency relative to terrestrial forests [32]. This capacity enables long-term carbon storage under stable environmental conditions, though lateral carbon fluxes introduce measurement uncertainties across ecosystem boundaries [33].

Operational challenges stem from fragmented governance frameworks and underdeveloped financial mechanisms. Unresolved carbon ownership rights in coastal zones hinder market participation, while valuation gaps between ecosystem services and market prices limit investment [34]. Standardized protocols for carbon accounting require urgent development to address spatial heterogeneity in belowground stocks.

International cooperation frameworks offer pathways to scale blue carbon implementation. Bilateral partnerships facilitate technology transfer for ecosystem restoration, while multilateral agreements could harmonize carbon accounting standards [35]. Transnational certification schemes may enhance market confidence through third-party verification of project integrity.

Community engagement ensures equitable benefit distribution and ecological appropriateness of restoration efforts. Integrating traditional knowledge improves species selection and site prioritization in mangrove rehabilitation projects. Technological advances in remote sensing and machine learning enable large-scale monitoring of biomass dynamics and sediment accretion patterns.

Policy integration across climate and sustainable development agendas remains essential. Mainstreaming blue carbon into national adaptation plans creates co-funding opportunities, while aligning carbon markets with biodiversity safeguards prevents ecological trade-offs. These coordinated approaches maximize the climate mitigation potential of coastal ecosystems while supporting coastal resilience.

4 Climate Governance Paradigm Shifts

4.1. Multilateral Policy Coordination

Contemporary climate governance architectures confront systemic limitations in addressing accelerating planetary-scale environmental change [36]. The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change maintains institutional centrality yet demonstrates insufficient operational velocity, evidenced by persistent upward trajectories in global emissions. This implementation gap stems from structural tensions between national sovereignty imperatives and collective action requirements.

Temporal coordination mechanisms emerge as critical leverage points for enhancing multilateral effectiveness. Annual Conference of Parties cycles perpetuate incrementalism through fragmented negotiation timelines, necessitating strategic synchronization via convened ”super-COP” summits that enable integrative bargaining frameworks [37]. Such synchronized multilateral events create political focal points for aligning national interests with global climate objectives.

Supply-side interventions gain prominence as essential complements to demand-side policies. Production restriction mechanisms targeting fossil fuel extraction infrastructures demonstrate growing viability, requiring multilateral coordination to address leakage risks through standardized phase-out timelines [38]. These measures bridge the production gap between existing commitments and climate stabilization requirements.

Climate finance architectures require systemic reforms to overcome fragmentation. Parallel funding mechanisms with overlapping mandates necessitate consolidated governance frameworks for capital allocation efficiency [39]. Harmonized accreditation standards and results-based payment systems could optimize financial flows while addressing historical equity concerns.

Institutional innovation pathways must reconcile differential national capabilities with universal climate obligations. Nested governance architectures combining top-down target-setting with bottom-up implementation strategies offer flexibility within planetary boundaries [40]. Sectoral coordination platforms for heavy industries demonstrate particular potential in creating transnational accountability frameworks.

Monitoring systems constitute foundational requirements for effective multilateralism. Distributed ledger technologies enable transparent tracking of emission inventories across jurisdictions, while independent technical review bodies strengthen compliance mechanisms without infringing national prerogatives. Cross-regime integration of climate objectives into trade agreements amplifies political economy drivers for accelerated decarbonization.

4.2. Market-Based Mechanisms

Market-based mechanisms have become critical instruments in climate governance frameworks, complementing regulatory approaches through flexible economic incentives. These systems demonstrate potential for cost-efficient emission reductions when carefully designed to address equity concerns and systemic integration challenges [41]. Emerging economies increasingly adopt emissions trading systems aligned with national decarbonization priorities, requiring institutional capacity building for monitoring infrastructure and compliance enforcement.

Cross-border market linkages enhance liquidity and price stability through harmonized offset standards, though political asymmetries hinder multilateral integration. Private sector engagement drives innovation and capital mobilization, yet governance architectures must balance corporate participation with regulatory oversight to safeguard public interests [42]. Hybrid models combining carbon pricing with sectoral performance standards prove effective in hard-to-abate industries where market signals alone remain inadequate.

Climate justice imperatives reshape mechanism design, directing carbon pricing revenues toward community-led adaptation initiatives. Oversupply management tools and dynamic price floors stabilize markets during demand fluctuations, ensuring sustained environmental effectiveness. This evolution reflects broader governance shifts toward polycentric climate action, integrating economic principles with ecological integrity requirements.

4.3. Stakeholder Engagement Frameworks

Effective climate governance requires rebalancing stakeholder participation across global and local decision-making scales. Global climate financing mechanisms exhibit structural asymmetries, prioritizing institutional actors through resource-intensive accreditation processes that marginalize community organizations [43]. This imbalance perpetuates top-down governance patterns ill-suited for contextualized implementation needs.

Urban climate initiatives reveal complementary gaps in translating participatory commitments into operational practice. Municipal decarbonization strategies frequently lack systematic channels for integrating citizen expertise into mitigation co-design processes, particularly regarding energy transitions and land-use planning [44]. Such disconnects stem from fragmented accountability structures and underdeveloped capacity-building frameworks for grassroots representatives.

Transformative engagement architectures combine three core elements:

- Hybrid deliberation models merging digital platforms with in-person assemblies
- Nested governance structures linking local priorities to regional climate targets
- Power-sharing mechanisms ensuring marginalized group representation

Emerging practices demonstrate the effectiveness of blended participation formats that mitigate technological exclusion while maintaining deliberative rigor. Transparent feedback loops further strengthen accountability by systematically embedding stakeholder inputs into policy iterations. Scaling these approaches requires institutionalizing cross-jurisdictional learning networks to disseminate context-adapted methodologies.

Persistent implementation barriers underscore the necessity for guaranteed representation quotas and targeted resource allocation to historically excluded communities. These structural reforms enable climate governance systems to internalize pluralistic values critical for maintaining social legitimacy during rapid systemic transformations.

5 Conclusion

The demonstrated interdependence of these systems suggests greater efficacy through mutually reinforcing implementation—clean energy infrastructure supports carbon removal operational demands, while adaptive regulations accelerate technological diffusion and stakeholder coordination. Current evidence highlights their collective potential to address emission sources, atmospheric stock imbalances, and institutional inertia when deployed as integrated solutions. Continued focus should prioritize synergistic scaling mechanisms that balance sector-specific innovations with cross-system compatibility, maintaining flexibility to incorporate emerging scientific insights and socioeconomic feedbacks.

References

[1] Piers M Forster, Chris Smith, Tristram Walsh, William F Lamb, Robin Lamboll, Bradley Hall, Mathias Hauser, Aurélien Ribes, Debbie Rosen, Nathan P Gillett, et al. Indicators of global climate change 2023: annual update of key indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence. *Earth System Science Data*, 16(6):2625–2658, 2024.

[2] William W Hay. The accelerating rate of global change. *Rendiconti Lincei*, 25:29–48, 2014.

[3] Anders Pape Møller. Environmental indicators of climate change: phenological aspects. *Environmental indicators*, pages 39–49, 2015.

[4] Michael Jennings. Climate disruption: are we beyond the worst case scenario? *Global Policy*, 4(1):32–42, 2013.

[5] Peter M Vitousek. Global environmental change: an introduction. *Annual review of Ecology and Systematics*, pages 1–14, 1992.

[6] Ahmed I Osman, Lin Chen, Mingyu Yang, Goodluck Msigwa, Mohamed Farghali, Samer Fawzy, David W Rooney, and Pow-Seng Yap. Cost, environmental impact, and resilience of renewable energy under a changing climate: a review. *Environmental chemistry letters*, 21(2):741–764, 2023.

[7] Michael B Gerrard. Legal pathways for a massive increase in utility-scale renewable generating capacity. *Envtl. L. Rep. News & Analysis*, 47:10591, 2017.

[8] Babafemi Raphael Babaniyi, Joshua Ibukun Adebomi, Bukola Rukayat Olowoyeye, Oluwatosin Emmanuel Daramola, Ademola Bisi-Omotosho, and Iyabode Felicia Areo. Decarbonization and the future fuels. In *Microbial biotechnology for bioenergy*, pages 81–96. Elsevier, 2024.

[9] Muhammad Asif. Decarbonization in the energy sector. *The 4Ds of Energy Transition: Decarbonization, Decentralization, Decreasing Use and Digitalization*, pages 41–50, 2022.

[10] Lizica Simona Paraschiv and Spiru Paraschiv. Contribution of renewable energy (hydro, wind, solar and biomass) to decarbonization and transformation of the electricity generation sector for sustainable development. *Energy Reports*, 9:535–544, 2023.

[11] B Knopf, Henry Chen, E De Cian, H Förster, A Kanudia, I Keppo I Karkatsouli, T Koljonen, K Schumacher, D van Vuuren, et al. Beyond 2020-european strategies and costs for an energy system transformation. *CLIMATE CHANGE ECONOMICS*, 4, 2013.

[12] Senthil Sundaramoorthy, Dipti Kamath, Sachin Nimbalkar, Christopher Price, Thomas Wenning, and Joseph Cresko. Energy efficiency as a foundational technology pillar for industrial decarbonization. *Sustainability*, 15(12):9487, 2023.

[13] Hannah Förster, Katja Schumacher, Enrica De Cian, Michael Hübler, Ilkka Keppo, Silvana Mima, and Ronald D Sands. European energy efficiency and decarbonization strategies beyond 2030—a sectoral multi-model decomposition. *Climate Change Economics*, 4(supp01):1340004, 2013.

[14] F Falck. Addressing the energy trilemma: Offshore drilling decarbonization. In *Offshore Technology Conference*, page D021S020R005. OTC, 2024.

[15] Alexander Melnik, Irina Naoumova, and Kirill Ermolaev. Adapting innovation development management processes to improve energy efficiency and achieve decarbonization goals. *Foresight and STI Governance*, 17(1):51–66, 2023.

[16] Mohammad Amir, Radhika G Deshmukh, Haris M Khalid, Zafar Said, Ali Raza, SM Muyeen, Abdul-Sattar Nizami, Rajvikram Madurai Elavarasan, R Saidur, and Kamaruzzaman Sopian. Energy storage technologies: An integrated survey of developments, global economical/environmental effects, optimal scheduling model, and sustainable adaption policies. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 72:108694, 2023.

[17] Noah Kittner, Oliver Schmidt, Iain Staffell, and Daniel M Kammen. Grid-scale energy storage. In *Technological learning in the transition to a low-carbon energy system*, pages 119–143. Elsevier, 2020.

[18] Yuyang Zeng, Tuo Zhou, Tong Wang, Man Zhang, Shuping Zhang, and Hairui Yang. Long-duration energy storage: A critical enabler for renewable integration and decarbonization. *Energies*, 18(3):466, 2025.

[19] Ana Gonçalves de Carvalho, Carlos José Martins, Johan Boekema, Erwin de Boer, Jacob Dijkstra, Napoleon Bezas, Charalampos Papadopoulos, Niki Skopetou, Petros Iliadis, Mohammed Al-Saadi, et al. Ianos: Innovative energy storage solutions for the decarbonization of geographical islands. In *E3S Web of Conferences*, volume 414, page 03005. EDP Sciences, 2023.

[20] Pauline Blanc, Marzia Zafar, Jean Levy, Prachi Gupta, et al. Innovation insights brief-five steps to energy storage. 2020.

[21] Shannon Fiume. Carbon dioxide removal estimation method to remove cumulative anthropogenic co□ emissions distribution to natural sinks. *Authorea Preprints*, 2023.

[22] Fengming Xi, Mingjing Ma, Zhu Liu, Steven Davis, Longfei Bing, Jiaoyue Wang, Le Niu, Gang Liu, Anlin Shao, and Wei Gu. Natural carbonation of alkaline industrial wastes: A large-scale, unaccounted sink for co2. 2025.

[23] Annah Mabidi, Donald Miambo, Angella Chichinye, and Allan Sebata. Carbon sink, mitigation, and sequestration under climate change. In *Agroforestry for carbon and ecosystem management*, pages 111–122. Elsevier, 2024.

[24] Romany M Webb, Korey Silverman-Roati, and Michael B Gerrard. Removing carbon dioxide through ocean alkalinity enhancement and seaweed cultivation: Legal challenges and opportunities. 2021.

[25] Wilfred M Post, R Cesar Izaurralde, Tristram O West, Mark A Liebig, and Anthony W King. Management opportunities for enhancing terrestrial carbon dioxide sinks. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(10):554–561, 2012.

[26] William J Sagues, Sunkyu Park, Hasan Jameel, and Daniel L Sanchez. Enhanced carbon dioxide removal from coupled direct air capture–bioenergy systems. *Sustainable Energy & Fuels*, 3(11):3135–3146, 2019.

[27] Daniel L Sanchez, Peter Psarras, Hannah K Murnen, and Barclay Rogers. Carbon removal efficiency and energy requirement of engineered carbon removal technologies. *RSC Sustainability*, 2025.

[28] Scott C Doney, Wiley H Wolfe, Darren C McKee, and Jay G Fuhrman. The science, engineering, and validation of marine carbon dioxide removal and storage. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 17, 2024.

[29] Murugesan Mohana Keerthi. Innovative approaches to carbon sequestration emerging technologies and global impacts on climate change mitigation.

[30] S Julio Friedmann. Engineered co2 removal, climate restoration, and humility. *Frontiers in Climate*, 1:3, 2019.

[31] Peter I Macreadie, Alistar I Robertson, Bernadette Spinks, Matthew P Adams, Jennifer M Atchison, Justine Bell-James, Brett A Bryan, Long Chu, Karen Filbee-Dexter, Lauren Drake, et al. Operationalizing marketable blue carbon. *One Earth*, 5(5):485–492, 2022.

[32] Zhijun Zhang, Zhengkai Mao, and Jinpeng Wang. Harnessing the potential: exploring development opportunities and charting strategic pathways for china’s enhanced engagement in international blue carbon cooperation. *Environmental Research Letters*, 19(9):094049, 2024.

[33] Phillip Williamson and Jean-Pierre Gattuso. Carbon removal using coastal blue carbon ecosystems is uncertain and unreliable, with questionable climatic cost-effectiveness. *Frontiers in climate*, 4:853666, 2022.

[34] Cuicui Feng, Guanqiong Ye, Jiangning Zeng, Jian Zeng, Qutu Jiang, Liuyue He, Yaowen Zhang, and Zhenci Xu. Sustainably developing global blue carbon for climate change mitigation and economic benefits through international cooperation. *Nature Communications*, 14(1):6144, 2023.

[35] Shufen Pang, Mazlinawati Abdul Majid, Hadinnapola Appuhamilage Chintha Crishanthi Perera, Mohammad Saydul Islam Sarkar, Jia Ning, Weikang Zhai, Ran Guo, Yuncheng Deng, and Haiwen Zhang. A systematic review and global trends on blue carbon and sustainable development: a bibliometric study from 2012 to 2023. *Sustainability*, 16(6):2473, 2024.

[36] John Redwood. Global environmental and climate change governance. *The Routledge Handbook of Global and Digital Governance Crossroads*, pages 77–94, 2024.

[37] Michael W Manulak. Toward a super-cop? timing, temporality, and rethinking world climate governance. *Global Environmental Politics*, 23(1):3–10, 2023.

[38] Peter Newell and Freddie Daley. Supply-side climate policy: A new frontier in climate governance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 15(6):e909, 2024.

[39] Jakob Skovgaard, Kevin M Adams, Kendra Dupuy, Adis Dzebo, Mikkel Funder, Adam Moe Fejerskov, and Zoha Shawoo. Multilateral climate finance coordination: Politics and depoliticization in practice. *Global Environmental Politics*, 23(2):125–147, 2023.

[40] GLOBAL GOVERNANCE. Multilateral climate governance. *GLOBAL GOVERNANCE*, 30:240–253, 2024.

[41] Mattijs Smits. The new (fragmented) geography of carbon market mechanisms: governance challenges from thailand and vietnam. *Global Environmental Politics*, 17(3):69–90, 2017.

[42] Issachar Rosen-Zvi. Climate change governance: mapping the terrain. *CCLR*, 5:234, 2011.

[43] Jonas Bertilsson. Organising stakeholder participation in global climate governance: The effects of resource dependency and institutional logics in the green climate fund. *Environmental Values*, 32(5):555–577, 2023.

[44] Manish Dadhich, Amiya Bhaumik, Kamal Kant Hiran, and Midhunchakkaravarthy Janarthanan. Analysis of climate change governance for enhancing esg frameworks for corporate success. In *Community Resilience and Climate Change Challenges: Pursuit of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)*, pages 135–146. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025.