



LLMPopcorn: An Empirical Study of LLMs as Assistants for Popular Micro-video Generation

Junchen Fu¹, Xuri Ge^{2*}, Kaiwen Zheng¹, Ioannis Arapakis³, Xin Xin², Joemon M. Jose¹

¹University of Glasgow, United Kingdom

²Shandong University, China

³Telefónica Scientific Research, Spain

{j.fu.3, k.zheng.1}@research.gla.ac.uk

{xuri.ge, xinxin}@sdu.edu.cn

arapakis.ioannis@gmail.com, joemon.jose@glasgow.ac.uk

Abstract

Popular Micro-videos, dominant on platforms like TikTok and YouTube, hold significant commercial value. The rise of high-quality AI-generated content has spurred interest in AI-driven micro-video creation. However, despite the advanced capabilities of large language models (LLMs) like ChatGPT and DeepSeek in text generation and reasoning, their potential to assist the creation of popular micro-videos remains largely unexplored.

In this paper, we conduct an empirical study on LLM-assisted popular micro-video generation (LLMPopcorn¹). Specifically, we investigate the following research questions: *(i) How can LLMs be effectively utilized to assist popular micro-video generation? (ii) To what extent can prompt-based enhancements optimize the LLM-generated content for higher popularity? (iii) How well do various LLMs and video generators perform in the popular micro-video generation task?* By exploring these questions, we show that advanced LLMs like DeepSeek-V3 enable micro-video generation to achieve popularity comparable to human-created content. Prompt enhancements further boost popularity, and benchmarking highlights DeepSeek-V3 and DeepSeek-R1 among LLMs, while LTX-Video and HunyuanVideo lead in video generation. This pioneering work advances AI-assisted micro-video creation, uncovering new research opportunities. We will release the code and datasets to support future studies.

1 Introduction

Micro-videos (or short videos) have emerged as a fundamental element of the digital economy, representing a multi-billion-dollar industry (Guo et al., 2024). They have become an integral part of daily

*Corresponding author.

¹We selected popcorn as the icon for this paper because it symbolizes leisure and entertainment. This aligns with this study on leveraging LLMs as assistants for generating popular micro-videos, which are often consumed during leisure time.

life for people worldwide, providing substantial commercial value for social media platforms and content creators. Popular content creators can receive significant revenue through their content (Ran et al., 2022), which underscores the ever-growing influence of micro-videos in modern society.

Despite their widespread popularity and financial impact, producing popular micro-video content remains a costly and labor-intensive process. Professional filming, scripting, and editing require significant time and resources, which not all creators or businesses can afford. Driven by these challenges, we explore simplifying the micro-video creation process using AI solutions, with a particular focus on generating popular micro-videos.

On one hand, the rapid advancement of Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT has unlocked new possibilities for content generation, demonstrating strong capabilities in tasks such as document summarization (Jin et al., 2024), programming (Cai et al., 2023; Ouyang et al., 2023), mathematical reasoning (Shao et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024a) and recommendation (Yuan et al., 2023; Fu et al., 2024a,b,c). On the other hand, video generation models powered by diffusion techniques and neural rendering are transforming creative content production, enabling high-quality synthesis for applications in film production (Liu et al., 2024b) and interactive media (Zhang et al., 2024b). Significant breakthroughs in LLMs and video generation models have prompted many studies (Zhou et al., 2024) to integrate LLM to facilitate the capability of automatic video generation. For instance, VideoLLMs like VideoPoet (Kondratyuk et al., 2023) and GPT4Video (Wang et al., 2024) leverage LLMs and video generation models for multimodal video understanding and generation.

Although LLMs can assist in video generation, existing studies have neglected the ability of different LLMs to assist in the generation of “popular” micro-videos. The main reason for this is that exist-




Figure 1: An overview of the LLMPopcorn pipeline. The numbered steps indicate the sequential implementation of the pipeline. Given a user query as input, the pipeline generates video titles and micro-videos, followed by an evaluation process.

ing studies focus on the quality of video generation, such as high resolution, long duration, etc., but neglect that popularity of video generation does not only depend on these. Recently, many studies (Cho et al., 2024; Zhong et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2024) have started to focus on and propose the latest micro-video popularity prediction models, which comprehensively integrate micro-video popularity factors. For instance, the Microlens dataset (Ni et al., 2023), which includes raw videos and popularity metrics, along with pre-trained short video popularity prediction models (Zhong et al., 2024), provides a strong basis for video popularity prediction. However, how mainstream LLMs can be utilised to facilitate popular micro-video generation, especially in effectively measuring the high-popularity of generated videos, remains largely unexamined by the research community.

Driven by the above insights, we explore the research question of *whether LLMs can assist in the popular micro-video creation*. To address this research question systematically, we propose and investigate three following Research Questions (RQs):

- **RQ1:** *How can LLMs be effectively utilized to assist popular micro-video generation?*

We address this by developing LLMPopcorn, a comprehensive popular micro-video creation pipeline that leverages LLMs for generating prompts tailored to popular video production. To introduce video popularity assessment in the pipeline, we employ a state-of-the-art offline popularity evaluator to quantify the popularity of generated videos. We compare

three mainstream LLMs in our LLMPopcorn pipeline and evaluate their performance alongside human-created videos.

- **RQ2:** *To what extent can prompt-based enhancements optimize the content generated by LLMs for achieving greater popularity?* To address the question, we propose and explore a new **Prompt Enhancement** that leverages Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) and chain-of-thought (CoT) prompting. This approach is inspired by the video creation process typical of human content creators.
- **RQ3:** *How do various LLMs and video generators perform on popular micro-video generation?* Five state-of-the-art LLMs and three video generation models under the LLMPopcorn pipeline fully compare the performance of different models.

By investigating these research questions, this study represents an initial exploration of this emerging domain, providing key insights into AI-assisted micro-video creation.

2 The Proposed LLMPopcorn

2.1 Problem Formulation

We consider a problem in which a user provides an input prompt $x \in \mathcal{X}$. An LLM processes this prompt and generates both a video title and a video prompt, which we denote by $f(x) = (t, p)$, where t belongs to the set of titles \mathcal{T} and p belongs to the set of video prompts \mathcal{P} . Next, a pre-trained video generation model g uses the generated prompt to




Figure 2: An overview of the Prompt Enhancement (PE) process. PE enables the LLM reviewing relevant micro-videos from the database and engaging in chain-of-thought reasoning.

produce an AI-created micro-video $v \in \mathcal{V}$, that is, $v = g(p)$. An offline video popularity predictor h then assigns a popularity score $s \in \mathbb{R}$ to the generated video, so that $s = h(v, t)$. Overall, the entire pipeline can be represented as the composite function:

$$F(x) = h(g(f(x))).$$

The objective is to optimize the LLM function f (with g and h fixed) to maximize the expected popularity score of the generated videos. Formally, we wish to achieve:

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{X}} [h(g(f(x)))] ,$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the set of all possible configurations of the LLM. This formulation captures the aim of leveraging LLMs to enhance the creation of popular AI-generated micro-videos.

2.2 Pipeline

Based on the problem formulation, we propose a new **LLMPopcorn** pipeline in Figure 1 that begins by constructing user prompts, categorized into concrete and abstract prompts, which are constructed by rules into inputs for an LLM. We demonstrate the basic prompt template in Appendix Figure 9. The LLM serves as a video generation assistant, producing *video titles* and *video generation prompts* based on these inputs. These video generation prompts are then fed into a video generator to create corresponding videos. The generated videos are combined with their titles to form final short videos, which are subsequently evaluated using a pre-trained video popularity prediction model to

assess their potential impact. This pipeline streamlines the process of AI-driven video generation and evaluation, enabling automatic popular micro-video content creation.

2.3 Prompt Enhancement

We demonstrate the Prompt Enhancement process in Figure 2, which enhances the input prompt for LLM inspired by human content creators.

Formally, let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ be the user prompt (e.g., "Create a video showcasing Goku's most iconic transformations in Dragon Ball."). An embedding function $f_{\text{embed}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ maps x to its vector representation:

$$\mathbf{x} = f_{\text{embed}}(x).$$

Given a predefined set of topic tags \mathcal{T} (e.g., Food, Anime, Movie) with corresponding embeddings, a matching algorithm based on cosine similarity is used to select the most relevant tags:

$$T_x = \arg \max_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \text{sim}(\mathbf{x}, f_{\text{embed}}(t)),$$

where $\text{sim}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the cosine similarity. For each selected tag $t \in T_x$, the system retrieves Top-K associated video samples from the test set of the Microlens dataset² and partitions them into positive examples S_t^+ and negative examples S_t^- based on popularity. Finally, an enhancement function constructs the new prompt:

$$x' = \text{Enhance}\left(x, \bigcup_{t \in T_x} \{S_t^+, S_t^-\}\right),$$

²Since MMRA is trained on the training set of the Microlens dataset, we exclusively use the test set to prevent data leakage.

Category	Abstract	Concrete
Anime	Summarize the top 5 trending anime this season with a brief analysis.	Create a video showcasing Goku’s most iconic transformations in Dragon Ball.
	Create a video exploring how anime portrays futuristic cities.	Animate a battle between Levi Ackerman and a Titan in Attack on Titan.
	Generate a video analyzing the symbolism in anime opening themes.	Make a montage of Naruto’s Rasengan evolution throughout the series.
Delicacy	Create a video about the history and evolution of a popular street food. Showcase 5 unique ways desserts are prepared across different cultures. Compare how breakfast is eaten in various countries.	Generate a video showcasing the preparation of wagyu beef steak. Create a video about assembling a colorful bento box. Show the step-by-step process of making matcha tiramisu.
Daily Sharing	Create a video showcasing life hacks that simplify daily routines. Generate a video on organizing spaces for maximum efficiency. Explore tips for creating a morning routine that boosts productivity.	Generate a video showing the most creative DIY desk setups for students. Create a video of organizing a messy kitchen cabinet with clever hacks. Highlight a daily skincare routine featuring Korean beauty products.
Film and TV	Generate a video ranking iconic moments in cinematic history. Explore how directors use symbolism in lighting and shadows. Create a video comparing film adaptations of popular novels.	Generate a video ranking the top five Marvel Cinematic Universe villains. Create a video comparing the different Batmen from movie history. Highlight the most iconic scenes in The Lord of the Rings trilogy.
Game	Create a video analyzing how games portray complex moral choices. Generate a list of the most innovative game mechanics in recent years. Discuss how multiplayer games foster social interactions.	Generate a video showing the top 10 sniper rifles in Call of Duty history. Create a video ranking the most popular Minecraft mods. Highlight the funniest glitches in Skyrim.

Table 1: User prompt examples for abstract and concrete video generation tasks.

which is then used to guide the video generation. The refined examples of the enhanced prompt templates are presented in Appendix Figure 10.

3 Experiment Setup

3.1 Dataset

To enhance comprehensiveness, we designed two types of user prompts as inputs for the LLM: **Concrete** and **Abstract**. The user prompts are primarily derived from five key categories—Anime, Delicacy, Daily Sharing, Film & Television, and Gaming. We reference the Microlens dataset (Ni et al., 2023), as it is the largest available micro-video dataset. As detailed in Table 1, concrete prompts include specific elements that provide clear details, while abstract prompts describe videos in a more general and high-level manner. Specifically, we utilized ChatGPT-4o³ to generate two corresponding user prompt datasets, each containing *one hundred* prompts⁴. These datasets are further divided into the aforementioned five categories, with each category comprising twenty prompts. This approach ensures a balanced and diverse set of prompts across

all categories, catering to both specific and broad input styles for the LLM.

3.2 Evaluation

In this study, we evaluate the popularity of the generated videos using the state-of-the-art micro-video popularity prediction model, MMRA (Zhong et al., 2024). Following Zhong et al. (2024), we define popularity as the number of comments. For robustness, we primarily use the median popularity score as the key metric. Following Zhong et al. (2024), we define popularity as the number of comments and use the median for robustness. This metric is greater than 0, with higher values indicating that the model generates prompts leading to greater median engagement, suggesting a higher potential for videos to achieve high engagement on the platform. In model-wise comparison sections such as Section 5 and Section 6, we primarily use Win-Rate to compare the performance of different models in predicting popularity. Specifically, given a user prompt, we generate micro-videos using both Model A and Model B and assess which model achieves higher popularity based on evaluation models. This pairwise comparison approach is widely adopted in the existing literature (Zheng et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024; Bianchi et al., 2024). More experiment details are in Appendix A.1.

³<https://chatgpt.com/>

⁴Due to the high cost of video generation, overall two hundred prompts are similar size to existing video generation datasets.(Jiang et al., 2023; Chivileva et al., 2024)

4 LLM Pipeline for Popular Micro-videos Generation (RQ1)

To ensure a comprehensive evaluation, we consider three large language models (LLMs)—Llama-3.3-70B (Touvron et al., 2023), ChatGPT-4o (Hurst et al., 2024), and DeepSeek-V3 (Liu et al., 2024a)—while setting CogVideoX (Yang et al., 2024b) as the default video generation model. We utilize both concrete and abstract user prompt datasets as described in Section 3.1. Additionally, to better contextualize the popularity values of videos generated by the LLMPopcorn pipeline, we compare them with human-created videos from the Microlens dataset (Ni et al., 2023). Since directly producing videos based on prompts would be prohibitively expensive, we approximate this comparison by matching each user prompt to the most semantically similar human-created video title using an embedding model (all-MiniLM-L12-v2). This approach mitigates the influence of the prompt’s thematic bias on video popularity, allowing for a closer examination of how the popularity of LLMPopcorn-generated videos compares to real human-created content.

As demonstrated in Table 2, compared to the Microlens human-created dataset, which achieves median popularity scores of 0.44 for concrete prompts and 0.71 for abstract prompts, the AI-generated content by LLMPopcorn demonstrates competitive performance, with certain models even surpassing human benchmarks using DeepSeek-V3. Among the evaluated models, DeepSeek-V3 stands out as the most robust and stable performer, achieving the highest median scores of 0.56 for concrete prompts and 0.73 for abstract prompts, highlighting its capability to generate content with strong engagement potential. However, while these models achieve performance on par with human-created videos on average, their absolute values remain relatively low. We hypothesize that this may stem from the limitations of current video generation models, which struggle to fully capture and convey the complexity of the given descriptions (Liu et al., 2024b).

The predicted popularity distributions are presented in Figure 3. For abstract prompts, the distributions of Llama-3.3-70B and ChatGPT-4o are highly concentrated near a popularity score of 0, with occasional outliers achieving higher scores. These rare successes highlight the overall instability of these models. In contrast, DeepSeek-V3 exhibits a smoother and more evenly distributed

LLMPopcorn	Concrete	Abstract
Llama-3.3-70B	0.41	0.57
DeepSeek-V3	0.56	0.73
ChatGPT-4o	0.52	0.49

Human Video	Concrete-M	Abstract-M
Microlens	0.44	0.71

Table 2: Comparison of popularity medians for video generation tasks (using CogVideoX-5b as the video generator). Human-created videos are included for reference. The popularity predictor provides predicted values of Human Crafted video for comparison. Concrete-M and Abstract-M are the most closely matched human videos to the same user prompt in the concrete and abstract datasets, respectively.

spread, with a higher proportion of videos falling into mid-to-high popularity ranges. However, in concrete datasets, the differences among the three models become negligible. This finding is further supported by DeepSeek-V3’s highest median score in abstract datasets, while its median score in concrete prompts remains comparable to the others.

Breaking down the analysis by category (Table 3), DeepSeek-V3 achieves the highest predicted popularity scores across most categories in the abstract dataset. Notably, it significantly outperforms other models in the Anime and Film and Television Works categories, while also demonstrating strong performance in Delicacy. However, its dominance is less pronounced in the Daily Sharing and Game categories, where its advantage is more limited.

(Answer to RQ1) LLMs facilitate micro-video creation by structuring descriptions and guiding Video generation models, with their effectiveness assessed using a pre-trained offline popularity predictor. While the absolute values remain modest, the median popularity of videos generated by state-of-the-art LLMs matches or even exceeds that of average human-created videos in our evaluation. It suggests that the proposed method has considerable potential for popular short video generation. These findings highlight promising directions for enhancing AI-generated video engagement.

5 Prompt-based Popularity Enhancement (RQ2)

The comparative results for Prompt Enhancement (PE) versus the basic LLMPopcorn prompt are summarized in Tables 4 and 5, using win rates as the evaluation metric. All win rates presented are relative values, derived from pairwise comparisons

Category	Abstract			Concrete		
	Llama	DeepSeek	ChatGPT	Llama	DeepSeek	ChatGPT
Anime	0.54	0.92	0.63	0.37	0.45	0.39
Daily Sharing	0.59	0.36	0.23	0.28	0.69	0.61
Delicacy	0.48	1.12	0.64	0.66	0.59	1.24
Film and Television Works	0.68	1.02	0.82	0.46	0.66	0.35
Game	0.38	0.49	0.55	0.35	0.30	0.56

Table 3: Comparison of popularity across different categories and LLMs.




Figure 3: Predicted popularity distributions for different LLMs. Evaluated using three LLMs: Llama-3.3-70B, DeepSeek-V3, and ChatGPT-4o. Concrete and Abstract represent the types of user prompt datasets.

LLM	Task	Win Rate (%)
Llama-3.3-70B	Concrete	56
	Abstract	52
DeepSeek-V3	Concrete	55
	Abstract	49
ChatGPT-4o	Concrete	51
	Abstract	53

Table 4: Comparison of popularity win rates across different LLMs.

Video Generators	Task	Win Rate (%)
LTX	Concrete	60
	Abstract	61
Hunyuan	Concrete	48
	Abstract	52

Table 5: Comparison of popularity win rates across different video generation models.

between PE and the basic prompt across different models and scenarios. Since these values are model-specific and do not represent absolute performance, they should not be used for direct cross-model comparisons.

Table 4 evaluates the PE’s effectiveness across three LLMs for both concrete and abstract video creation tasks. Notably, with Llama-3.3-70B, PE achieves a 56% win rate for concrete tasks and 52% for abstract tasks, surpassing basic LLMPopcorn. DeepSeek-V3 delivers competitive gains (55% on concrete tasks), but its relatively low abstract-task win rate (49%). In contrast, ChatGPT-4o exhibits balanced improvements, achieving a 53% win rate on abstract tasks, indicating strong compatibility

with PE’s human-like creative process.

Table 5 further validates the impact of PE on two more video generation models. LTX exhibits the most significant improvements, achieving win rates of 60% on concrete tasks and 61% on abstract tasks. Hunyuan shows a slight performance gain on the Abstract dataset but performs marginally worse on the Concrete dataset. Overall, these results indicate that PE effectively enhances LLM-generated prompts for popular video generation models, despite some fluctuations.

(Answer to RQ2) We demonstrate the overall effectiveness of the proposed prompt enhancement, confirming its efficacy.

6 Benchmarking Different Models (RQ3)

In this study, we employed five state-of-the-art large language models (LLMs): Llama-3.3-70B (Touvron et al., 2023), Qwen-2.5-72B (Yang et al., 2024a), and ChatGPT-4o (Hurst et al., 2024). Additionally, we utilized the API versions of DeepSeek-V3 (Liu et al., 2024a) and DeepSeek-R1 (Guo et al., 2025) for evaluation. For video generation, we incorporated three widely recognized open-source models: CogVideoX-5B (Yang et al., 2024b), LTX-Video (HaCohen et al., 2024), and HunyuanVideo (Kong et al., 2024). Furthermore, for the open-source LLMs, we adopted the instruction-tuned versions.

Table 6 demonstrates that DeepSeek models (V3 and R1) dominate most comparisons, achieving the highest number of wins. Notably, DeepSeek-R1 reaches a peak BP WR of 56% and PE WR of 66%,

LLM	Model Comparison	Concrete Dataset (%)		Abstract Dataset (%)	
		BP WR	PE WR	BP WR	PE WR
Llama-3.3-70B	vs. DeepSeek-V3	48	48	43	50
	vs. ChatGPT-4o	49	51	49	53
	vs. DeepSeek-R1	46	40	45	53
	vs. Qwen-2.5-72B	51	56	50	55
DeepSeek-V3	vs. Llama-3.3-70B	52	52	57	50
	vs. ChatGPT-4o	52	55	52	60
	vs. DeepSeek-R1	51	44	50	58
	vs. Qwen-2.5-72B	50	64	55	49
ChatGPT-4o	vs. Llama-3.3-70B	51	49	51	46
	vs. DeepSeek-V3	48	45	48	40
	vs. DeepSeek-R1	47	43	46	48
	vs. Qwen-2.5-72B	52	54	49	44
DeepSeek-R1	vs. Llama-3.3-70B	54	60	55	47
	vs. DeepSeek-V3	49	56	50	42
	vs. ChatGPT-4o	53	57	54	52
	vs. Qwen-2.5-72B	56	66	55	51
Qwen-2.5-72B	vs. Llama-3.3-70B	49	44	50	45
	vs. DeepSeek-V3	50	36	55	49
	vs. ChatGPT-4o	48	46	51	56
	vs. DeepSeek-R1	44	34	45	49

Table 6: Different LLMs’ Win Rate comparisons across concrete and abstract datasets. Green indicates cases where the Video Generation model outperforms, red signifies losses, and yellow represents ties. BP denotes the basic prompt, WR represents the win rate, and PE refers to prompt enhancement.

Video Generators	Model Comparison	Concrete Dataset (%)		Abstract Dataset (%)	
		BP WR	PE WR	BP WR	PE WR
CogVideoX-5b	vs. LTX-Video	49	38	42	39
	vs. HunyuanVideo	44	38	47	50
LTX-Video	vs. CogVideoX-5b	51	62	58	61
	vs. HunyuanVideo	48	52	49	60
HunyuanVideo	vs. CogVideoX-5b	56	62	53	50
	vs. LTX-Video	51	40	52	48

Table 7: Different video generation models’ Win Rate comparisons across concrete and abstract Datasets.

outperforming its competitors across both Concrete and Abstract datasets. Meanwhile, Llama-3.3-70B stands out in PE WR for the Abstract dataset, reaching up to 56%, indicating strong adaptation to enhanced prompts in abstract reasoning. In contrast, ChatGPT-4o and Qwen-2.5-72B generally perform the worst, with Qwen-2.5-72B registering the lowest PE WR (34%) against DeepSeek-R1. These results highlight DeepSeek’s overall superiority, Llama-3.3-70B’s specific strength in abstract PE tasks, and the weaker performance of ChatGPT-4o and Qwen-2.5-72B.

Table 7 shows that CogVideoX-5b generally lags behind the other two models. By contrast, LTX-Video and HunyuanVideo both exceed 50% win rates in many pairwise comparisons on both the Concrete and Abstract datasets, indicating their relatively stronger performance. For example, LTX-Video attains a 51% BP WR when com-

pared to CogVideoX-5b on the Concrete dataset, while HunyuanVideo reaches 56% BP WR against CogVideoX-5b. The performance gap between LTX-Video and HunyuanVideo remains minor, suggesting that both models handle diverse prompts at a similarly high level.

(Answer to RQ3) We evaluated five cutting-edge LLMs and three state-of-the-art video-generation models using the proposed pipeline. The results indicate that **DeepSeek-V3** and **DeepSeek-R1** rank as the top LLMs overall, consistently outperforming or tying other models in both Concrete and Abstract scenarios. For video generation, **LTX-Video** and **HunyuanVideo** deliver robust outputs with only minor gaps in win rates across different prompt types, underscoring their competitive reliability in diverse content creation tasks.

7 Related Work

Video Generation: Recent advancements in video generation have been truly breathtaking, with models such as Sora⁵ and Kling⁶. Building upon the success of diffusion models in image synthesis, several studies have extended these techniques to video generation. For instance, the Make-A-Video model (Singer et al., 2022) demonstrates how text-conditioned diffusion models can produce high-quality videos by jointly modeling spatial and temporal dimensions. Other approaches, such as VideoCrafter1 (Chen et al., 2023a) have developed open diffusion models capable of generating high-quality videos from textual inputs, achieving resolutions up to 1024×576 pixels. Additionally, models like Control-A-Video (Chen et al., 2023b) introduce controllable text-to-video generation by incorporating motion priors and content priors, enabling fine-grained control over the generated content. CogVideo (Hong et al., 2022) and its successor CogVideoX (Yang et al., 2024b) employ a large-scale pre-trained transformer architecture with a multi-frame-rate hierarchical training strategy, effectively aligning text and video representations for higher-quality generation. Similarly, Hunyuan-Video (Kong et al., 2024) introduces additional refinements, though its technical details remain limited. Further improving controllability, LTX (HaCohen et al., 2024) explores novel approaches to enhance video generation fidelity.

Large Language Models for Video Generation: The emergence of large language models (LLMs) has spurred interest in their application to video generation (Zhao et al., 2023; Hong et al., 2023; Maaz et al., 2023). VideoPoet (Kondratyuk et al., 2023), for example, employs a decoder-only transformer architecture that processes multimodal inputs—including text, images, and videos—to generate coherent video content. Similarly, GPT4Video (Wang et al., 2024) presents a unified multimodal framework that empowers LLMs with the capability of both video understanding and generation, integrating instruction-following approaches with stable diffusion models to handle various video generation scenarios.

Limitations of Existing Literature: Despite advancements in video generation and understanding, the role of large language models (LLMs) in popular video creation remains largely unexplored.

Existing research primarily addresses video understanding (e.g., captioning, summarization) and video generation (e.g., script-to-video synthesis), without examining how LLMs can be effectively leveraged to enhance content popularity—a crucial factor in micro-video platforms. This gap underscores the lack of empirical studies investigating the intersection of LLMs and diffusion-based video generation in the context of audience engagement and content virality. To the best of our knowledge, we are the pioneer empirical study in this domain. This work systematically explores this underexamined area, providing foundational insights to guide future research. We aim to foster further advancements and inspire subsequent studies in AI-driven video content generation, particularly within the micro-video industry.

8 Conclusion

This empirical study demonstrates that the proposed LLMPopcorn for micro-video generation assisted by state-of-the-art LLMs performs slightly better than ordinary human-created videos, evaluated with an offline popularity predictor. These results highlight the potential of this approach. To further enhance the LLMPopcorn pipeline, we introduced prompt enhancement (PE), which exhibited strong adaptability across multiple LLMs and video-generation models. Among the tested models, DeepSeek-V3 and DeepSeek-R1 consistently performed best as LLMs, while LTX-Video and HunyuanVideo produced reliable outputs across diverse prompts.

In future work, we plan to explore reinforcement learning and fine-tuning techniques to better align prompts with contextual cues, ultimately improving video quality and engagement. This study pioneers popularity-driven micro-video generation, establishing a strong foundation for future research and innovation.

9 Limitations

Despite the promising results, this study has several limitations, which also suggest potential directions for future research in this area:

1. While some videos generated by LLMPopcorn using state-of-the-art LLMs exceed the median popularity of human-created content, their overall absolute scores remain modest. Nevertheless, LLMPopcorn is still able to

⁵<https://sora.com/>

⁶<https://kling.kuaishou.com/en>

match the average performance of human-generated videos, highlighting its potential for further refinement in aligning content with audience preferences.

2. We did not conduct real-world user studies to evaluate engagement with generated videos. Although the offline popularity predictor offers insights, integrating actual audience interactions (e.g., views, likes) would better assess content effectiveness.
3. Current state-of-the-art video generation models, despite advancements, struggle to accurately interpret complex prompts, often losing critical details and producing oversimplified or incomplete outputs.
4. This study does not fine-tune the LLM or video generation models, which could further enhance video quality and engagement. Incorporating popularity-based signals into video model adaptation may improve content relevance and audience resonance, potentially increasing the chances of generating viral videos.
5. While AI-generated videos offer significant advantages, they also present risks of misuse, such as spam content flooding social media platforms and the exploitation of recommender systems. However, most video platforms impose restrictions on account registration and daily upload limits to mitigate such risks.

We recognize that this study has several limitations, largely due to the limited existing literature in this field. However, as an initial exploration, it provides a foundation for future research. More importantly, these limitations represent opportunities for further advancements, requiring a collective effort from the broader research community. Addressing these challenges will play a crucial role in driving progress in AI-assisted popular micro-video creation.

10 Ethical Considerations

This research follows ethical guidelines. The Microlens dataset is publicly available, and all user prompts were generated by ChatGPT-4o, without human involvement or personal data. Evaluation was conducted using pre-trained models via open-source implementations or APIs, without human

annotation. We strive to ensure transparency and compliance with ethical standards.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely appreciate Dr. Alexandros Karatzoglou for his invaluable guidance, which has played a crucial role in shaping the direction of this research. His insightful advice and expertise have provided significant support throughout the development of this work.

References

- Federico Bianchi, Patrick John Chia, Mert Yuksekogul, Jacopo Tagliabue, Dan Jurafsky, and James Zou. 2024. How well can llms negotiate? negotiationarena platform and analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05863*.
- Yuzhe Cai, Shaoguang Mao, Wenshan Wu, Zehua Wang, Yaobo Liang, Tao Ge, Chenfei Wu, Wang You, Ting Song, Yan Xia, et al. 2023. Low-code llm: Visual programming over llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08103*, 2.
- Haoxin Chen, Menghan Xia, Yingqing He, Yong Zhang, Xiaodong Cun, Shaoshu Yang, Jinbo Xing, Yaofang Liu, Qifeng Chen, Xintao Wang, et al. 2023a. Videocrafter1: Open diffusion models for high-quality video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19512*.
- Weifeng Chen, Yatai Ji, Jie Wu, Hefeng Wu, Pan Xie, Jiashi Li, Xin Xia, Xuefeng Xiao, and Liang Lin. 2023b. Control-a-video: Controllable text-to-video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13840*.
- Iya Chivilieva, Philip Lynch, Tomás E Ward, and Alan F Smeaton. 2024. A dataset of text prompts, videos and video quality metrics from generative text-to-video ai models. *Data in Brief*, 54:110514.
- Minhwa Cho, Dahye Jeong, and Eunil Park. 2024. Amps: Predicting popularity of short-form videos using multi-modal attention mechanisms in social media marketing environments. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 78:103778.
- Junchen Fu, Xuri Ge, Xin Xin, Alexandros Karatzoglou, Ioannis Arapakis, Jie Wang, and Joemon M Jose. 2024a. Iisan: Efficiently adapting multimodal representation for sequential recommendation with decoupled peft. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 687–697.
- Junchen Fu, Xuri Ge, Xin Xin, Alexandros Karatzoglou, Ioannis Arapakis, Kaiwen Zheng, Yongxin Ni, and Joemon M Jose. 2024b. Efficient and effective adaptation of multimodal foundation models in sequential recommendation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.02992*.

- Junchen Fu, Fajie Yuan, Yu Song, Zheng Yuan, Mingyue Cheng, Shenghui Cheng, Jiaqi Zhang, Jie Wang, and Yunzhu Pan. 2024c. Exploring adapter-based transfer learning for recommender systems: Empirical studies and practical insights. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 208–217.
- Yicheng Gao, Gonghan Xu, Zhe Wang, and Arman Cohan. 2024. Bayesian calibration of win rate estimation with llm evaluators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.04424*.
- Daya Guo, Dejian Yang, Haowei Zhang, Junxiao Song, Ruoyu Zhang, Runxin Xu, Qihao Zhu, Shirong Ma, Peiyi Wang, Xiao Bi, et al. 2025. Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.12948*.
- Jie Guo, Rui Gong, Yuling Ma, Meng Liu, Xiaoming Xi, Xiushan Nie, and Yilong Yin. 2024. A survey of micro-video analysis. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 83(11):32191–32212.
- Yoav HaCohen, Nisan Chiprut, Benny Brazowski, Daniel Shalem, Dudu Moshe, Eitan Richardson, Eran Levin, Guy Shiran, Nir Zabari, Ori Gordon, Poriya Panet, Sapir Weissbuch, Victor Kulikov, Yaki Bitterman, Zeev Melumian, and Ofir Bibi. 2024. Ltx-video: Realtime video latent diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.00103*.
- Susung Hong, Junyoung Seo, Heeseong Shin, Sunghwan Hong, and Seungryong Kim. 2023. Large language models are frame-level directors for zero-shot text-to-video generation. In *First Workshop on Controllable Video Generation@ ICML24*.
- Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. 2022. Cogvideo: Large-scale pre-training for text-to-video generation via transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15868*.
- Aaron Hurst, Adam Lerer, Adam P Goucher, Adam Perelman, Aditya Ramesh, Aidan Clark, AJ Osztrow, Akila Welihinda, Alan Hayes, Alec Radford, et al. 2024. Gpt-4o system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*.
- Yuming Jiang, Shuai Yang, Tong Liang Koh, Wayne Wu, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. 2023. Text2performer: Text-driven human video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 22747–22757.
- Hanlei Jin, Yang Zhang, Dan Meng, Jun Wang, and Jinghua Tan. 2024. A comprehensive survey on process-oriented automatic text summarization with exploration of llm-based methods. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.02901*.
- Dan Kondratyuk, Lijun Yu, Xiuye Gu, José Lezama, Jonathan Huang, Grant Schindler, Rachel Hornung, Vighnesh Birodkar, Jimmy Yan, Ming-Chang Chiu, et al. 2023. Videopoet: A large language model for zero-shot video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14125*.
- Weijie Kong, Qi Tian, Zijian Zhang, Rox Min, Zuozhuo Dai, Jin Zhou, Jiangfeng Xiong, Xin Li, Bo Wu, Jianwei Zhang, et al. 2024. Hunyuanyvideo: A systematic framework for large video generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03603*.
- Aixin Liu, Bei Feng, Bing Xue, Bingxuan Wang, Bochao Wu, Chengda Lu, Chenggang Zhao, Chengqi Deng, Chenyu Zhang, Chong Ruan, et al. 2024a. Deepseek-v3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.19437*.
- Yixin Liu, Kai Zhang, Yuan Li, Zhiling Yan, Chujie Gao, Ruoxi Chen, Zhengqing Yuan, Yue Huang, Hanchi Sun, Jianfeng Gao, et al. 2024b. Sora: A review on background, technology, limitations, and opportunities of large vision models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17177*.
- Jiacheng Lu, Mingyuan Xiao, Weijian Wang, Yuxin Du, Yi Cui, Jingnan Zhao, and Cheng Hua. 2024. Mufm: A mamba-enhanced feedback model for micro video popularity prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.15455*.
- Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. 2023. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05424*.
- Yongxin Ni, Yu Cheng, Xiangyan Liu, Junchen Fu, Youhua Li, Xiangnan He, Yongfeng Zhang, and Fajie Yuan. 2023. A content-driven micro-video recommendation dataset at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15379*.
- Shuyin Ouyang, Jie M Zhang, Mark Harman, and Meng Wang. 2023. Llm is like a box of chocolates: the non-determinism of chatgpt in code generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.02828*.
- Dezhi Ran, Weiqiang Zheng, Yunqi Li, Kaigui Bian, Jie Zhang, and Xiaotie Deng. 2022. Revenue and user traffic maximization in mobile short-video advertising. In *AAMAS’22: Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*, pages 1092–1100. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Zhihong Shao, Peiyi Wang, Qihao Zhu, Runxin Xu, Junxiao Song, Xiao Bi, Haowei Zhang, Mingchuan Zhang, YK Li, Y Wu, et al. 2024. Deepseekmath: Pushing the limits of mathematical reasoning in open language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03300*.
- Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, et al. 2022. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792*.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro,

- Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Zhanyu Wang, Longyue Wang, Zhen Zhao, Minghao Wu, Chenyang Lyu, Huayang Li, Deng Cai, Luping Zhou, Shuming Shi, and Zhaopeng Tu. 2024. Gpt4video: A unified multimodal large language model for Instruction-followed understanding and safety-aware generation. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 3907–3916.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, et al. 2024a. Qwen2. 5 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.15115*.
- Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, Wenyi Hong, Xiaohan Zhang, Guanyu Feng, et al. 2024b. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models with an expert transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072*.
- Zheng Yuan, Fajie Yuan, Yu Song, Youhua Li, Junchen Fu, Fei Yang, Yunzhu Pan, and Yongxin Ni. 2023. Where to go next for recommender systems? id-vs. modality-based recommender models revisited. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2639–2649.
- Renrui Zhang, Dongzhi Jiang, Yichi Zhang, Haokun Lin, Ziyu Guo, Pengshuo Qiu, Aojun Zhou, Pan Lu, Kai-Wei Chang, Yu Qiao, et al. 2024a. Mathverse: Does your multi-modal ILM truly see the diagrams in visual math problems? In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 169–186. Springer.
- Yiyuan Zhang, Yuhao Kang, Zhixin Zhang, Xiaohan Ding, Sanyuan Zhao, and Xiangyu Yue. 2024b. Interactivevideo: User-centric controllable video generation with synergistic multimodal instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03040*.
- Yue Zhao, Ishan Misra, Philipp Krähenbühl, and Rohit Girdhar. 2023. Learning video representations from large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6586–6597.
- Xiaosen Zheng, Tianyu Pang, Chao Du, Qian Liu, Jing Jiang, and Min Lin. 2024. Cheating automatic ILM benchmarks: Null models achieve high win rates. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.07137*.
- Ting Zhong, Jian Lang, Yifan Zhang, Zhangtao Cheng, Kunpeng Zhang, and Fan Zhou. 2024. Predicting micro-video popularity via multi-modal retrieval augmentation. In *Proceedings of the 47th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2579–2583.
- Pengyuan Zhou, Lin Wang, Zhi Liu, Yanbin Hao, Pan Hui, Sasu Tarkoma, and Jussi Kangasharju. 2024. A survey on generative ai and llm for video generation, understanding, and streaming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16038*.

A Appendix

A.1 Implementation details

In this paper, we utilized five state-of-the-art LLMs, including two open-source models, Llama-3.3-70B and Qwen-2.5-72B, and three API-based models, DeepSeek-V3, DeepSeek-R1, and ChatGPT-4o. For efficiency, we apply 4-bit quantization to the open-source LLMs. Additionally, we incorporated three widely-used open-source video generation models: CogVideoX-5B, LTX-Video, and HunyuanVideo. All model weights were obtained from the HuggingFace platform⁷ to ensure consistency and reproducibility. Notably, all open-source LLMs used in this study were instruction-tuned versions. We ensured reproducibility by fixing the random seed for opensourced models, allowing for consistent results. We adopt Faiss⁸ as library for matching and indexing.

For the prompt enhancement related to RQ2, we search the retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) size from [10, 50, 100]. In terms of the embedding model, we adopt "all-MiniLM-L12-v2"⁹. The video generation prompt database we adopted is from (Fu et al., 2024b), which contains existing video titles and video captions paired with their popularity. All experiments are conducted on one H100 80G GPU.

A.2 License Statement

All the open-source models used in this study adhere to their respective licenses and usage terms. Specifically, Llama-3.3-70B follows Meta’s custom research license, which allows research and commercial use under specified conditions. Qwen-2.5-72B, developed by Alibaba, is released under the Tongyi Qianwen Open License, which permits research use while imposing restrictions on certain commercial applications. Similarly, the open-source video generation models, CogVideoX-5B, LTX-Video, and HunyuanVideo, are available under their respective open-access agreements, ensuring transparency and reproducibility in academic research.

For API-based models, including DeepSeek-V3, DeepSeek-R1, and ChatGPT-4o, we accessed them through their official APIs in compliance with the respective providers’ terms of use. DeepSeek mod-

RAG Num	Concrete	Abstract
LLMPopcorn	0.52	0.95
+ PE-RAG Num = 10	0.92	0.94
+ PE-RAG Num = 50	0.72	0.87
+ PE-RAG Num = 100	0.84	0.99

Table 8: Median predicted popularity for ablation study.

els are governed by DeepSeek’s API usage policy, which allows research use while enforcing rate limits and responsible AI usage guidelines. This paper was written with ChatGPT’s assistance in compliance with OpenAI’s terms of service. The "all-MiniLM-L12-v2" embedding model is publicly available on HuggingFace under the Apache 2.0 License, allowing unrestricted research and commercial use.

By strictly following these licensing terms, we ensure that our research maintains compliance with ethical AI deployment standards, respects intellectual property rights, and upholds reproducibility for future studies.

A.3 Ablation Study for the Prompt Enhancement

In this section, we analyze the impact of RAG numbers on prompt enhancement. Using DeepSeek-V3 with LTX as the default LLM and video generator, Table 8 shows that the Concrete dataset benefits more from RAG, while the Abstract dataset requires a larger scale for improvement.

For the Abstract dataset, LLMPopcorn already performs well (0.95), with only PE-RAG Num = 100 providing a notable boost (0.99). In contrast, the Concrete dataset starts lower (0.52) but becomes more effective with RAG, achieving 0.92 with PE-RAG Num = 10. This suggests that RAG may be more impactful for Concrete tasks, while Abstract tasks improve gradually with larger enhancements.

A.4 Video and Prompt Examples

To evaluate and compare different video generation models, we apply two prompts across three models, as depicted in Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7. In these figures, the first row corresponds to videos generated by CogVideoX-5b, the second row by HunyuanVideo, and the third row by LTX-Video. The first prompt is abstract: "Create a video about the history and evolution of a popular street food." The second is a concrete prompt: "Create a video

⁷<https://huggingface.co/>

⁸<https://github.com/facebookresearch/faiss>

⁹<https://huggingface.co/sentence-transformers/all-MiniLM-L12-v2>



Figure 4: Video examples from LLMPopcorn for a concrete user query.



Figure 5: Video examples from LLMPopcorn for an abstract user query.



Figure 6: Video examples from LLMPopcorn with the Prompt Enhancement for an concrete user query.



Figure 7: Video examples from LLMPopcorn with the Prompt Enhancement for an abstract user query.

LLMPopcorn
Video Title: Exploring Pandora: The World-Building Genius of Avatar (2009)
Video Prompt: Open with a 3-second shot of a glowing, bioluminescent plant in the dense forests of Pandora, with the camera slowly panning out to reveal the vast, otherworldly landscape and the Na'vi interacting harmoniously with their environment.
Video Title: From Streets to Stardom: The Evolution of Tacos
Video Prompt: Open with a 3-second close-up of a taco being assembled, showing the layers of fresh ingredients like grilled meat, salsa, and cilantro, before panning out to reveal a busy street food scene with people enjoying their meals.
LLMPopcorn-PE
Video Title: Exploring Pandora: The Stunning World-Building of Avatar (2009)
Video Prompt: Begin with a 3-second close-up of a glowing plant in Pandora's forest, slowly panning out to reveal the vast, colorful landscape with floating mountains in the distance, as a banshee flies across the screen, showcasing the breathtaking beauty and scale of the world.
Video Title: From Street Carts to Global Fame: The Evolution of Tacos
Video Prompt: Start with a 3-second close-up of a sizzling taco being prepared on a hot griddle, with hands swiftly adding fresh ingredients like cilantro, onions, and salsa. The camera then pans out to reveal a bustling street food market, with people eagerly lining up to buy tacos, capturing the energy and excitement of the scene.

Figure 8: An overview of the Generated Video Titles and Video Prompt by LLMPopcorn.

explaining the world-building in Avatar (2009)."

A.5 Efficiency

We present Table 9 and Table 10, which report the efficiency of three key processes: retrieval for PE, LLM inference for LLMPopcorn and PE, video generation, and evaluation. Efficiency is measured in terms of VRAM usage and inference time. All evaluations are conducted on a single H100 GPU.

	VRAM	Time
Retrieval Process	896	12 s/query
Video Generation		
CogVideoX	28,788	170 s/video
Hunyuan	12,016	54 s/video
LTX	27,100	20 s/video
Evaluation Process	3,000	4 s/video

Table 9: Efficiency of the pipeline procedures. We denote Time as the inference time (measured in seconds, denoted as s), and VRAM is measured in megabytes (MB).

LLM	Pipeline	VRAM (MB)	Inference Time (s/query)
Qwen-2.5-72B	LLMPopcorn	44,246	8
	+PE-RAG Num = 10	47,468	28
	+PE-RAG Num = 50	53,504	62
	+PE-RAG Num = 100	67,192	90
LLaMA-3.3-70B	LLMPopcorn	42,240	9
	+PE-RAG Num = 10	43,948	48
	+PE-RAG Num = 50	49,662	75
	+PE-RAG Num = 100	56,842	86

Table 10: Inference efficiency test results for LLMPopcorn on Qwen-2.5-72B and LLaMA-3.3-70B

Below is the user query:

{user_prompt}

Final Answer Requirements:

- A single line for the final generated Title (MAX_length = 50).
- A single paragraph for the Video Prompt (3-second).

Now, based on the above reasoning, generate the response in JSON format, here is an example:

```
{
  "title": "Unveiling the Legacy of Ancient Rome: Rise, Glory, and Downfall.",
  "video_prompt": "Open with a 3-second aerial shot of the Roman Forum, showcasing the sprawling ancient ruins against a clear blue sky, before zooming in on a singular, imposing structure like the Colosseum."
}
```

Please provide your answer following this exact JSON template for the response.

Figure 9: An overview of the basic prompt template for LLMPopcorn.

Below is the user query:

{user_prompt}

Below is the reasoning chain (Chain of Thought) that you should follow step-by-step.

Reasoning Chain:

1. Analyze both popular and unpopular videos as references using the provided context:
 {rag_context}
2. Based on the analyzed videos, brainstorm unique and creative ideas for a new video topic. Ensure that the idea is original and does not replicate existing content, as direct copying is strictly forbidden.
3. Write or conceptualize a logical and original script or content based on a Step2 topic
4. Double-check:
 1. Whether the theme and content are accurately conveyed
 2. Whether the theme and content are strongly related and complete in fulfilling the user's needs
5. Start generating based on confirmed topics and content
6. Re-evaluate:
 1. Whether the generated prompt is logically correct.
 2. Check if the final suggestions match popular trends
7. If it doesn't meet expectations, refine and finalize the output.

Explicitly generate a chain of thought during the reasoning process for each candidate. The chain of thought should detail the steps, considerations, and rationale behind the candidate generation, ensuring transparency and clarity in the decision-making process.

Final Answer Requirements:

- A single line for the final generated Title (MAX_length = 50).
- A single paragraph for the Video Prompt (3-second).

Now, based on the above reasoning, generate the response in JSON format, here is an example:

```
{}  
"title": "Unveiling the Legacy of Ancient Rome: Rise, Glory, and Downfall.",  
"video_prompt": "Open with a 3-second aerial shot of the Roman Forum, showcasing the sprawling ancient ruins  
against a clear blue sky, before zooming in on a singular, imposing structure like the Colosseum."  
}}
```

Please provide your answer following this exact JSON template for the response.

Figure 10: An overview of the Prompt Enhancement template.