# Stretching vibrations of a H<sub>2</sub>S molecule

Yang Zhaofeng, School of Chemistry and Material Science, USTC

#### Abstract

Stretching vibration of a molecule can be calculated with Morse oscillator, and a complex system of it can be solved with perturbation theory. As perturbation of Morse oscillator is difficult to calculate, Harmonic Coupled Anharmonic Oscillators model is applied to solve the vibration of H<sub>2</sub>S molecule.

Keywords: Morse oscillator, H<sub>2</sub>S, HCAO model

### Introduction

To describe the stretching vibrations in a molecule, it is better to use the Morse oscillator model than the simple harmonic oscillator model. The Hamiltonian for a Morse oscillator is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + D_e (1 - e^{-\alpha r})^2$$

Where r is the deviation of the bond length from its equilibrium value,  $\mu$  is the reduced mass,  $D_e$  and  $\alpha$  are the Morse dissociation energy and exponent, respectively. Alternatively  $D_e$  and  $\alpha$  can be replaced by the Morse frequency  $\omega$  and anharmonicity  $\omega_x$ ,

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{2D_e}{\mu}}$$

$$\omega_x = \alpha^2 \frac{\hbar}{2\mu}$$

$$k = \frac{\omega}{\omega_x}$$

The n-th eigenvalue of a Morse oscillator is:

$$E_n = \hbar\omega\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \hbar\omega_x\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$$

In the local mode model for a  $XY_2$  type molecule like  $H_2S$ , as a good approximation, the two H-S stretching vibration modes can be considered as two degenerated Morse oscillators with additional coupling terms:

$$H = H^{(0)} + H^{(1)}$$

$$H^{(0)} = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2\mu} + D_e[(1 - e^{-\alpha r_1})^2 + (1 - e^{-\alpha r_2})^2]$$

$$H^{(1)} = g_{rr} p_1 p_2 + f_{rr} r_1 r_2$$

where  $\mu=\frac{m_Sm_H}{m_S+m_H}$ ,  $g_{rr\prime}=\frac{\cos\beta}{m_S}$ .  $m_S$  and  $m_H$  are the values of the atomic mass of Hydrogen and Sulfur.  $\beta$  is the equilibrium H-S-H angle.

The energy of  $H^{(0)}$  part is its eigenvalue, and the energy of  $H^{(1)}$  part is its exception on  $|n\rangle$ , while

$$|n\rangle = A_n e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 x^2}{2}} H_n(\alpha x)$$
  
$$E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar \omega$$

## Parameters and unit conversions used for calculations

$$\begin{split} D_e &= 38667.2857cm^{-1}\\ &\alpha = 1.6627 \text{Å}^{-1}\\ &f_{rr} = -987.05cm^{-1} \text{Å}^{-2}\\ &\beta = 92.11^\circ\\ &m_S = 31.97207073amu^{\text{ii}}\\ &m_H = 1.0078250319amu\\ &\hbar = 1.054571800 \times 10^{-34} J \cdot s^{\text{iii}}\\ &1eV = 1.6021766208 \times 10^{-19} J\\ &1eV = 8065.5409cm^{-1}\\ &1amu = 1.660539040 \times 10^{-27} kg \end{split}$$

# **Algorithms**

As 
$$H^{(0)} = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2\mu} + D_e[(1 - e^{-\alpha r_1})^2 + (1 - e^{-\alpha r_2})^2]$$
, the "basic" energy of  $|m, n\rangle$  is  $E_m + E_n$ .

For perturbation part  $H^{(1)}=g_{rrr}p_1p_2+f_{rrr}r_1r_2$  (which uses the harmonic oscillator), we know:

$$x_{n',n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{n+1} \delta_{n',n+1} + \sqrt{n} \delta_{n',n-1}) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\mu \omega}}$$

$$p_{n',n} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{n+1} \delta_{n',n+1} - \sqrt{n} \delta_{n',n-1}) \sqrt{\mu \omega \hbar}$$

Let  $P_{m,k} = p_{1 m,k} p_{2 m,k}$  and  $x_{m,k} = x_{1 m,k} x_{2 m,k}$ .

Then 
$$H^{(1)} = g_{rr} (P_{mk}) + f_{rr} (x_{mk})$$

As we only need the 1<sup>st</sup>-order correction, the result is  $H = H^{(0)} + H^{(1)}$ .

# **Tools for calculation**

My own PC (Alienware 15 R2)

System: Ubuntu 16.04.3 LTS with kernel version 4.4.0-43-Microsoft

Compiler: g++ 5.4.0

Libraries: C++ standard libs, Armadillo<sup>v</sup>

#### Results

The energies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) are shown as follows:

n	m		E_calculated E_observe	
0	0		0	0
1	0	+	2616.051	2614.4079
1	0	-	2625.5349	2628.4552
2	0	+	5146.1861	5144.9862
2	0	-	5146.1861	5147.2205
1	1	+	5241.5859	5243.1014
3	0	+	7576.1794	7576.3833
3	0	-	7576.1794	7576.5466
2	1	+	7757.4951	7752.2638
2	1	-	7776.463	7779.3208
4	0	+	9910.773	9911.023
4	0	-	9910.773	9911.023
3	1	+	10196.972	10188.301
3	1	-	10196.972	10194.448
5	0	±	12149.967	12149.458
4	1	+	12531.566	12524.628
4	1	-	12531.566	12525.202
6	0	±	14293.761	14291.122
7	0	±	16342.155	16334.162

As there is only 1<sup>st</sup>-order correction, there is some error to the observed data.

## References

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Morse, P.M., 1929. Diatomic molecules according to the wave mechanics. II. Vibrational levels. *Physical Review*, *34*(1), p.57.

ii de Laeter, J.R., Böhlke, J.K., De Bièvre, P., Hidaka, H., Peiser, H.S., Rosman, K.J.R. and Taylor, P.D.P., 2003. Atomic weights of the elements. Review 2000 (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure and applied chemistry*, 75(6), pp.683-800.

Mohr, P.J., Newell, D.B. and Taylor, B.N., 2016. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2014. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 45(4), p.043102.

iv Zeng, J., 2008. Course on Quantum Mechanics (2<sup>nd</sup> edition).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> Sanderson, C. and Curtin, R., 2016. Armadillo: a template-based C++ library for linear algebra. *Journal of Open Source Software*.

# **Appendix**

## Raw data

The matrix of energy is

2.69E+03	5.32E+03	7.84E+03	1.03E+04	1.26E+04	1.48E+04	1.70E+04	1.90E+04
5.31E+03	7.93E+03	1.05E+04	1.29E+04	1.52E+04	1.75E+04	1.96E+04	2.17E+04
7.84E+03	1.05E+04	1.30E+04	1.54E+04	1.77E+04	2.00E+04	2.21E+04	2.42E+04
1.03E+04	1.29E+04	1.54E+04	1.78E+04	2.02E+04	2.24E+04	2.46E+04	2.66E+04
1.26E+04	1.52E+04	1.77E+04	2.02E+04	2.25E+04	2.48E+04	2.69E+04	2.89E+04
1.48E+04	1.75E+04	2.00E+04	2.24E+04	2.47E+04	2.70E+04	2.92E+04	3.12E+04
1.70E+04	1.96E+04	2.21E+04	2.46E+04	2.69E+04	2.91E+04	3.13E+04	3.34E+04
1.90E+04	2.17E+04	2.42E+04	2.66E+04	2.89E+04	3.12E+04	3.33E+04	3.54E+04

The matrix of energy with ground state energy 0 is

0	2.63E+03	5.15E+03	7.58E+03	9.91E+03	1.22E+04	1.43E+04	1.63E+04
2.62E+03	5.24E+03	7.78E+03	1.02E+04	1.25E+04	1.48E+04	1.69E+04	1.90E+04
5.15E+03	7.76E+03	1.03E+04	1.27E+04	1.51E+04	1.73E+04	1.94E+04	2.15E+04
7.58E+03	1.02E+04	1.27E+04	1.52E+04	1.75E+04	1.97E+04	2.19E+04	2.39E+04
9.91E+03	1.25E+04	1.51E+04	1.75E+04	1.98E+04	2.21E+04	2.42E+04	2.63E+04
1.22E+04	1.48E+04	1.73E+04	1.97E+04	2.20E+04	2.43E+04	2.65E+04	2.85E+04
1.43E+04	1.69E+04	1.94E+04	2.19E+04	2.42E+04	2.64E+04	2.86E+04	3.07E+04
1.63E+04	1.90E+04	2.15E+04	2.39E+04	2.63E+04	2.85E+04	3.06E+04	3.27E+04

# **Credits**

Ye Zuyang, the teaching assistant, who helped me a lot on this course and noticed me that the final matrix should not be diagonalized.

Xi Dawei, who noticed me that the matrixes only need 8 orders.